Iran J Public Health, Vol. 51, No.5, May 2022, pp.1107-1117



Original Article

High-Risk Behaviors and Associated Factors among Iranian Adult Population: A National Survey

Bahram Ebrahimi¹, Amin Doosti-Irani^{1,2}, Forouzan Rezapur-Shahkolai^{3,4}, *Jalal Poorolajal^{1,5}

1. Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

2. Modeling of Noncommunicable Diseases Research Center, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences,

Hamadan, Iran

3. Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

4. Department of Public Health, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

5. Research Center for Health Sciences, School of Public Health, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

*Corresponding Author: Email: poorolajal@umsha.ac.ir

(Received 15 Feb 2021; accepted 18 Apr 2021)

Abstract

Background: There is no official and representative information on certain health-risk behaviors in Iran. This national survey was performed to determine the prevalence of five high-risk behaviors among the adult population and underlying factors.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed in 23 provinces of Iran in 2019 involving 10,957 participants. The following five risky behaviors were evaluated: (a) using illicit drugs in the past month, (b) drinking alcohol in the past month, (c) having extramarital sex in the past year, (d) having suicidal thoughts in the past month, (e) and attempting suicide in the past year. The logistic regression model was used for analyses and associations were reported using odds ratio (OR) with its 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: The prevalence of health-risk behaviors was as follows: illicit drug use 10.4%, drinking alcohol 16.8%, extramarital sex 9.9%, suicidal thoughts 8.8%, and suicide attempt 5.4%. Almost 27.6% of the participants were involved in at least one risky behavior. There was a strong association between illicit drugs use and male gender 2.51 (2.11-2.98) and using psychiatric medications 2.96 (2.46-3.55); between drinking alcohol and male gender 2.23 (1.93-2.58); between extramarital sex and divorced/widowed status 2.43 (1.72-3.44) and having an intimate friend of the opposite sex 3.75 (3.13-4.51); between suicidal thoughts and using psychiatric medications 2.23 (1.83-2.72); between suicide attempt and a history of running away from home 2.10 (1.64-2.68). **Conclusion:** More than one-fourth Iranian adult population is involved in at least one risky behavior. Engag-

ing in any risky behavior may increase the possibility of engaging in other high-risk behaviors.

Keywords: Health risk behaviors; Adult; Illicit drugs; Alcohols; Sexual behavior; Suicide; Iran

Introduction

Many high-risk behaviors such as alcohol consumption, extramarital sex, drug abuse, and suicidal behaviors are taboo in Iranian society, therefore, there is no official information or comprehensive national survey to give a clear picture of the prevalence of these risky behaviors



Copyright © 2022 Ebrahimi et al. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited and their predisposing factors. The available information comes from tiny, non-representative samples of specific populations (1-6).

A systematic review conducted in 2020 estimated that the prevalence of alcohol consumption among the Iranian adult population varied from 0.03% to 68.0% in different regions, 0.3% to 66.6% in men, and 0.2% to 21.0% in women (7). Another report based on Persian Cohort Study indicated that about 11.9% of the Iranian adult population are drug abusers (8). A meta-analysis including 28 studies from different parts of Iran showed that the overall prevalence of lifetime extramarital or premarital sex was about 24%, 33% in men, and 14% in women (9). Another meta-analysis estimated that smoking prevalence among the Iranian population varied from 12.3% to 38.5% in men, and from 0.6% to 9.8% in women (10). Almost 80% of smokers reported their first experience of smoking before the age of 15 (11).

Despite the great importance of health-risk behaviors, they have not been extensively investigated and reported at the national level in Iran. Reporting the prevalence of high-risk behaviors, while important, is not enough. At present, there is not a clear and comprehensive survey aiming at the risky behaviors and their predisposing factors among the Iranian adult population. Until reliable information on the prevalence of health-risk behaviors and associated predisposing factors is collected, it may be difficult or even impossible to design successful prevention programs and to ensure effective responses.

Therefore, we aimed to determine the prevalence of five risky behaviors among the Iranian adult population and associated underlying factors.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted all across the country on a representative sample of the Iranian adult population in 2019. The Ethics Committee of the Hamadan University of Medical Sciences approved the study (IR.UMSHA.REC.1397.808. Both male and female Iranian adults aged 18 yr or older enrolled in this survey voluntarily and anonymously. Enrollment was not based on any special eligibility requirements. Much effort was made to make the samples representative of the general adult population. The participants were selected from different public parts of the cities where people were expected to go there for their daily affairs such as bus stops, subway stations, department stores, banks, drug stores, mosques, healthcare centers, clinics, hospitals, libraries, universities, campus, restaurants, parks, and gyms.

This study is part of a large survey conducted to assess the prevalence of aggression and risky behaviors among the Iranian general population. The method of sample size calculation was explained elsewhere (12). The sample was selected from the capital cities of 23 out of the 31 provinces. Samples were selected in proportion to the size of the study population. A sample of around 400 was taken from the small provinces (with less than 4 million population) and a sample of around 800 from the big provinces (with more than 4 million population). Finally, 10,957 samples was gathered from 23 provinces.

A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data including several demographic, behavioral, and cultural characteristics. In cases that participants were not convenient with a selfadministered questionnaire, the questionnaire was filled out by the executives through a face-to-face interview.

The outcomes of interest were five high-risk behaviors including (a) using illicit drugs in the past month (b) drinking alcohol in the past month; (c) engaging in extramarital sex in the past month; (d) history of suicide ideation in the past month; and (e) a history of suicide attempt in the past year.

Since the outcomes of interest (risky behaviors) were dichotomous, the association between each risky behavior and independent factors was evaluated using a simple and multiple logistic regression model. The significance level for all statistical analyses was set at 0.05 using Stata software, version 14 (StataCorp, TX, USA).

Results

The participation rate of the study population was 88.6%. Of 10,957 participants, 5,755 (52.9%)

were women. The mean (SD) age of the participants was 33.00 (11.31) yr, ranging from 18 to 90 years. As shown in Fig. 1, 3027 (27.6%) had at least one out of five risky behaviors.



Fig. 1: The prevalence of top five risky behaviors among the Iranian adult population

The association between illicit drug use, drinking alcohol, and extramarital sex with demographic, behavioral, and cultural factors are given in Table 1. According to the results of the multiple logistic regression model, male gender, marital status, having an intimate friend of the opposite sex, interest in watching porn movies, being sexually abused in childhood, a history of running away from home or school, and a history of suicidal ideation or attempt were the main risk factors there were associated with illicit drugs use, drinking alcohol, ad extramarital sex.

 Table 1: The association between illicit drugs use, drinking alcohol, extramarital sex, and various demographic, behavioral, and social factors

Variables	II	licit dru	igs use		Dı	inking	alcohol		Ex	stramai	rital sex	
	Unad- justed OR (95% CI)	P- val ue	Ad- justed OR (95% CI)†	P- val ue	Unad- justed OR (95% CI)	P- val ue	Ad- justed OR (95% CI)†	P- val ue	Unad- justed OR (95% CI)	P- val ue	Ad- justed OR (95% CI)†	P- val ue
Age (yr)	1.00	0.60	1.00	0.25	0.98	0.00	1.00	0.70	0.98	0.00	1.00	0.68
	(0.99-	6	(1.00-	1	(0.97-	1	(0.99-	2	(0.97-	1	(0.99-	1
	1.00)		1.01)		0.98)		1.01)		0.98)		1.01)	
					Gende	r						
Women	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	

Men	3.07 (2.68-	0.00	2.51 (2.11-	0.00 1	3.21 (2.88-	0.00 1	2.23 (1.93-	0.00 1	2.01 (1.77-	0.00	1.20 (1.01-	0.0
	3.51)	1	2.98)	-	3.58)		2.58)	-	2.29)	-	1.44)	
Single	1.00		1.00		Marital s 1.00	tatus	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Married	0.80	0.00	1.52	0.00	0.52	0.00	1.22	0.03	0.42	0.00	1.13	0.2
manned	(0.70-	1	(1.23-	1	(0.46-	1	(1.02-	1	(0.37-	1	(0.91-	9
	0.91)		1.87)		0.57)		1.46)		0.49)		1.41)	
Di-	2.54	0.00	1.70	0.00	1.92	0.00	1.71	0.00	2.52	0.00	2.43	0.0
vorced/Wid	(2.00-	1	(1.20-	3	(1.56-	1	(1.24-	1	(2.01-	1	(1.72-	1
OW	3.22)		2.40)		2.36)		2.36)		3.15)		3.44)	
					Education	al level						
Illiterate	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
School edu-	0.51	0.00	0.75	0.20	0.89	0.53	1.07	0.77	0.78	0.23	1.54	0.1
cation	(0.36-	1	(0.48-	5	(0.63-	6	(0.66-	1	(0.52-	5	(0.88-	2
	0.71)		1.17)		1.26)		1.73)		1.17)		2.69)	
Academic	0.32	0.00	0.61	0.03	0.78	0.15	1.13	0.62	0.63	0.02	1.52	0.1
education	(0.23-	1	(0.38-	7	(0.55-	8	(0.69-	9	(0.42-	7	(0.86-	8
	0.45)		0.97)	D 1'	1.10)	h a	1.84)		0.95)		2.69)	
No	1.00			кеааіпд	at least one	: nour pe			1.00		1.00	
No	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.4
Yes	0.56	0.00	0.94	0.45	0.62	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.58	0.00	0.83	0.
	(0.49- 0.63)	1	(0.79- 1.11)	2	(0.56 - 0.69)	1	(0.66 - 0.88)	1	(0.51 - 0.66)	1	(0.69- 0.99)	8
	0.03)			ving an i	ntimate frie	nd of the		v	0.66)		0.99)	
No	1.00		1.00	viiig aii i	1.00		1.00	x.	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.63	0.00	0.84	0.05	0.85	0.01	0.96	0.67	0.85	0.04	0.84	0.
105	(0.55-	0.00	(0.70-	5	(0.75-	5	(0.82-	4	(0.73-	2	(0.68-	1
	0.73)	1	1.00)	5	0.97)	5	(0.02-	Т	0.99)	2	1.03)	-
				ng an int	imate frien	d of the o		ex				
No	1.00		1.00	0	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	3.22	0.00	1.38	0.00	4.87	0.00	1.77	0.00	8.08	0.00	3.75	0.0
	(2.84-	1	(1.16-	1	(4.38-	1	(1.53-	1	(7.01-	1	(3.13-	1
	3.65)		1.65)		5.41)		2.04)		9.33)		4.51)	
				Regular	physical ex	ercise pe	r week					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.95	0.48	0.75	0.00	1.52	0.00	1.43	0.00	1.37	0.00	1.05	0.
	(0.84-	4	(0.64-	1	(1.37-	1	(1.25-	1	(1.21-	1	(0.89-	(
	1.08)		0.89)		1.68)		1.64)		1.56)		1.24)	
					Father bel	navior						
Reasonable	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Aggressive	3.29	0.00	1.49	0.00	2.44	0.00	1.21	0.02	2.58	0.00	1.09	0.
	(2.85-	1	(1.24-	1	(2.16-	1	(1.02-	8	(2.23-	1	(0.89-	(
C 1	3.79)	0.00	1.79)	0.05	2.75)	0.00	1.43)	051	2.99)	0.00	1.33)	0
Careless	2.67	0.00	1.25	0.05	1.88	0.00	0.94	0.54	2.30	0.00	1.08	0.5
	(2.25-	1	(0.99- 1.57)	6	(1.63- 2.18)	1	(0.76- 1.15)	3	(1.93 - 2.74)	1	(0.85- 1.38)	(
	3.17)		1.57)		Mother be	havior	1.15)		2.74)		1.38)	
Reasonable	1.00		1.00		1.00	114 1 101	1.00		1.00		1.00	
	2.59	0.00	1.00	0.43	2.28	0.00	1.00	0.02	1.00 2.49	0.00	0.97	0.8
Amerecente	4)7	0.00	1.02	0.40	2.20	0.00	1.40	0.04	ム.4ソ	0.00	0.27	0.0
Aggressive	(2.20-	1	(0.88)	5	(1.98-	1	(1.03-	4	(2.11-	1	(0.77-	8

Careless	2.88 (2.46-	0.00 1	1.39 (1.12	0.00 3	1.91 (1.66-	0.00 1	0.91 (0.74-	0.34 1	2.61 (2.21-	0.00 1	1.27 (1.00-	0.04
	3.38)		,1.72)		2.21)		1.11)		3.08)		1.60)	
	,			Parent	s died duri	ng childh	nood		,		,	
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.59	0.00	1.28	0.02	1.17	0.03	0.93	0.46	1.39	0.00	1.09	0.4
	(1.35-	1	(1.03	4	(1.01-	5	(0.76-	4	(1.16-	1	(0.87-	9
	1.88)		,1.58)		1.35)		1.13)		1.66)		1.38)	
				Parents o	livorced du	uring chil						
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	3.47	0.00	1.06	0.69	2.76	0.00	0.93	0.58	3.67	0.00	1.22	0.1
	(2.84-	1	(0.81-	0	(2.29-	1	(0.72-	0	(3.00-	1	(0.93-	6
	4.24)		1.38)		3.31)		1.20)		4.48)		1.61)	
				Ab	ility to con	itrol ange	r					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.48	0.00	0.97	0.68	0.49	0.00	0.87	0.04	0.42	0.00	0.78	0.0
	(0.42-	1	(0.82-	1	(0.44-	1	(0.76-	9	(0.37-	1	(0.66-	5
	0.55)		1.14)		0.54)		1.00)		0.48)		0.93)	
				D	oing regul	ar prayer						
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.38	0.00	0.79	0.01	0.18	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.28	0.00	0.78	0.0
	(0.33-	1	(0.67-	0	(0.16-	1	(0.25-	1	(0.24-	1	(0.64-	3
	0.44)		0.95)		0.20)		0.34)		0.32)		0.95)	
				Interest	in watching	g action r	novies					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.21	0.00	0.95	0.54	3.41	0.00	1.50	0.00	2.72	0.00	1.03	0.7
	(1.95-	1	(0.81-	0	(3.07-	1	(1.30-	1	(2.38-	1	(0.86-	6
	2.51)		1.12)		3.79)		1.72)		3.09)		1.22)	
				Interest	in watchin	ıg porn n	novies					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	4.54	0.00	1.75	0.00	5.04	0.00	1.63	0.00	5.96	0.00	1.84	0.0
	(4.00-	1	(1.49-	1	(4.53-	1	(1.42-	1	(5.23-	1	(1.56-	1
	5.15)		2.06)		5.61)		1.88)		6.79)		2.18)	
				Intere	est in listen	ing to m	usic					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.81	0.00	0.87	0.13	1.35	0.00	1.20	0.04	1.02	0.76	0.90	0.3
	(0.70-	5	(0.71-	7	(1.18-	1	(1.00-	8	(0.87-	5	(0.73-	2
	0.94)		1.05)		1.55)		1.44)		1.19)		1.11)	
				Being ser	xually abus	ed in chil	ldhood					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	5.53	0.00	1.00	0.00	4.35	0.00	1.39	0.00	7.28	0.00	2.66	0.0
1 05	5.53 (4.72-		1.47		4.35 (3.76-	0.00	(1.13-	0.00 2				1
	(4.72- 6.47)	1	(1.19- 1.82)	1	(3.76- 5.03)	1	(1.13- 1.71)	2	(6.23- 8.51)	1	(2.15- 3.28)	1
	0.47)			history	of running	away fro			0.51)		5.20)	
No	1.00		1.00		1.00	away 110	1.00		1.00		1.00	
		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.0
Yes	8.61 (7.47-	0.00 1	1.88 (1.55-	0.00	6.45 (5.66-	0.00 1	1.29 (1.07-	0.00	7.29 (6.31-	0.00	1.41	0.0
	(7.47- 9.92)	1	(1.55- 2.27)	1	(5.66- 7.35)	1	(1.07-1.55)	7	(6.31- 8.43)	1	(1.15- 1.73)	1
	9.92)		2.21)	Histor	y of escape	from so	,		0.43)		1.73)	
No	1.00		1.00	1 115101	1.00	. 110111 50			1.00		1.00	
	1.00 5.12	0.00	1.00	0.00		0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.4
Yes	5.13	0.00	1.47	0.00	5.63	0.00	1.91	0.00	4.39	0.00	1.15	0.1
	(4.52-	1	(1.24-	1	(5.06-	1	(1.65-	1	(3.86-	1	(0.96-	9

	5.83)		1.74)		6.27)		2.20)		5.00)		1.37)	
				Being at	kindergart	en in chil	dhood					
No	1.00		1.00	0	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.56	0.00	1.47	0.00	1.59	0.00	1.11	0.15	1.87	0.00	1.45	0.00
	(1.37-	1	(1.24-	1	(1.43-	1	(0.96-	8	(1.64-	1	(1.22-	1
	1.78)		1.74)		1.77)		1.28)		2.13)		1.73)	
				Using	psychiatric	: medicat	ions					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	6.68	0.00	2.96	0.00	3.74	0.00	1.65	0.00	3.77	0.00	0.98	0.82
	(5.79-	1	(2.46-	1	(3.28-	1	(1.37-	1	(3.22-	1	(0.78-	3
	7.70)		3.55)		4.27)		1.99)		4.38)		1.21)	
				Hav	ing sexual	satisfactio	on					
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.50	0.00	0.86	0.11	0.45	0.00	0.92	0.29	0.35	0.00	0.70	0.00
	(0.45-	1	(0.72-	7	(0.41-	1	(0.78-	3	(0.31-	1	(0.58-	1
	0.57)		1.04)		0.50)		1.08)		0.40)		0.85)	
				At	tachment t	o parents	5					
Low	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
High	0.41	0.00	0.86	0.07	0.43	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.85	0.07
0	(0.36-	1	(0.73-	6	(0.38-	1	(0.71-	3	(0.33-	1	(0.71-	5
	0.47)		1.02)		0.47)		0.93)		0.44)		1.02)	
				Fami	ly conflict	and hosti	lity					
Low	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
High	1.74	0.00	1.08	0.35	1.52	0.00	0.93	0.28	1.67	0.00	0.96	0.62
	(1.53-	1	(0.92-	9	(1.37-	1	(0.81-	4	(1.47-	1	(0.81-	1
	1.97)		1.26)		1.68)		1.06)		1.90)		1.13)	

[†]Odds ratio (OR) adjusted for all variables in the table

The relationship between suicidal thoughts and suicide attempts with demographic, behavioral, and cultural factors is shown in Table 2. According to the results of the multiple logistic regression model, age, male gender, marital status, a history of running away from home or school, Using psychiatric medications, and having family conflict and hostility were the main risk factors of both suicidal thoughts and suicide attempt.

 Table 2: The association between suicidal thoughts and suicide attempts and various demographic, behavioral, and social factors

		Suicidal i	thoughts	Suicide attempts				
Variables	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P- value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)†	P- value	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	P- value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)†	P- value
Age (yr)	0.96 (0.95- 0.97)	0.001	0.98 (0.97- 0.99)	0.001	0.97 (0.97- 0.98)	0.001	0.99 (0.98- 1.00)	0.007
			Geno	ler				
Women	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Men	0.94 (0.82- 1.07)	0.383	0.63 (0.53- 0.75)	0.001	0.91 (0.77- 1.08)	0.306	0.65 (0.52- 0.81)	0.001
	,		Marital	status	,		,	
Single	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Married	0.42 (0.37- 0.49)	0.001	0.69 (0.55- 0.85)	0.001	0.59 (0.50- 0.70)	0.001	1.33 (1.01- 1.74)	0.040

Divorced/Widow	1 22 (1 01	0.020		0.120	216 (1 60	0.001	1 71 (1 11	0.015
Divorced/Widow	1.32 (1.01-	0.038	0.75 (0.51-	0.128	2.16 (1.60-	0.001	1.71 (1.11-	0.015
	1.73)		1.09) Educatior	allorrol	2.91)		2.63)	
TILLARIA	1.00			iai ievei	1.00		1.00	
Illiterate	1.00	0.110	1.00	0.050	1.00	0.001	1.00	0.005
School education	0.71 (0.47-	0.110	1.02 (0.58-	0.950	0.45 (0.30-	0.001	0.63 (0.37-	0.095
A 1 · 1	1.07)	0.010	1.77)	0.005	0.67)	0.001	1.08)	0.007
Academic educa-	0.58 (0.38-	0.010	0.97 (0.55-	0.905	0.26 (0.17-	0.001	0.46 (0.26-	0.006
tion	0.88)	D	1.70)	1	0.39)		0.80)	
		Rea	ading at least on	e hour per				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.85 (0.74-	0.035	1.43 (1.19-	0.001	0.55 (0.46-	0.001	0.78 (0.62-	0.025
	0.98)		1.72)		0.65)		0.97)	
		Having	g an intimate fri	end of the	same sex			
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.60 (0.52-	0.001	0.62 (0.52-	0.001	0.68 (0.56-	0.001	1.04 (0.81-	0.763
	0.70)		0.75)		0.83)		1.33)	
		Having a	an intimate frier	nd of the op	posite sex			
No	1.00	-	1.00	-	1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.50 (2.19-	0.001	0.99 (0.82-	0.914	2.75 (2.33-	0.001	1.20 (0.95-	0.124
	2.86)		1.19)		3.25)		1.51)	
	,	Re	gular physical ex	xercise per			,	
No	1.00		1.00	1	1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.17 (1.03-	0.016	1.03 (0.87-	0.754	1.27 (1.07-	0.005	1.23 (1.00-	0.051
	1.34)		1.21)		1.49)		1.52)	
			Father be	havior				
Reasonable	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Aggressive	2.49 (2.13-	0.001	1.32 (1.07-	0.008	2.63 (2.18-	0.001	1.00 (0.78-	0.992
11881033170	2.91)	0.001	1.62)	0.000	3.18)	0.001	1.29)	0.772
Careless	2.67 (2.24-	0.001	1.69 (1.35-	0.001	2.32 (1.85-	0.001	0.91 (0.67-	0.523
Garciess	3.19)	0.001	2.12)	0.001	2.92 (1.05-	0.001	1.22)	0.525
	5.19)		Mother b	abarior	2.91)		1.22)	
Reasonable	1.00		1.00	enavioi	1.00		1.00	
		0.001		0.225		0.001		0.445
Aggressive	2.56 (2.16-	0.001	1.12 (0.89-	0.335	2.93 (2.39-	0.001	1.11 (0.84-	0.445
	3.04)	0.004	1.40)	0.044	3.61)	0.004	1.47)	0.005
Careless	2.01 (1.68-	0.001	0.89 (0.70-	0.344	2.36 (1.89-	0.001	1.15 (0.86-	0.335
	2.42)	-	1.13)		2.94)		1.55)	
		1	Parents died dur	ing childho				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.44 (1.20-	0.001	1.08 (0.86-	0.523	2.12 (1.73-	0.001	1.60 (1.23-	0.001
	1.73)		1.36)		2.60)		2.07)	
		Par	rents divorced d	uring child				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	3.72 (3.02-	0.001	1.18 (0.90-	0.226	5.12 (4.08-	0.001	1.50 (1.10-	0.010
	4.57)		1.55)		6.43)		2.03)	
	,		Ability to con	ntrol anger	,		,	
No	1.00		1.00	0	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.46 (0.40-	0.001	0.84 (0.71-	0.035	0.41 (0.35-	0.001	0.80 (0.64-	0.033
	0.52)		0.99)		0.49)		0.98)	
	/		Doing regul	ar praver	/		/	
No	1.00		1.00	- rujer	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.41 (0.35-	0.001	0.76 (0.63-	0.002	0.50 (0.42-	0.001	1.04 (0.82-	0.765
100	0.47)	0.001	0.70 (0.03-	0.002	0.59)	0.001	1.30)	0.705
	0.47)	Lat	,	a action m			1.50)	
No	1.00	Int	erest in watchin	ig action m			1.00	
No	1.00	0.004	1.00	0.022	1.00	0.004	1.00	0.404
Yes	1.98 (1.73-	0.001	1.21 (1.02-	0.032	1.68 (1.42-	0.001	0.94 (0.76-	0.604
	2.26)		1.43)		1.98)		1.17)	

		In	terest in watchin	ng norn me	ovies			
No	1.00	111	1.00	ing point inc	1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.68 (2.34-	0.001	1.10 (0.92-	0.307	2.90 (2.45-	0.001	1.03 (0.82-	0.816
	3.07)	0.000	1.32)		3.43)		1.29)	
			Interest in lister	ning to mus				
No	1.00		1.00	0	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.85 (0.73-	0.060	0.95 (0.77-	0.608	0.64 (0.53-	0.001	0.85 (0.67-	0.188
	1.00)		1.16)		0.78)		1.08)	
	,	Bei	ng sexually abu	sed in child			,	
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	5.84 (4.96-	0.001	1.90 (1.53-	0.001	6.56 (5.43-	0.001	1.29 (1.00-	0.054
	6.88)		2.36)		7.92)		1.67)	
		A his	story of running	gaway from	home			
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	5.55 (4.76-	0.001	1.17 (0.95-	0.145	8.55 (7.17-	0.001	2.10 (1.64-	0.001
	6.46)		1.46)		10.19)		2.68)	
		H	listory of escap	e from sch	lool			
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	3.67 (3.20-	0.001	1.60 (1.33-	0.001	4.01 (3.39-	0.001	1.39 (1.10-	0.005
	4.20)		1.93)		4.74)		1.76)	
		Bei	ng at kindergar	ten in child				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.92 (1.67-	0.001	1.28 (1.08-	0.005	1.84 (1.56-	0.001	1.25 (1.01-	0.044
	2.20)		1.52)		2.19)		1.56)	
		Ţ	Using psychiatri	c medicatio				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	5.36 (4.60-	0.001	2.23 (1.83-	0.001	6.58 (5.51-	0.001	1.75 (1.38-	0.001
	6.24)		2.72)		7.86)		2.22)	
			Having sexual	satisfaction				
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.37 (0.32-	0.001	0.85 (0.70-	0.085	0.37 (0.31-	0.001	0.65 (0.51-	0.001
	0.42)		1.02)		0.44)		0.83)	
_			Attachment	to parents				
Low	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
High	0.40 (0.34-	0.001	0.71 (0.60-	0.001	0.41 (0.35-	0.001	0.86 (0.69-	0.194
	0.46)		0.85)		0.50)		1.08)	
T	1.00		Family conflict	and hostili	•		1.00	
Low	1.00	0.004	1.00	0.007	1.00	0.004	1.00	0.013
High	1.99 (1.74-	0.001	1.26 (1.07-	0.006	2.24 (1.89-	0.001	1.30 (1.06-	0.013
	2.27)		1.48)		2.64)		1.60)	

⁺Odds ratio (OR) adjusted for all variables in the table

Discussion

The results of this survey indicated that high-risk behaviors are multifactorial behaviors associated with several demographic, social, cultural, and religious factors. Almost 27.6% of the Iranian adult population had engaged in at least one out of five risky behaviors. Furthermore, engaging in any risky behavior can increase the risk of engaging in other risky behaviors and vice versa. Therefore, to prevent high-risk behaviors among the adult population, general regulations are required to cover all risky behaviors simultaneously. That means preventive programs must target all sorts of risky behaviors. People who engage in one risky behavior are more likely to engage in a subsequent risky behavior (13-15). They may share several biological and environmental factors. Therefore, effective prevention programs must affect more than one behavior (16).

Based on our findings, the prevalence of suicidal thoughts and was 8.8% and 5.4%, respectively.

Several demographical, psychological, biological, social, and cultural factors may influence suicidal behaviors (17,18). Moreover, psychological disorders (19,20), drinking alcohol (21), using illicit drugs (22), smoking (23) can increase the risk of suicidal behaviors. Although suicide may not be visible, it seems like an iceberg that requires special attention. There is a consensus that the rates of suicidal behaviors are usually underestimated and underreported (24,25). Many people who have suicidal thoughts or suicide plans may never present to health services to seek help (26). Therefore, suicide is a hidden general health problem that must be the focus of special attention.

According to our findings, although drinking alcohol is legally forbidden in Iran, about 16.8% of the Iranian adult population drink alcohol. Previous epidemiological studies revealed that alcohol misuse was associated with gender (27), deprivation (28), risky sexual behaviors (29), and many sociodemographic characteristics (30-33). Alcohol use is a public health problem that if neglected may be associated with subsequent harmful drinking-related morbidities and mortalities.

Based on our findings, more than 10% of the Iranian adult population used an illicit drug that was associated with several social and environmental elements. We also indicated that illicit drug use was correlated with some conditions date back to early childhood events such as being sexually abused or escaping from home or school and being in kindergarten during childhood. Illicit drug use is also associated with many other factors such as imprisonment (34), educational level (35), and even genetic factors (36). Opioid use disorders are the most common type of illicit drug use in Iran (37) that can result in severe economic and social consequences (38).

This study had some limitations and potential biases as follows. First, this study, like any crosssectional study was associated with an inherent bias regarding the temporality of the exposures and outcomes. Furthermore, predisposing factors are not separate elements, but they should be considered collectively. Some factors promote while some factors inhibit the occurrence of an

event. The interaction of these factors determines whether or not an event occurs (39). Second, we asked some sensitive questions that are cultural and religious taboos in our country. Although participants filled out the questionnaires anonymously, so some participants might give incorrect answers to these questions. Therefore, these factors might be underestimated, and the results might be biased. Although this national survey provides a good picture of the prevalence of health-risk behaviors and their predisposing factors among the Iranian adult population, however, due to the limitations and potential biases mentioned above, the generalizability of the results to the Iranian general population should be done with caution.

Conclusion

More than one-fourth Iranian adult population was involved in at least one risky behavior. Risky behaviors were associated with several demographical, social, and cultural factors, some of which date back to early childhood events. The high-risk behaviors seem to have a synergistic reinforcing effect on one another so that engaging in one risky behavior increased the risk of engaging in other risky behaviors and vice versa. Therefore, preventive measures are not effective or efficient to manage behavioral risks unless they include several risky behaviors simultaneously.

Journalism Ethics considerations

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Technology of the Hamadan University of Medical Sciences for approval of this work.

Sources of funding

The Vice-Chancellor of Research and Technology, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences funded this study (9711096777).

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

References

- 1. Poorolajal J, Ghaleiha A, Darvishi N, et al (2017). The Prevalence of psychiatric distress and associated risk factors among college students using GHQ-28 Questionnaire. *Iran J Public Health*, 46(7): 957-963.
- Mohammadpoorasl A, Abbasi Ghahramanloo A, Allahverdipour H, et al (2014). Prevalence of Hookah smoking in relation to religiosity and familial support in college students of Tabriz, northwest of Iran. J Res Health Sci, 14(4): 268-71.
- Noroozi M, Marshall BDL, Noroozi A, et al (2018). Effect of Alcohol Use on Injection and Sexual Behavior among People Who Inject Drugs in Tehran, Iran: A Coarsened Exact Matching Approach. J Res Health Sci, 18 (2): e00416.
- Poorolajal J, Mohammadi Y, Soltanian AR, et al (2019). The top six risky behaviors among Iranian university students: a national survey. J Public Health (Oxf), 41 (4): 788-797.
- Mohammadpoorasl A, Ghahramanloo AA, Allahverdipour H, et al (2014). Substance abuse in relation to religiosity and familial support in Iranian college students. *Asian J Psychiatr*, 9: 41-4.
- Poorolajal J, Panahi S, Ghaleiha A, et al (2017). Suicide and associated risk factors among college students. *Int J Epidemiol Res*, 4 (4): 245-50.
- Chegeni M, Kamel Khodabandeh A, Karamouzian M, et al (2020). Alcohol consumption in Iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *Drug Alcohol Rav*, 39 (5): 525-538.

- Moradinazar M, Najafi F, Jalilian F, et al (2020). Prevalence of drug use, alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking and measure of socioeconomic-related inequalities of drug use among Iranian people: findings from a national survey. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*, 15: 39.
- Khalili M, Mirzazadeh A, Chegeni M, et al (2020). Prevalence of high-risk sexual behavior among Iranian young people: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Children* and Youth Services Review, 119: 105526.
- Moosazadeh M, Ziaaddini H, Mirzazadeh A, et al (2013). Meta-analysis of Smoking Prevalence in Iran. *Addict health*, 5 (3-4): 140-153.
- Moeini B, Poorolajal J, Gharlipour Z (2012). Prevalence of cigarette smoking and associated risk factors among adolescents in Hamadan City, West of Iran In 2010. J Res Health Sci, 12 (1): 31-7.
- 12. Poorolajal J, Ebrahimi B, Rezapur-Shahkolai F, et al (2020). The epidemiology of aggression and associated factors among Iranian adult population: a national survey. J Res Health Sci, 20 (4): e00499.
- DuRant RH, Smith JA, Kreiter SR, et al (1999). The relationship between early age of onset of initial substance use and engaging in multiple health risk behaviors among young adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 153 (3): 286-91.
- 14. Shrier LA, Emans SJ, Woods ER, et al (1997). The association of sexual risk behaviors and problem drug behaviors in high school students. J Adolesc Health, 20 (5): 377-83.
- Escobedo LG, Reddy M, DuRant RH (1997). Relationship between cigarette smoking and health risk and problem behaviors among US adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 151 (1): 66-71.
- Burke V, Milligan RA, Beilin LJ, et al (1997). Clustering of health-related behaviors among 18-year-old Australians. *Prev Med*, 26 (5 Pt 1): 724-33.
- Amiri B, Pourreza A, Rahimi Foroushani A, et al (2012). Suicide and associated risk factors in Hamadan province, west of Iran, in 2008 and 2009. J Res Health Sci, 12 (2): 88-92.
- Poorolajal J, Rostami M, Mahjub H, et al (2015). Completed suicide and associated risk factors:

a six-year population based survey. Anh Iran Med, 18 (1): 39-43.

- 19. Hawton K, van Heeringen K (2009). Suicide. Lancet, 373 (9672): 1372-81.
- Abreu LN, Lafer B, Baca-Garcia E, et al (2009). Suicidal ideation and suicide attempts in bipolar disorder type I: an update for the clinician. *Braz J Psychiatry*, 31 (3): 271-80.
- 21. Darvishi N, Farhadi M, Haghtalab T, et al (2015). Alcohol-related risk of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt, and completed suicide: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 10 (5): e0126870.
- Poorolajal J, Haghtalab T, Farhadi M, et al (2016). Substance use disorder and risk of suicidal ideation, suicide attempt and suicide death: a meta-analysis. J Public Health (Oxf), 38 (3): e282-e91.
- 23. Poorolajal J, Darvishi N (2016). Smoking and Suicide: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One*, 11 (7): e0156348.
- 24. Reynders A, Scheerder G, Van Audenhove C (2011). The reliability of suicide rates: an analysis of railway suicides from two sources in fifteen European countries. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 131 (1-3): 120-127.
- 25. Varnik P, Sisask M, Varnik A, et al (2010). Suicide registration in eight European countries: A qualitative analysis of procedures and practices. *Forensic Sci Int*, 202 (1-3): 86-92.
- 26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013). Understanding suicide: fact sheet. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pu b/suicide_factsheet.html
- Thompson A, Wright AK, Ashcroft DM, et al (2017). Epidemiology of alcohol dependence in UK primary care: Results from a large observational study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *PLoS One*, 12 (3): e0174818.
- Grant BF, Saha TD, Ruan WJ, et al (2016). Epidemiology of DSM-5 Drug Use Disorder: Results From the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions-III. JAMA Psychiatry, 73 (1): 39-47.
- 29. Francis JM, Weiss HA, Mshana G, et al (2015). The Epidemiology of Alcohol Use and Alcohol Use Disorders among Young People

in Northern Tanzania. PLoS One, 10 (10): e0140041.

- Kuntsche E, Knibbe R, Gmel G, et al (2006). Who drinks and why? A review of sociodemographic, personality, and contextual issues behind the drinking motives in young people. *Addict Behan*, 31 (10): 1844-57.
- 31. Amemori M, Mumghamba EG, Ruotoistenmaki J, et al (2011). Smoking and drinking habits and attitudes to smoking cessation counselling among Tanzanian dental students. *Community Dent Health*, 28 (1): 95-8.
- 32. Bryden A, Roberts B, Petticrew M, et al (2013). A systematic review of the influence of community level social factors on alcohol use. *Health Place*, 21: 70-85.
- 33. Khezeli M, Hazavehei SM, Ariapooran S, et al (2019). Individual and social factors related to attempted suicide among women: A qualitative study from Iran. *Health Care Women Int*, 40 (3): 295-313.
- 34. Jafari S, Moradi G, Gouya MM, et al (2019). Predictors of Drug Injection in High-Risk Populations of Prisoners with a History of Tattooing: A Cross-Sectional Study. J Res Health Sci, 19 (1): e00435.
- Ghoreishi SMS, Shahbazi F, Mirtorabi SD, et al (2017). Epidemiological Study of Mortality Rate from Alcohol and Illicit Drug Abuse in Iran. J Res Health Sci, 17 (4): e00395.
- 36. Merikangas KR, McClair VL (2012). Epidemiology of substance use disorders. *Hum Genet*, 131 (6): 779-789.
- 37. Amin-Esmaeili M, Rahimi-Movaghar A, Sharifi V, et al (2016). Epidemiology of illicit drug use disorders in Iran: prevalence, correlates, comorbidity and service utilization results from the Iranian Mental Health Survey. *Addiction*, 111 (10): 1836-47.
- 38. Azhdar F, Esmaeilnasab N, Moradi G, et al (2017). Estimation of Intravenous Drug Users' Population in Kermanshah City, West of Iran in 2016 using Capture-recapture Method. J Res Health Sci, 17 (3): e00388.
- 39. Poorolajal J (2020). Equivalence model: A new graphical model for causal inference. *Epidemiol Health*, 42: e2020024.