Letter to the Editor



Iran J Public Health, Vol. 50, No.6, Jun 2021, pp.1288-1290

Pharmacoeconomic Aspects of Treating Hemorrhoidal Disease -Cost of Illness Study Based on Data from Balkan Country with Recent History of Social and Economic Transition

Bojana Božović¹, Matija Radoičić¹, Slobodan Janković^{2,3}, Jelena Anđelković⁴, *Marina Kostić²

1. Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

2. Department of Pharmacology and toxicology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

3. Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University Clinical Centre Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia

4. Veterinary Department, Medicines and Medical Devices Agency of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia

*Corresponding Author: Email: marrina2006kg@yahoo.com

(Received 10 Nov 2019; accepted 04 Dec 2019)

Dear Editor-in-Chief

The recent pharmacoeconomic literature estimated that the economic burden of gastrointestinal diseases with chronic course is substantial (1,2). The aim of this study was to evaluate total costs of treating haemorrhoidal disease in primary health care in Central Serbia. We have performed a pilot retrospective cost of illness study with bottom-up design from societal perspective with total sample size of 39 patients with haemorrhoidal disease (Ethical Approval Number 015099/2), 23 men and 16 women, aged 21 to 79 yr, who were treated in primary health care in Central Serbia from Apr 2016 to Apr 2017. All patients voluntarily completed the questionnaire in order to provide pharmacoeconomic and demographic data. The questionnaire was prepared using the available literature on haemorrhoidal disease (1-4).

Clinical data obtained by interviewing patients are presented in Table 1.

 Table 1: Clinical characteristics of patient with haemorrhoidal disease

Variable , per year per patient	Mean (min-max)	
Duration of haemorrhoidal disease (months)	23.43 (12-120)	
The number of visits to general practice	2.82 (1-11)	
The number of visits to surgeon	0.46 (0-3)	
The number of visits to gastroenterologist	0.07 (1-3)	
Total blood count (per year per patient)	0.26 (0-1)	
The number of fecal occult blood tests	0.36 (0-1)	
The number of coproculture tests	0.03 (0-1)	
The number of abdominal ultrasound exams	0.61 (0-3)	
The number of colonoscopies	0.49 (0-3)	
The number of digital rectal examinations	0.08 (0-1)	



Copyright © 2021 Bozovic et al. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license

(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

The values of these medical services translated in direct, indirect and total costs are presented in Table 2. All values are expressed as mean \pm SD with range (minimal-maximal value). For identify-

ing the relationship between total costs and other variables, we have performed multiple regression analysis.

Table 2: The structure and total outpatient costs of treating haemorrhoidal disease per patient per year

Variable	Costs (RSD)	Costs (EUR)
Clinical examinations financed	$1,139.46 \pm 817.16$	9.60 ± 6.89
by NHIF	(259.49-3,422.33)	(2.19-28.85)
Diagnostic procedures financed	$3,645.68 \pm 4,584.49$	30.73 ± 38.65
by NHIF	(0.00-19,760.00)	(0-166.58)
Total direct costs	$4,785.14 \pm 4,925.53$	40.11 ± 41.75
	(259.49-21,812.37)	(2.18-183.886)
Pharmacotherapy costs paid by	$9,188.62 \pm 5,227.31$	77.46 ± 44.07
patients	(583.97 -12,000.00)	(4.92-101.16)
Costs of medical devices for	$2,021.79 \pm 3,541.50$	17.04 ± 29.85
personal hygiene	(0 - 12,000.00)	(0-101.16)
Costs due to special nutrition	$5,373.59 \pm 7,185.93$	45,30±60,58
	(2,500.00 -27,600.00)	(21.07-232.67)
Costs due to transportation	308.46 ± 844.64	2.60 ± 7.12
Sooto due to damsportadom	(0-3,600.00)	0 - 30.35
Costs due to lost wages	$1,314.55 \pm 4,259.73$	11.08 ± 35.91
8	(0.00 -24,413.00)	(0-205.81)
Costs due to diagnostic proce-	153.85 ± 960.77	1.30 - 8.1
dures in private medical practice	(0-6,000.00)	(0-50.60)
Total indirect costs	$18,360.08 \pm 13,297.66$	154.78 ± 112.10
	(1,083.97-55,064.43)	(9.14-464.21)
Total costs	$23,145.23 \pm 15,795.90$	195.12±133.16
	(1,699.90-63,333.43)	(14.33-533.92)

The results of multiple regression analysis indicated that patient encounters in primary health care institutions account for total directs costs increase of 34.9% (R = 0.591; F (5,39)=3.453; *P*< 0.05). On the other hand, surgical consultations result in the increase of the total cost of local treatments of 19.8% (R = 0.445; F (5,39) =1.583; *P*< 0.05).

The portion of indirect costs was almost four times higher; indicating that financial role of patients in treating haemorrhoidal disease is relevant. The costs of pharmacotherapy comprised almost 50% of total indirect costs. This kind of allocation is anticipated, since medicinal products used for treatment of haemorrhoidal disease in the Republic of Serbia are, in most cases, completely financed by patients. We can expected that this portion of indirect costs has a greater impact than we observed, since negative correlation between compliance of patients and using adequate health care services is present if those services are financed only by patients. A possible reason for estimated lower total costs of treating haemorrhoidal disease in our study in comparison to results obtained in similar studies might be the fact that patients in our survey did not report surgical procedures. On the other hand, these differences could arise from specific social and economic background of the Republic of Serbia, where prices of medical services are significantly lower than in other countries of European Union, while prices of medicinal products are similar. This kind of discrepancy in valuing medical services translates into significant differences, not only in total costs of haemorrhoidal disease, but also in economic burden of other diseases, especially those with chronic course (5). Treating haemorrhoidal disease in primary health care setting, with consulting surgery specialist and with prescribing medicinal products for local treatment, generated more costs without definite solution of the medical problem.

Measuring economic burden of chronic disease as haemorrhoidal, using societal perspective is important within health systems of highermiddle-income countries with the recent history of social and economic transition. Furthermore, this kind of study is useful technique for pharmacists and other health professionals as a first step in conducting other pharmacoeconomic studies, like cost effectiveness analysis, and it can be used for better allocation and prioritization of health care budget in countries with recent history of social and economic transition.

Acknowledgments

This study was partially financed by grant N° 175007, from the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References

- 1. Anderson P, Dalziel K, Davies E, et al (2014). Survey of digestive health across Europe: Final report. Part 2: The economic impact and burden of digestive disorders. *United European Gastroenterol J*, 2(6):544-6.
- Sandler RS, Everhart JE, Donowitz M, et al (2002). The burden of selected digestive diseases in the United States. *Gastroenterology*, 122(5):1500-11.
- Dik VK, Siersema PD, Joseph A, et al (2014). Constipation-related direct medical costs in 16 887 patients newly diagnosed with chronic constipation. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*, 26(11): 1260-6.
- Jo C (2014). Cost-of-illness studies: concepts, scopes, and methods. *Clin Mol Hepatol*, 20(4):327-37.
- Janković SM, Kostić M, Radosavljević M, et al (2009). Cost-effectiveness of four immunomodulatory therapies for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a Markov model based on data a Balkan country in socioeconomic transition. *Vojnosanit Pregl.* 2009;66(7):556-62.