



## Biochemical Mechanism of Insecticide Resistance in Malaria Vector, *Anopheles gambiae* s.l in Nigeria

Mustapha Ahmed YUSUF<sup>1,2</sup>, \*Hassan VATANDOOST<sup>1,3</sup>, \*Mohammad Ali OSHAGHI<sup>1</sup>, \*Ahmad Ali HANAFI-BOJD<sup>1,3</sup>, Abdulsalam Yayo MANU<sup>2,4</sup>, Ahmadali ENAYATI<sup>5</sup>, Abduljalal ADO<sup>6</sup>, Alhassan Sharrif ABDULLAHI<sup>2</sup>, Rabiuh Ibrahim JALO<sup>7</sup>, Abubakar FIRDAUSI<sup>8</sup>

1. Department of Medical Entomology & Vector Control, School of Public Health, International Campus, Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran
2. Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Health Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria
3. Department of Chemical Pollutants and Pesticides, Institute for Environmental Research, Tebran University of Medical Sciences, Tebran, Iran
4. Center for Infectious Diseases Research, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria
5. Department of Medical Entomology, School of Public Health and Health Sciences Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran
6. Department of Science, Kano State Polytechnic, Kano, Nigeria
7. Department of Community Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria
8. Department of Family Medicine, College of Health Sciences, Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria

\*Corresponding Author: Email: hvatandoost1@yahoo.com

(Received 14 Jan 2020; accepted 15 Mar 2020)

### Abstract

**Background:** Malaria is a parasitic vector-borne disease endemic in the tropical and subtropical countries of the world. The aim of this study was to investigate the current activities of the detoxification enzymes in resistant and susceptible *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. in northern Nigeria.

**Methods:** *Anopheles* larvae were collected from northeast and northwestern Nigeria between Aug and Nov 2018. Biochemical analyses were carried out on the mosquitoes exposed to various insecticides (deltamethrin, DDT, bendiocarb, malathion) to measure and compare the enzymatic activities of the major detoxification enzymes (P<sub>450</sub>, GSTs, Esterase).

**Results:** High levels of resistance were observed; DDT 37%-53% (95% CI: 29-61), bendiocarb 44%-55% (CI: 39-60) and deltamethrin 74%-82% (CI: 70-86). However, these mosquitoes were found to be susceptible to malathion 99%-100% (CI: 98-100). The P<sub>450</sub> and GSTs enzymes were found to be elevated in the resistant mosquitoes exposed to deltamethrin (1.0240±0.1902); (1.3088±1.2478), DDT (1.7703±1.4528); (1.7462±0.9418) and bendiocarb (1.1814±0.0918); (1.4479±1.0083) compared to the Kisumu strain (0.764±0.4226); (0.6508±0.6542), (0.3875±0.3482); (0.4072±0.4916) and (0.6672±0.3949); (0.7126±0.7259) at P<0.05. Similarly, the resistant mosquitoes expressed increased activity to esterase (0.7606±1.1477), (0.3269±1.1957) and (2.8203±0.6488) compared to their susceptible counterpart (0.6841±0.7597), (0.7032±0.5380) and (0.6398±0.4159) at P<0.05. The enzyme ratio was found to be: P<sub>450</sub> (1.341, 4.568 and 1.77); GSTs (2.011, 4.288 and 2.031); Esterases (1.111, 0.469 and 4.408). One way Anova and single sample t-test were also conducted to determine the effect of the enzymes on the resistant and susceptible strains.

**Conclusion:** High level of insecticide resistance was observed with significant elevation of detoxification enzyme activities in the resistant mosquitoes.

**Keywords:** Detoxification enzymes; Resistant; Susceptible; *Anopheles gambiae*; Nigeria

## Introduction

Malaria is a life-threatening parasitic vector-borne disease endemic in the tropical and subtropical countries of the world (1). Approximately 100 million cases of malaria and over 200,000 deaths are reported annually in Nigeria (2, 3). *Anopheles gambiae* and *An. funestus* species complexes are the main malaria vectors that transmit the malaria parasite in Africa (4-7).

Insecticide-treated nets (ITNs)/long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) are the main control measures adopted in Nigeria for malaria vectors (8). Insecticide resistance is defined as “The ability in a population to tolerate doses of insecticide which would prove lethal to the majority of individuals in a normal population of the same species, developed as a result of selection pressure to the insecticide” (9).

The two most important mechanisms by which insects accomplish resistance are; metabolic pathway and target site insensitivity (8, 10-12). The metabolic pathway is activated when insects are exposed to toxic substances leading to either increase or decrease in the metabolism of the substance. Three major families of enzymes are involved in the detoxification of toxic substances in living organisms; Glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), carboxylesterase, and cytochrome P450 monooxygenase (P450s) (13). The GSTs are extensive group of detoxification enzymes that are cytosolic dimeric proteins with 2 domains, each containing two binding sites, the hydrophilic G site and the hydrophobic H site (14). Scientists have identified six different classes of GSTs in insects, which include Delta, Epsilon, Omega, Sigma, Theta and Zeta (15). The Delta and Epsilon are the two most important classes in insects due to the role they play in insecticide resistance to the major classes of insecticides (16). The GSTs confer resistance to the organophosphates by increasing GST detoxification rates through the O-dealkylation and O-dearylation pathways (17-19). The carboxylesterases are another enormous group of detoxification enzymes classified into A or B esterases based on substrate specifici-

ty for  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ -naphthyl acetate (20). They produce resistance by either sequestration or catalyzing the hydrolysis of carboxylic and phosphotriester bonds in a wide range of insecticides such as organophosphate, carbamates and pyrethroids (21-23).

The cytochrome P450 (monooxygenase) is one of the most comprehensive gene super-families present in all living organisms which play an integral role in the metabolism of endogenous and exogenous compounds such as steroids, fatty acids and xenobiotics (17, 24-26). Several P450 enzymes have been identified and are named as CYP followed by a number, a letter and a number respectively, for example, CYP6D1 (24). The CYP6D1 is over-produced in the house fly, *Musca domestica*, which results in high level of permethrin resistance, and a similar situation is seen with organophosphate resistance where CYP6A1 is also overproduced (15, 21). Elevated levels of monooxygenase have been reported from several species of mosquitoes, for example, CYP6Z1 was found to be associated with pyrethroids resistance in *An. gambiae* from Western Kenya (27), and CYP6P5 and CYP6AA2 have been copied from *An. minimus* resistant to deltamethrin in Thailand (26, 28).

Raised activities of detoxification enzymes have been reported in resistance populations of *An. gambiae* from Nigeria and *An. sinensis* from China (12, 29-32).

Obtaining the baseline information about the vector susceptibility and detection of resistance mechanisms are very important steps in the resistance management strategies (33-35). There are reports on the rising level of *An. gambiae* resistance to commonly used insecticides in Nigeria. However, very few studies have reported on the metabolic mechanism of resistance.

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the current activities of the detoxification enzymes in resistant and susceptible *An. gambiae* s.l. mosquitoes exposed to some WHO recommended insecticides in northern Nigeria.

## Methods

The inclusion criteria for the selection of the study area sampled included presence of vector control and irrigation activity, availability and high density of target species, high intensity of malaria transmission and paucity of data on biochemical mechanism of insecticide resistance to the principal malaria vector *An. gambiae* s.l.

### Study Location

The study was conducted in three locations Yamaltu Deba (Gombe state), Auyo (Jigawa state) and Kumbotso (Kano state) within north-

east and northwestern Nigeria between Aug and Nov, 2018 (Fig. 1).

Yamaltu Deba (10° 13' 0" N, 11° 23' 0" E) is one of the 11 Local Government Areas in Gombe State, Nigeria. It has a population of 255,248 and an area of 1,981 km<sup>2</sup> (36, 37).

Auyo (12°21'N, 9°59'E) is a locality in Jigawa State, northwestern Nigeria. The town is known for its irrigation activities in which rice and vegetables are produced. It has a total population of 132,001 with estimated landmass area of 512 km (10).

Kumbotso (11°53'17"N, 8°30'10"E) is situated in Kano state, northwestern Nigeria with a population of 409,500 and an area of 158 km<sup>2</sup> (9, 38).




Fig. 1: Map showing the geographical locations of the study sites in Nigeria, 2018

### Study Sample

Dipping method was used to collect field strain larvae samples from different breeding places in the study sites (8, 35) to provide laboratory stock of mosquitoes. The Kisumu, an *An. gambiae* laboratory susceptible strain was originated from Kenya in 1953 and was kept in the insectary (39).

### WHO Susceptibility Test

Adult susceptibility test was conducted according to the recent WHO bioassay guideline (34).

### Enzyme Analyses

The protocol of WHO/WHOPES 2008 (23) was used to perform the biochemical assays. Although it might not be based on the cited refer-

ence as it emphasises using mosquito specimens unexposed to insecticides, we exposed our specimens to insecticide before biochemical assays, to compare the levels of detoxifying enzymes in live and dead mosquitoes. A total of 288 mosquitoes

from the study locations were used during the assay (Table 1) and the activity of detoxification enzymes mainly glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), esterase and P450s were measured.

**Table 1:** Specific activities of detoxification enzymes (Mean  $\pm$  SD) in *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes exposed to insecticides collected from the study locations in Nigeria 2018

<i>Insecticides</i>	<i>No. Tested</i>	<i>GSTs (Mean <math>\pm</math> SD) (<math>\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}</math> protein)</i>	<i>Enzyme Ratio (ER)</i>	<i>Esterase (Mean <math>\pm</math> SD) (<math>\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}</math> protein)</i>	<i>Enzyme Ratio (ER)</i>	<i>P450 (Mean <math>\pm</math> SD) (p450/mg protein)</i>	<i>Enzyme Ratio (ER)</i>
Deltamethrin R <sup>a</sup>	48	1.3088 $\pm$ 1.2478	2.011	0.7606 $\pm$ 1.1477	1.111	1.0240 $\pm$ 0.1902	1.341
Deltamethrin S <sup>a</sup>	48	0.6508 $\pm$ 0.6542	1	0.6841 $\pm$ 0.7597	1	0.764 $\pm$ 0.4226	1
DDT R <sup>b</sup>	48	1.7462 $\pm$ 0.9418	4.288	0.3269 $\pm$ 1.1957	0.465	1.7703 $\pm$ 1.4528	4.568
DDT S <sup>b</sup>	48	0.4072 $\pm$ 0.4916	1	0.7032 $\pm$ 0.5380	1	0.3875 $\pm$ 0.3482	1
Bendiocarb R <sup>c</sup>	48	1.4479 $\pm$ 1.0083	2.031	2.8203 $\pm$ 0.6488	4.408	1.1814 $\pm$ 0.0918	1.77
Bendiocarb S <sup>c</sup>	48	0.7126 $\pm$ 0.7259	1	0.6398 $\pm$ 0.4159	1	0.6672 $\pm$ 0.3949	1

R: resistant, S: susceptible

Values with similar superscripts indicate significant difference ( $P < 0.05$ ) when the groups were compared.

### *Esterase Assay*

General esterase activity was measured using naphthyl acetate in a reaction mixture containing 20  $\mu\text{l}$  of the homogenate and 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of naphthyl acetate solution (120  $\mu\text{l}$  of 30 mM alpha- or beta-naphthyl acetate dissolved in 12 ml 0.02 M phosphate buffer pH 7.2), respectively. The enzyme hydrolysis paranitrophenyl acetate to acetate forming a yellow color that maximally absorbs light at 570 nm wavelength. After incubating the mixtures at room temperature for 15 min, 50  $\mu\text{l}$  of fast blue solution (0.023 g fast blue dissolved in 2.25 ml distilled water and 5.25 ml of 5% SDS .1 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7) was added to each microplate well. After another incubation for 5 min at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 570 nm.

### *Cytochrome P<sub>450</sub> (Monooxygenase) Assay*

The P<sub>450</sub> measurement followed Safi et al. (39) method. The monooxygenase catalyze the reduc-

tion of hydrogen peroxide and oxidation of tetramethyl benzidine (TMBZ) to form water and oxidized blue color TMBZ which absorbs light at 450 nm wavelength. The reaction mixture in each well consisted of 20  $\mu\text{l}$  of the homogenate, 80  $\mu\text{l}$  of 0.0625 M potassium phosphate buffer pH 7.2, 200  $\mu\text{l}$  of 3,3',5,5' TMBZ solution (0.01 g TMBZ dissolved in 5 ml methanol plus 15 ml of 0.25 M sodium acetate buffer pH 5.0) and 25  $\mu\text{l}$  of 3% hydrogen peroxide. The absorbance was measured at 450 nm as an endpoint after incubating the plate at room temperature for 2 hours.

### *Glutathione S transferase (GST) Assay*

The GSTs measurement also followed Safi et al. (40) method. Ten microliter of the homogenate was mixed with 200  $\mu\text{l}$  reduced glutathione plus 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) solution (10 mM reduced glutathione dissolved in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 6.5 and 3 mM CDNB orig-

inally dissolved in methanol). The absorbance was measured at 340 nm for 5 min.

### Data Analysis

Microsoft office excel, version 2003 was used to create charts, calculate the standard deviation, sort and clean the data. While SPSS version 16 was used to calculate the means of the variable using the Chi X<sup>2</sup> and Student's t-test. Moreover, one way ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of the detoxification enzymes on the resistant and susceptible strains. Enzyme ratios (ER) were calculated by dividing the mean activities or content of the enzymes in the resistant with those of the susceptible mosquitoes. The Beer-Lambert law (41) was used to convert the absorbance, optical density, (OD) into the actual activity for GST and esterases while a standard curve of cytochrome C was used to obtain a crude estimate of the amount of monooxygenase present. The Beer's equation states that:  $A = \epsilon lc$  Where:  $\epsilon$ , is the molar attenuation coefficient or absorptivity of the attenuating species;  $l$ , is the optical path length;  $c$ , is the concentration of the attenuating species. A standard protein curve (42) with straight-line equation ( $y = mx + c$ ;  $y = \text{absorbance}$ ,  $x = \text{BSA concentration}$ ,  $m = \text{gradient}$  (0.7079),  $c = \text{intercept}$  (0.0058)) was used to calculate the unknown protein concentration of the mosquitoes.

### Ethics approval

Ethical approval number: IR.TUMS.SPH.REC.1397.150 from Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

## Results

### Bioassay


*A. gambiae* mortality 24 h post-exposure to Malathion was found to be 99%-100% (CI: 98-100).

Mortality for deltamethrin was 74%-82% (CI: 70-86), bendiocarb 44%-55% (CI: 39-60) and DDT 37%-53% (CI: 29-61), respectively (Fig. 2A-C). According to the knock-down effect, malathion showed a high knock-down effect, after 1 h 100% (SE=0.0), 92% (SE=0.19) and 88% (SE=0.23) of the *An. gambiae* were knocked-down across the study sites (Fig. 2A-C). Deltamethrin, DDT and bendiocarb showed a lower knock-down effect after one hour of between 16%-56% (SE=0.26-0.36) across the study locations (Fig. 2A-C).

### Biochemical

Overall, 288 mosquitoes were used during the biochemical assay (Table 1). The P450 (p450/mg protein) enzyme was found to be elevated in the resistant mosquitoes exposed to deltamethrin ( $1.0240 \pm 0.1902$ ), DDT ( $1.7703 \pm 1.4528$ ) and bendiocarb ( $1.1814 \pm 0.0918$ ) compared to the susceptible mosquitoes exposed to the same insecticides ( $0.764 \pm 0.4226$ ), ( $0.3875 \pm 0.3482$ ) and ( $0.6672 \pm 0.3949$ ) and was statistically significant at  $P < 0.05$  (Table 1).


The activity of GSTs ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$  protein) was also found to be elevated in the resistant mosquitoes exposed to deltamethrin ( $1.3088 \pm 1.2478$ ), DDT ( $1.7462 \pm 0.9418$ ) and bendiocarb ( $1.4479 \pm 1.0083$ ) compared to the susceptible mosquitoes exposed to the same insecticides ( $0.6508 \pm 0.6542$ ), ( $0.4072 \pm 0.4916$ ) and ( $0.7126 \pm 0.7259$ ) and was statistically significant at  $P < 0.05$  (Table 1). Similarly, the resistant mosquitoes expressed increased activity to esterase ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$  protein) in deltamethrin ( $0.7606 \pm 1.1477$ ), DDT ( $0.3269 \pm 1.1957$ ) and bendiocarb ( $2.8203 \pm 0.6488$ ) compared to their susceptible counterpart ( $0.6841 \pm 0.7597$ ), ( $0.7032 \pm 0.5380$ ) and ( $0.6398 \pm 0.4159$ ) at  $P < 0.05$  (Table 1).



**Fig. 2:** Knockdown profile (10-60 mins) of *An. gambiae* s.l. from: (A) Yamaltu Deba (Gombe state), (B) Auyo (Jigawa state) and (C) Kumbotso (Kano state) Nigeria, 2018

The enzyme ratio was also calculated and found to be: P450 (1.341, 4.568 and 1.77); GSTs (2.011, 4.288 and 2.031); Esterases (1.111, 0.469 and

4.408) for Gombe, Auyo and Kumbotso (Fig. 3) respectively.



**Fig. 3:** Activities and enzyme ratios of the main detoxification enzymes exposed to 3 insecticides from: (A) Yamaltu Deba (Gombe state), (B) Auyo (Jigawa state) and (C) Kumbotso (Kano state) Nigeria, 2018

A one-way Anova was conducted to compare the effect of the detoxification enzymes on the resistant and susceptible strains and there was a significant effect at the  $P < 0.05$  level for the 3 enzymes; P450: [f (1, 94) = 235,  $P$ : 0.000], GSTs: [f (1, 94) = 17.5,  $P$ : 0.000], Esterase: [f (1, 94) = 35.1,  $P$ : 0.000]. Post hoc multivariate comparison using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the means for the resistant strains from the detoxification enzymes ( $m=4.20$ ,  $SD=1.30$ ) was significantly different from the susceptible strains.

A single sample  $t$ -test was also conducted to determine if a statistically significant difference existed between the detoxification enzyme values and the exposed mosquitoes. Statistically, significant association was found among the 3 different detoxification enzymes. P450: ( $m = 1.58$ ,  $SD=0.0506$ )  $t$  (96)=31.3, 0.000. GSTs: ( $m=1.47$ ,  $SD=0.501$ )  $t$  (96) =28.68, 0.000. Esterase: ( $m=1.53$ ,  $SD: 0.501$ )  $t$  (96)=29.91, 0.000.

## Discussion

The mosquitoes from the study locations were found to be highly resistant to DDT, bendiocarb and moderately resistant to deltamethrin. However, these mosquitoes were susceptible to only malathion (Fig. 2A-C). Bendiocarb showed very high level of resistance across all the study sites (Fig. 2A-C). This finding agrees with studies from Nigeria and Ghana (5, 40, 43). The high carbamate resistance recorded in this study despite the cross-resistance with organophosphates, to which the *An. gambiae* mosquitoes were highly susceptible may have resulted from the elevated levels of esterase, reported to be a primary mechanism involved in organophosphate and carbamate resistance (44). This study reports moderate level of resistance to the deltamethrin (Fig. 2A-C). This finding is in agreement with studies conducted in Nigeria where they reported mortality of 78%-83% (10, 43). However, other studies within and outside Nigeria disagree with our finding (4, 5, 12, 42). The marginal resistance observed with deltamethrin in this study from all the locations indicates that detoxification enzymes alone might

not be conferring resistance to pyrethroids; other mechanisms such as the *kdr* may be playing a significant role (10). DDT shows very high level of resistance (Fig. 2A-C). This finding is in agreement with previously conducted studies in Nigeria (4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 45). Malathion was the only insecticide susceptible in accordance with studies from different regions within and outside Nigeria (4, 10, 41, 46, 47).

Deltamethrin showed low knockdown effect after 24 h exposure (Fig. 2A-C). This finding agrees with previous studies reported (32). Similarly, bendiocarb and DDT recorded very low knockdown after 24 h exposure (Fig. 2A-C) This agrees with studies conducted in Nigeria and other parts of the world (4, 10, 32, 41, 43, 44). However, Habibu and colleagues reported very high knockdown to DDT (8).

The biochemical analysis of the metabolic detoxifying enzymes revealed elevation of the enzymes in the resistant mosquitoes compared to the susceptible populations across all the study locations. Taken together, these results suggest that high levels of detoxification enzymes do affect the susceptibility patterns of *An. gambiae* mosquitoes in the study area. Specifically, our results suggest that high levels of these enzymes reduce the susceptibility of mosquitoes to insecticides and confer resistant to them. Based on the results of the biochemical assay, deltamethrin insecticide showed high metabolic enzyme activities in the following order of increase GSTs>p450>esterases while for the DDT it was p450>GSTs>esterase. As esterases are not involved in DDT resistance, the levels of esterases in the susceptible strain are almost twice the level in the DDT resistance mosquitoes and the reduction is because of the fitness cost. It means mosquitoes overproduce only enzymes that are critical and more important for their survival and conserve energy than producing other enzymes that are not very important to their survival in the face of insecticide being used. Therefore, in this case, because GSTs and p450 are more important in DDT resistance than esterases, the mosquitoes here over produced GSTs and p450 but it down regulated the production of esterases. In the ben-

diocarb resistance, we have raised enzyme ratio for esterase followed by GSTs and then p450. This is very correct, because in bendiocarb resistance the order of importance of these enzymes are esterases followed by GSTs and then p450. Therefore, esterases has the highest activity, which clearly explains the mechanism of resistance to bendiocarb. This finding agrees with previous studies conducted in Kano and Jigawa in northern Nigeria, where increased activity was seen with GSTs, esterase and monooxygenase in resistant populations of *An. gambiae* exposed to DDT, bendiocarb and deltamethrin (12, 31, 32). Similarly, some studies conducted in Cote d'Ivoire and Dakar reported increased levels of monooxygenase, GSTs and esterase (30, 48). Other studies from China and Afghanistan, also reported raised activities of detoxification enzymes (29, 39).

## Conclusion

Very high level of insecticide resistance was observed in this study with significant elevation of the detoxification enzymes activities both in the resistant and susceptible mosquitoes. Therefore, a recommendation to the malaria program in Nigeria is that it can adopt the control programme using Malathion since the programme cannot use deltamethrin or bendiocarb, DDT is out of the picture since it's not recommended for IRS in Nigeria. We also recommend considering the distribution of PBO nets where we observed high content of p450 in *Anopheles* populations.

## Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Dr Dayyabu Shehu, Dr Sulaiman Ibrahim, Prof, AJ Alhassan, Eng M.R.

Abai, Mr. Muhammad M Mukhtar and Nura Abubakar for all the support and advises given during this work.

## Funding

This research was co-sponsored by International Campus, Tehran University of Medical Science (Project No. 9513494001) and Institute of Environmental Research (Grant. No. 97-02-27-39814), Iran with technical support from Center for Infectious Diseases Research Bayero University, Kano.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

## References

1. World Health Organization (2016). World malaria report. In *WHO Global Malaria Programme*. Geneva, Switzerland.
2. Nigeria Malaria Indicator Survey (2015). The Federal Republic of Nigeria Publication. Pp.190. <https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/MIS20/MIS20.pdf>
3. World Health Organization (2019). World Malaria Report. Pp.232. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565721>
4. Ibrahim SS, Mukhtar MM, Datti JA, et al (2019). Temporal escalation of pyrethroid resistance in the major malaria vector *Anopheles coluzzii* from Sahelo-Sudanian region of northern Nigeria. *Sci Rep*, 9 (1): 7395.
5. Olatunbosun-Oduola A, Abba E, Adelaja O, et al (2019). Widespread report of multiple insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae s.l* mosquitoes in eight communities in southern gombe, north-eastern Nigeria. *J Arthropod-Borne Dis*, 13(1): 50–61.
6. Coetzee M (2004). Distribution of the African malaria vectors of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*, 70 (2): 103-4.



7. Yakob L (2011). Epidemiological consequences of a newly discovered cryptic subgroup of *Anopheles gambiae*. *Biol Lett*,7 (6): 947-9.
8. Habibu U, Yayo A, Yusuf Y (2017). Susceptibility status of *Anopheles gambiae* complex to Insecticides commonly used for malaria control in northern Nigeria. *Inter J Sci Tech Res*,6 (6): 1-8.
9. Mohammed BR, Abdulsalam YM, Deeni YY (2015). Insecticide resistance to *Anopheles* spp. mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Nigeria. *Inter J Mosquito Res*, 2(3): 56-63.
10. Ibrahim SS, Manu YA, Tukur Z, et al (2014). High frequency of kdr L1014F is associated with pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles coluzzii* in Sudan savannah of northern Nigeria. *BMC Infect Dis*,14 (1): 441.
11. Habibu UA, Andrew JS, Hapca S, et al (2017). Malaria vectors resistance to commonly used insecticides in the control of Malaria in Bichi, Northern Nigeria. Bayero . *J Pure Appl Sci*,10 (1): 1-6.
12. Safiyanu M, Alhassan A, Abubakar A (2016). Detoxification enzymes activities in deltamethrin and bendiocarb resistant and susceptible malarial vectors (*Anopheles gambiae*) breeding in Bichi agricultural and residential sites, Kano state, Nigeria. Bayero. *J Pure Appl Sci*,9 (1): 142-9.
13. Devonshire AL, Devine GJ, Moores GD (1992). Comparison of microplate esterase assays and immunoassay for identifying insecticide resistant variants of *Myzus persicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Bull Entomol Res*,82 (4): 459-463.
14. Ranson H, Rossiter L, Orтели F, et al (2001). Identification of a novel class of insect glutathione S-transferases involved in resistance to DDT in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Biochem J*,359 (2): 295-304.
15. Hemingway J, Ranson H (2000). Insecticide resistance in insect vectors of human disease. *Annu Rev of Entomol*,45 (1): 371-91.
16. Wu L, Gu J, Weng Y, et al (2003). Conditional knockout of the mouse NADPH-cytochrome p450 reductase gene. *Genesis*,36 (4): 177-81.
17. Berman R (2004). Recurrence in Hemingway and Cézanne. *The Hemingway Review*,23 (2): 21-36.
18. Kostaropoulos I, Papadopoulos AI, Metaxakis A, et al (2001). Glutathione S-transferase in the defence against pyrethroids in insects. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol*,31 (4-5): 313-9.
19. Diabate A, Baldet T, Chandre F, et al (2002). The role of agricultural use of insecticides in resistance to pyrethroids in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l in Burkina Faso. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*, 67 (6): 617-22.
20. Aldridge W (1953). Serum esterases. 2. An enzyme hydrolysing diethyl p-nitrophenyl phosphate (E 600) and its identity with the A-esterase of mammalian sera. *Biochem J*,53 (1): 117-124.
21. Scott JA (1995). The molecular genetics of resistance: resistance as a response to stress. *Fla Entomol*,78 (3): 399-414.
22. Brogdon WG, McAllister JC (1998). Insecticide resistance and vector control. *Emerg Infect Dis*,4 (4): 605-13.
23. Hemingway J, Karunaratne S (1998). Mosquito carboxylesterases: a review of the molecular biology and biochemistry of a major insecticide resistance mechanism. *Med Vet Entomol*,12 (1): 39-45.
24. Scott TW, Takken W, Knols BG, Boëte C (2002). The ecology of genetically modified mosquitoes. *Science*,298 (5591): 117-9.
25. Nelson DR, Koymans L, Kamataki T, et al (1996). P450 superfamily: update on new sequences, gene mapping, accession numbers and nomenclature. *Pharmacogenetics*,6 (1): 1-42.
26. Chareonviriyaphap T, Rongnoparut P, Chantarumporn P, Bangs MJ (2003). Biochemical detection of pyrethroid resistance mechanisms in *Anopheles minimus* in Thailand. *J Vector Ecol*,28 (1): 108-16.
27. Nikou D, Ranson H, Hemingway J (2003). An adult-specific CYP6 P450 gene is overexpressed in a pyrethroid-resistant strain of the malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae*. *Gene*,318: 91-102.
28. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Takahashi M, Tanemura K, et al (2000). Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation reduces adipose tissue by apoptosis and develops lipodystrophy in mice. *Diabetes*,49 (9): 1534-42.
29. Zhong D, Chang X, Zhou G, et al (2013). Relationship between knockdown resistance, metabolic detoxification and organismal

- resistance to pyrethroids in *Anopheles sinensis*. *PLoS One*,8 (2): e55475.
30. Koffi AA, Alou LPA, Kabran J-PK, et al (2013). Re-visiting insecticide resistance status in *Anopheles gambiae* from Côte d'Ivoire: a nationwide informative survey. *PLoS One*,8 (12): e82387.
  31. Imam AA, Deeni Y (2015). Larval productivity and detoxification enzymes profile in response to physico-chemical environmental factors of *Anopheles gambiae* breeding ecologies in Nigeria. *Br J Appl Sci Technol*,5 (6): 595-561.
  32. Alhassan A, Sule M, Dangambo M, et al (2015). Detoxification enzymes activities in DDT and Bendiocarb resistant and susceptible malarial vector (*Anopheles gambiae*) Breed in Auyo residential and irrigation sites northwest Nigeria. *Eur Sci J*, 11 (9): 315-325.
  33. Charlwood J, Qassim M, Elnsur E, et al (2001). The impact of indoor residual spraying with malathion on malaria in refugee camps in eastern Sudan. *Acta Trop*,80 (1): 1-8.
  34. World Health Organization (2016). Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes. Pp.21.
  35. World Health Organization (2013). Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vectors, bio-efficacy and persistence of insecticides on treated surfaces: report of the WHO informal consultation, Geneva.pp.54
  36. Map of Nigeria showing vegetation zones. <https://www.google.com/search?source=univ&tbm=isch&q=36.+Map+of+Nigeria+showing+vegetation+zones&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEWjQ2ZPRis3tAhVxA2MBHYuvDMEQjJkEegQIAhAB> (Accessed on 24th February, 2019).
  37. Spotlight on Gombe: life and livelihoods in the host communitie [https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/nigeria\\_humanitarian\\_bulletin\\_october2015.pdf](https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/nigeria_humanitarian_bulletin_october2015.pdf) (Retrieved on the 4th of July, 2019).
  38. Adetifa IM, Adamu AL, Karani A, et al (2018). Nasopharyngeal Pneumococcal Carriage in Nigeria: a two-site, population-based survey. *Sci Rep*,8 (1): 3509.
  39. Research Institute Development, 44 Boulevard de Dunkerque, 13002 Marseille, France
  40. Safi NHZ, Ahmadi AA, Nahzat S, et al (2017). Evidence of metabolic mechanisms playing a role in multiple insecticides resistance in *Anopheles stephensi* populations from Afghanistan. *Malar J*,16 (1): 100-110.
  41. Swinehart DF (1962). The beer-lambert law. *J Chem Educ* , 39(7),333
  42. Brandford MM (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem*, 72(1-2), 248-254.
  43. Nutifafa GG, Hanafi-Bojd AA, Oshaghi M, et al (2017). Insecticide Susceptibility status of *An. gambiae* s. l.(Culicidae: Giles) from selected inland and coastal agricultural areas of Ghana. *J Entomol Zool Stud*,5 (1): 701-707.
  44. Baffour-Awuah S, Annan AA, Maiga-Ascofare O, et al (2016). Insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in Kumasi, Ghana. *Parasites & Vectors*,9 (1): 633.
  45. Oduola AO, Idowu ET, Oyebola MK, et al (2012). Evidence of carbamate resistance in urban populations of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s mosquitoes resistant to DDT and deltamethrin insecticides in Lagos, South-Western Nigeria. *Parasites & Vectors*,5 (1): 116.
  46. Umar A, Kabir B, Amajoh C, et al (2014). Susceptibility test of female anopheles mosquitoes to ten insecticides for indoor residual spraying (IRS) baseline data collection in Northeastern Nigeria. *J Entomol Zool Stud*,6 (7): 98-103.
  47. Ibrahim SS, Mukhtar MM, Irving H, et al (2019). High Plasmodium infection and multiple insecticide resistance in a major malaria vector *Anopheles coluzzii* from Sahel of Niger Republic. *Malaria Journal*,18 (1): 181.
  48. Dia AK, Guèye OK, Niang EA, et al (2018). Insecticide resistance in *Anopheles arabiensis* populations from Dakar and its suburbs: role of target site and metabolic resistance mechanisms. *Malaria Journal*,17 (1): 116.

