



Risky Sexual Behaviors and Condom Use Barriers in Iranian Women with Substance Use Disorders

Effat Mergati Khoei^{1,2}, *Zahed Rezaei^{3,4}, Minoo Mohraz⁵, Alireza Bayat¹,
Fatemeh Ghanbarpour¹, Therese Killeen⁶, Jeffrey E. Korte⁷

1. Iranian National Center for Addiction Studies, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
2. Brain and Spinal Cord Injury Research Center, Neuroscience Institution, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
3. Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Gonabad University of Medical sciences, Gonabad, Iran
4. Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Asadabad, Iran
5. Iranian Research Center for HIV/AIDS, Iranian Institute for Reduction of High-Risk Behaviors, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
6. Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, USA
7. Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, USA

*Corresponding Author: Email: zahed.rezaei1991@gmail.com

(Received 15 Feb 2022; accepted 13 May 2022)

Abstract

Background: Scant information exists on Iranian women's protective behaviors mainly constant condom use. Inconsistent condom use seems prevalent among women with substance use problems. We aimed to investigate risky sexual behaviors (RSBs) and condom use barriers in Iranian women with substance use disorders (SUDs).

Methods: In our cross-sectional study, we recruited 300 women who sought treatments for their SUDs from the active outpatient drug free (ODF) and Methadone Maintenance Therapy (MMT) centers in Tehran, Iran during 2017-2021. We used three batteries including demographic questionnaire, the Risky Sexual Behavior Questionnaire (RSBQ); and the Condom Barriers Scale (CBS). The statistical software R, analysis of variance post hoc and multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) logistic regression tests were used in data analysis

Results: The majority reported at least one lifetime experience of RSBs. Our results show that only 22% of the participants 'always' use condom in their sexual encounters. The lowest and highest subscale scores of the CBS were related to Sexual Experience (SE) (2.47 ± 0.86) and access/availability structure (3.52 ± 0.7), respectively. RSBs had negative significant association with Partner Barrier (PB) subscale scores (OR = 0.4; 95% CI: 0.22 to 0.73) and effect on SE subscale scores (OR= 0.54; 95% CI: 0.31 to 0.94).

Conclusion: RSBs was prevalent among our study population. RSBs and condom use barriers are significantly interwoven. The condom use barriers were highly associated with the types of sexual encounters such as group sex or casual sexual relations than specific mean of sexual performance (i.e. anal sex). Gender-specific RSBs, STIs/HIV/AIDS prevention program is recommended for women with SUDs.

Keywords: Sexual risk behaviors; Condoms; Substance use disorders; Sexually-transmitted infections; Women

Introduction

The association of RSBs and drug use has been an area of concern for health professionals. Indi-

viduals with substance use disorders (SUD) are at increased risk for transmission of HIV and other



Copyright © 2023 Mergati Khoei et al. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license.
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited

sexually transmitted infections (1, 2). A strong connection has been reported in the world between sex, drug use and the formation of RSBs (3, 4). Gender-related issues varying by country make this connection much more complex (5). In a risky context (i.e. drug use), the alteration in sexuality of women resulting in RSBs has been widely studied (6, 7). These studies highlight the fact that gender-related dynamics are subject to change in the context of substance use (8).

Being under the influence of substances can alter judgment and inhibitory behaviors, resulting in involvement in risky and impulsive behaviors, particularly RSBs such as reduced or no condom use, increased number of sexual partners, using drugs at the time of sexual encounters, sex with high-risk sexual partners, and also exchanging sex for drugs or money (9, 10).

Prior to a decade ago, HIV transmission was most accounted for by sharing syringes. In the past decade, RSBs has been the primary source of HIV transmission. Between 2006 through 2016, 5-6% of HIV transmission through sex reached 42% of cases. More than 75% of cases of HIV transmission in women have been through sex (11). Considering the increasing prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases and other high-risk common behaviors, there are serious concerns about HIV transmission through RSBs, especially in women (12).

At present, the consistent use of condoms in sexual contacts is one of the most effective, available and cost effective methods for preventing and reducing the risk of transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (13). Promoting the use of condoms and implementing supportive policies plays a significant role in the decline of the growing trend of HIV transmission and development of AIDS (14); however, despite the limited literature on sexual behaviors in Iran, especially women in high-risk groups, direct and indirect evidence suggests a low prevalence of using protective behaviors (15-17). In addition, the lack of awareness of protective behaviors among women in high-risk groups suggests a primary reason for a lack of protective behaviors among this group of women (18).

It is questionable that even despite having the knowledge, skills and self-efficacy to utilize protective behaviors, their ability to implement such behaviors in a relationship may be more of a factor. In many cases, despite positive attitudes toward condom use, knowledge of elevated risk, and even intention of the behavior, condoms are not used (19, 20). Identifying barriers to consistent condom use in women with substance use disorders (SUD) may help explain underutilization, thus informing and improving gender specific prevention interventions; may be a significant factor associated with drug effects (21).

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to better identify the barriers to condom and its relationship with status of high-risk sexual behaviors among Iranian women with SUD.

Methods

Design and Participants

The study started in 2018; we received ethics approval from both the State Welfare Organization and Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS).

Study sites were public health centers and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in the province of Tehran. The research sites, ODF and MMT centers were selected from 1800 active addiction treatment centers. Criteria for the selection of centers included: a) having registered clients with maximum diversity in terms of social, economic and geographical conditions, b) having at least 500 active SUDs cases, and adequate staffing consisting of medical physicians, psychologists, nurses and social workers. Six centers from the north, south, west and east of Tehran were selected and presented for study participation to the research committee of welfare organization. Optimum sample size was determined at 300 based on power calculations. Inclusion criteria for the participants were as follows: a) women over 15 years, b) sexually active (oral, anal or vaginal) with any sexual partner (man / woman) in the last six months, c) a history of SUDs, and currently in treatment (with or without pharma-

cotherapy), and d) able to complete the consent form. Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from the participants at the first visit. The exclusion criteria were women who were unable or decided not to respond to questions throughout the data collection period.

Ethical considerations

This study was approved and funded by the Iranian Research Center for HIV/AIDS, (Ethic Approval Code: 92-01-55-19799).

Measurement and Data Collection

The screening was performed daily by a nurse or a psychologist at the center. Three questionnaires were used to collect data: 1) a demographic questionnaire including age, marital status, and education, 2) the RSBQ derived from Family Health International Questionnaire and based on UN-AIDS indexes. This questionnaire assesses the frequency of unprotected sexual activity in the last six months, and consists of six parts: group sex activities, relationship with sex worker (woman or man), sex with money exchange, casual sex, oral sex and anal sex with men. This questionnaire was validated for the Iranian population with risky behaviors (22); 3) the CBS used by Calsyn et al (23). This questionnaire identifies specific barriers for condom use and has subscales including partner-related barriers (8 items), sexual experience barriers (7 items), access/availability barriers (8 items), and motivational barriers (6 items), with all responses rated on a 5-point Likert scale from strongly agree to strongly disagree. Lower scores indicate more frequent endorsement of barriers to using condoms. The English version of the questionnaire was prepared using Lawshe and translation-back-translation methods for reliability and validity. To ensure the validity and reliability, the questionnaire was given to 10 experts, and based on their views; Content Validity Index (CVI) and Content Validity Ratio (CVR) indexes were equal to 0.89 and 0.94, respectively. In addition, in assessing the internal consistency of the subscales, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.87.

Data Analysis

The MANOVA test was used to analyze the data regarding the association between response variables (condom use barriers). Furthermore, ANOVA post hoc and logistic regression tests were used to assess the achieved significant relationship in MANOVA test. All analyses were performed using the statistical software R, and the level of significance was set at 0.05. Since data collection was conducted with the active presence of researchers and face-to-face interviews, the missing data in this study did not cause serious problems such as reducing statistical power or bias in the estimation of parameters. In this survey, we used the available case analysis or list-wise deletion to handle our missing data.

Results

The mean age of the participants was 33.59 ± 7.84 , 23% were single, 22% were married and 32% were divorced. Most (35.7%) had a primary and high school degree (Table 1).

Generally, 84.3 had a history of at least one episode of RSBs in the past 12 months; 87% single, 69.7% married, and 90.6% divorced. Only 22% of the participants 'always' use condom in their sexual encounters (Table 1).

On the CBS, the lowest and the highest CBS subscale scores were the Sexual Experience (2.47 ± 0.86) and Access/Availability subscales (3.52 ± 0.7), respectively. In addition, the mean score for Partner Barriers and Motivational Barriers subscales was (2.85 ± 0.79) and (3.19 ± 0.71), respectively.

The MANOVA test was used to examine differences in mean score for each of the CBS subscales related to the level of education, marital status, and age. In this analysis, the four CBS subscales were considered as dependent variables, and the variables of education, marital status, and age were entered as independent variables to test for significant relationships. Significant results were obtained based on the type II sum of squares.

Table 1: Distribution of sexual risk behavior and the subjects' demographic characteristics (N=300)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>N (%)</i>	<i>Risky Behavior</i>
Marital Status		
Single (Never Married)	23 (69)	60 (87)
Married (permanent marriage)	22 (66)	46 (69.7)
Married (temporary marriage)	14 (42)	37 (88.1)
Divorced	32 (96)	87 (90.6)
Widowed	27 (9)	23 (85.2)
Educational Status		
Illiterate (no formal education)	19 (95)	20 (6.7)
Elementary	57 (87.7)	65 (21.7)
Secondary School	100 (93.5)	107 (35.7)
Diploma	69 (58)	84 (28)
Associate degree and bachelor degree	19 (79.2)	24 (8)

The results of MANOVA analysis show that there was a statistically significant difference between the condom use barriers based on education ($p < 0.001$) and marital status ($p < 0.001$). Further analysis was conducted using the ANO-

VA post hoc test to determine the effect of participant level of education, marital status and age separately for each of the CBS subscales evaluated (Table 2).

Table 2: The post hoc test (univariate ANOVA) to investigate which construct/s were influenced by education and marital status

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Motivational Barriers</i>	<i>Access/Availability</i>	<i>Sexual experience</i>	<i>Partner barriers</i>
Education				
	Mean \pm SD			
Illiterate	3.2 \pm 0.61	3.73 \pm 0.7	2.81 \pm 0.67	2.93 \pm 0.81
Elementary	3.1 \pm 0.69	3.45 \pm 0.56	2.91 \pm 0.62	2.95 \pm 0.47
Secondary school	3.1 \pm 0.7	3.55 \pm 0.72	2.74 \pm 0.77	2.98 \pm 0.76
Diploma	3.2 \pm 0.81	3.5 \pm 0.76	2.81 \pm 0.92	3.28 \pm 0.74
Associate degree and bachelor degree	3.38 \pm 0.53	3.42 \pm 0.72	2.6 \pm 0.99	3.14 \pm 0.59
F-value (P-value)	7.78(0.001)	0.67(< 0.6)	0.89(0.46)	3.43(0.009)
Marital Status				
	Mean \pm SD			
Single	2.87 \pm 0.73	3.41 \pm 0.83	2.54 \pm 0.8	2.94 \pm 0.71
Married (permanent)	3.06 \pm 0.81	3.40 \pm 0.6	2.91 \pm 0.86	3.05 \pm 0.89
Married (temporary)	3.49 \pm 0.65	3.69 \pm 0.68	3.08 \pm 0.73	3.22 \pm 0.65
Divorced	3.31 \pm 0.54	3.66 \pm 0.59	2.67 \pm 0.7	3.11 \pm 0.53
Widowed	3.40 \pm 0.7	3.28 \pm 0.8	3.1 \pm 0.84	2.99 \pm 0.73
F-value (P-value)	5.5(0.004)	1.01(0.36)	0.54(0.58)	0.83(0.43)

We found a statistically significant difference between the mean scores of Motivational Barriers ($p= 0.001$) and Partner Barriers ($p= 0.009$) at different levels of education, such that the Partner Barriers subscales scores were generally higher in people with higher education. In addition, at the levels of marital status, there was a statistically significant difference between the mean scores on the Motivational Barriers subscales ($p = 0.004$) Such that motivational barriers scores were generally lower in single women (Table 2). We also examined the relationship between the CBS subscales and risky sexual behaviors. For this purpose, a significance test was conducted at the macro level using MANOVA (Table 3). Then, appropriate post hoc tests were used for significant cases (Table 4).

Logistic regression analysis was used due to two-state (Yes/No) risky sexual behavior components. The results of the test for risky sexual behaviors in general and CBS subscales are given in Table 3. Partner Barrier subscale scores had a significant negative ($p= 0.003$) relationship with risky sexual behavior such that a 1 point increase in condom use, reduced the chance for risky sexual behavior by 60 percent. In addition, Effect on Sexual Experience subscale scores had a significant negative ($p= 0.03$) relationship with risky sexual behavior such that a 1 point increase in condom use, reduced the chance for risky sexual behavior by 46 percent. There were no other statistically significant relationships between risky sexual behavior and other CBS subscales (Table 3).

Table 3: Odds ratios (OR) for sexual risk behavior with barriers to condom use subscales as predictors

<i>P- value</i>	<i>CI 95%</i>	<i>OR</i>	<i>Structures</i>
Partner Barriers	0.003	0.22-0.73	0.4
Effect on Sexual Experience	0.03	0.31-0.94	0.54
Access/Availability	0.1	0.88-3.76	1.82
Motivational Barriers	0.08	0.91-3.55	1.8

Results of the logistic regression post hoc analysis for relationships between Partner Barrier, Effect

on Sexual Experience subscale and types of sexual risk behaviors are provided in Table 4.

Table 4: Partner barriers, effect on sexual experience subscale and sexual risk behavior based on types of sexual behaviors

<i>SRB</i>		<i>Relationship with sex worker (woman or man)</i>	<i>Sex with money exchange</i>	<i>Anal sex</i>	<i>Oral sex</i>	<i>Group sexual relationships</i>	<i>Casual sexual relationships</i>
Partner barrier	AOR	0.45	0.38	0.78	0.5	0.39	0.52
	CI (95%)	0.27-0.76	0.24-0.59	0.5-1.19	0.31-0.8	0.24-0.63	0.34-0.8
	P-value	0.003	<0.001	0.25	0.004	<0.001	0.003
Effect on sexual experience	AOR	0.93	0.68	0.48	0.55	0.66	1.16
	CI (95%)	0.59-1.44	0.46-1.01	0.32-0.72	0.36-0.85	0.43-1.01	0.8-1.7
	P-value	0.75	0.05	<0.001	0.008	0.06	0.42

As shown in Table 4, the Partner Barriers subscale had a significant adverse association with

any types of sexual behaviors but anal sex. Thus, by increasing one point (1 unit) of this subscale

probability of risky sexual behavior was decreased as: group sex 61%, contact with sex worker 55%, sex for money exchanges 62%, casual sex 48%, and oral sex 50%.

The Effect of sexual experience subscale was significantly associated with sex with money, shelter or goods exchange, oral sex with men anal sex. By increasing one point (1 unit) of this subscale probability of risky sexual behavior was decreased by 32% for sex for money, shelter or goods exchange, 45% for oral sex with men, and 52% for anal sex.

Discussion

The study was conducted to identify barriers to condom use in Iranian women with SUD and its association with high-risk sexual behaviors. The results of this study showed that the majority of participants in this study (84.3%) had a history of a high-risk behavior that was more prevalent than earlier studies conducted with both male and female SUDs in Iran (15, 24). This suggests that there may be an increase in the prevalence of high-risk sexual behaviors in women with SUD and, ultimately, the increased likelihood of the spread of sexually transmitted infections, especially HIV/AIDS. In explaining this finding, women's sexual behaviors can be significantly influenced by drug use (3, 4). However, about one fifth (20.4 %) of non-SUDs house wives as well as women's barber (36%) reported sexual risk behaviors in Bagheri and Faramarzi's cross sectional study (25). Non-SUDs are different from SUDs population in committing SRB. Individuals with SUD have been reported to have a reduced perception of vulnerability to disease risk (26). The use of drugs and alcohol affects judgment that can endanger individuals with regard to making decisions about sexual risk behavior (27, 28). Similar to others, Iranian studies have also shown that drug use is associated with unhealthy sexual behaviors such as having multiple sexual partners, and engaging in sexual relations in exchange for drugs (29, 30). In the current study, lack of condom use was associated with certain

risky sexual behaviors in women with SUD. More likely, the meaning of sexual behaviors is different for a SUD woman than men in the Iranian contexts. For instance, our previous study with men revealed that men's sexual experiences have less impact in their risky behaviors (15). Socio economic status of female SUDs are more affected than men such as exchanging sex for money or shelter similar to our findings (31, 32).

The partner barriers subscale of the CBS had a significant and negative relationship with all other types of high-risk sexual activities except anal sex. There were no marital status differences in partner barriers suggesting that type of partner relationship was not a factor. Others have found that condom is used less frequently with primary partners. Two main study with Iranian female SUDs experiencing intimate partner violence showed that women with permanent marriage reported less or lack of condom use during their penetrative sex (33, 34).

Intervention efforts geared toward addressing the partner barrier to condom use could positively affect other high-risk behaviors. The gender-specific interventions can be efficient if they overcome power dominance in sexual relationships or lack of assertive communication of sex partners (35). In an HIV risk reduction intervention study Campbell et al., reported reductions in barriers to condom use, especially partner barriers, was associated with the reduction of unprotected sex occasions in both men and women (30). Merghati Khoei et al., explored the relationship between high-risk sexual behaviors and barriers to condom use in Iranian men with drug abuse disorders, a significant relationship was found between the partner barriers subscale and high-risk sexual behavior (15). Anecdotally, in Iranian contexts sex partners are not interested in using condom at the time of penetrative sexual encounters, due to: fear of breaking down the partnership, lack of trust in the sex partner, deceiving the partner, losing interest in sex, getting angry, humiliating and insulting a sex partner.

Gender cultural norms assigned to women in terms of sexual, social and economic power may impact whether a women feels she can make a

condom use decision or even have a conversation about condom use. This is one example of the increased vulnerability women face in terms of their risk for HIV/ STD transmission and other health related concerns (36, 37). In the Campbell et al., HIV risk reduction study, the reduction of sexual experience condom barrier in women was associated with the reduction of unprotected sex occasions with men (38). In the recent study conducted by Merghati-Khoei et al., there was no relationship found between the sexual experience condom barrier and high-risk sexual activities in men with SUD (15). In the current study, women reported negative perceptions toward sexual experience with condom. Several verbal reports include: "it doesn't feel good or natural", "not fitting well", "changing orgasm", "interrupting the mood", and "interfering with feeling close to the partner". Others studies (18, 37) have found similar reasons women in this study do not tend to negotiate safe sex, condom use with their sexual partners.

Strengths and Limitations

Research with women in the field of sexual behaviors, especially with sex workers, is very challenging in Iran. However, by accessing these women by the researchers who had been providing services to these women for years, we were able to obtain valid data for analysis.

The primary limitation of this cross-sectional study was less possibility to determine whether experiencing barriers to condom use increases the risky behaviors of the participants or the contrary.

Conclusion

RSBs and condom use barriers in Iranian female with SUDs are significantly interwoven. Sexual risk behaviors were found prevalent in our study population. The condom use barriers were highly related to the relational factors such as sex working type of encounters, group sex or casual form of sexual relations than specific mean of sex (i.e.

anal sex). Our findings suggest gender-specific SRB, STIs/HIV/AIDS prevention program planning for Iranian women with SUDs.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude to Professor Kathleen Brady for her professional input in the project. We specially thank the participants who shared their information with us.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Meredith SE, Rash CJ, Petry NM (2017). Alcohol use disorders are associated with increased HIV risk behaviors in cocaine-dependent methadone patients. *J Subst Abuse Treat*, 83:10-14.
2. Liu D, Jiang Z, Xiu C, et al (2017). Sexually transmitted infection prevalence and related risk factors among heterosexual male methamphetamine users in China. *Int J STD AIDS*, 28(12):1208-1214.
3. Ritchwood TD, Ford H, DeCoster J, et al (2015). Risky sexual behavior and substance use among adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Child Youth Serv Rev*, 52:74-88.
4. Scott-Sheldon LA, Carey KB, Cunningham K, et al (2016). Alcohol use predicts sexual decision-making: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the experimental literature. *AIDS Behav*, 20(1):19-39.
5. Pan Y, Metsch LR, Wang W, et al (2017). Gender differences in HIV sexual risk behaviors among clients of substance use disorder treatment programs in the US. *Arch Sex Behav*, 46(4):1151-1158.
6. Corbin WR, Scott CJ, Treat TA (2016). Sociosexual attitudes, sociosexual behaviors, and alcohol use. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*, 77(4):629-37.
7. Rammsayer TH, Borter N, Troche SJ (2017). The effects of sex and gender-role characteristics on facets of sociosexuality in

- heterosexual young adults. *J Sex Res*, 54(2):254-263.
8. Jamshidimanesh M, Mousavi SA, Merghati-Khoei E, et al (2016). Sexual risk behaviors constructed in Iranian women's life with substance use disorders: A new implication of human ecological theory. *Addict Health*, 8(3):157-169.
 9. Feaster DJ, Parish CL, Gooden L, et al (2016). Substance use and STI acquisition: Secondary analysis from the AWARE study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 169:171-179.
 10. Raj A, Saitz R, Cheng DM, et al (2007). Associations between alcohol, heroin, and cocaine use and high risk sexual behaviors among detoxification patients. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse*, 33(1):169-78.
 11. Ministry of Health and Medical Education (2015). Current Statistics on HIV/AIDS Infection in Islamic Republic of Iran. Center for Disease Management, MOH: Tehran, Iran. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents/IRN_narrative_report_2015
 12. Ministry of Health and Medical Education, National AIDS Committee Secretariat (2014). Islamic Republic of Iran Progress Report On Monitoring of the United Nations General Assembly Special Session on HIV and AIDS. Available from:
 - a. <https://files.unaids.org/es/dataanalysis/knownyoursresponse/countryprogressreports/2014>
 13. Pettifor A, MacPhail C, Corneli A, et al (2011). Continued high risk sexual behavior following diagnosis with acute HIV infection in South Africa and Malawi: implications for prevention. *AIDS Behav*, 15(6):1243-50.
 14. Rojanapithayakorn W (2006). The 100% condom use programme in Asia. *Reprod Health Matters*, 14(28):41-52.
 15. Merghati-Khoei ES, Rezaei Z, Shojaei-Zadeh D, et al (2017). Sexual risk behaviors and condom use barriers in Iranian men with substance use disorders. *Addict Health*, 9(1):40-47.
 16. Taghizadeh H, Taghizadeh F, Fathi M, et al (2015). Drug use and high-risk sexual behaviors of women at a drop-in center in Mazandaran Province, Iran, 2014. *Iran J Psychiatry Behav Sci*, 9(2):e1047.
 17. Karamouzian M, Mirzazadeh A, Rawat A, et al (2017). Injection drug use among female sex workers in Iran: Findings from a nationwide bio-behavioural survey. *Int J Drug Policy*, 44:86-91.
 18. Tehrani FR, Malek-Afzali H (2008). Knowledge, attitudes and practices concerning HIV/AIDS among Iranian at-risk sub-populations. *East Mediterr Health J*, 14(1):142-56.
 19. Norris J, Stoner SA, Hessler DM, et al (2009). Cognitive mediation of alcohol's effects on women's in-the-moment sexual decision making. *Health Psychol*, 28(1):20-28
 20. El-Bassel N, Caldeira NA, Ruglass LM, et al (2009). Addressing the unique needs of African American women in HIV prevention. *Am J Public Health*, 99(6):996-1001.
 21. Calsyn DA, Peavy M, Wells EA, et al (2013). Differences between men and women in condom use, attitudes, and skills in substance abuse treatment seekers. *Am J Addict*, 22(2):150-157.
 22. Moayedi-Nia S, Bayat Jozani Z, Esmaeeli Djavid G, et al (2016). HIV, HCV, HBV, HSV, and syphilis prevalence among female sex workers in Tehran, Iran, by using respondent-driven sampling. *AIDS Care*, 28(4):487-90.
 23. Calsyn D, Doyle S, Hatch-Maillette M, et al (2006). Reliability, factor structure and validity of the Condom Barriers Scale for use with men in substance abuse treatment. Poster presented at the APA annual convention, New Orleans. Available from: ctndisseminationslibrary.org/PDF/141.pdf
 24. Moeini B, Hazavehei SMM, Mousali AA, et al (2016). Predicting factors in intentional safe sexual behaviors among drug abusing men covered by rehabilitation centers in Hamadan (Iran): applying the theory of planned behavior. *Koomesh*, 17(4): 888-894.
 25. Bagheri P, Faramarzi H (2012). The dispersal of high risk sexual behaviors in different occupations of people referred to council center of shiraz medical university. *ZJRMS*, 14(2):e93600.
 26. Bryan AD, Schmiede SJ, Magnan RE (2012). Marijuana use and risky sexual behavior among high-risk adolescents: trajectories, risk factors, and event-level relationships. *Dev Psychol*, 48(5):1429-42.

27. Castrucci BC, Martin SL (2002). The association between substance use and risky sexual behaviors among incarcerated adolescents. *Matern Child Health J*, 6(1):43-7.
28. Romero EG, Teplin LA, McClelland GM, et al (2007). A longitudinal study of the prevalence, development, and persistence of HIV/sexually transmitted infection risk behaviors in delinquent youth: implications for health care in the community. *Pediatrics*, 119(5):e1126.
29. Otto-Salaj LL, Gore-Felton C, McGarvey E, et al (2002). Psychiatric functioning and substance use: Factors associated with HIV risk among incarcerated adolescents. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev*, 33(2):91-106.
30. Lotfi R, Tehrani FR, Yaghmaei F, et al (2012). Barriers to condom use among women at risk of HIV/AIDS: a qualitative study from Iran. *BMC Womens Health*, 12(1):1-10.
31. Walters SM, Rivera AV, Reilly KH, Anderson BJ, Bolden B, Wogayehu A, et al (2018). Exchange sex among persons who inject drugs in the New York metropolitan area: The importance of local context, gender and sexual identity. *AIDS Behav*, 22(9):2773-87.
32. Guimarães RA, de Castro VdOL, Stabile AC, et al (2017). Gender differences in patterns of drug use and sexual risky behaviour among crack cocaine users in Central Brazil. *BMC Psychiatry*, 17(1):412.
33. Korte JE, Merghati-Khoie E, Rimaz S, et al (2014). Increased condom use with psychological abuse among drug-abusing women in Tehran. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 140:e110.
34. Merghati-Khoie E, Rimaz S, Korte JE, et al (2015). Intimate partner violence and risky sexual behaviors among Iranian women with substance use disorders. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*, 29:174.
35. Lotfi R, Tehrani FR, Khoie EM, et al (2013). How do women at risk of HIV/AIDS in Iran perceive gender norms and gendered power relations in the context of safe sex negotiations? *Arab Sex Behav*, 42(5):873-81.
36. Allen C, Simon Y, Edwards J, Simeon D (2010). Factors associated with condom use: economic security and positive prevention among people living with HIV/AIDS in the Caribbean. *AIDS Care*, 22(11):1386-94.
37. Lotfi R, Ramezani TF, Yaghmaei F, et al (2012). Socio-environmental barriers of condom use among women at risk for HIV/AIDS: a qualitative Study. *Payesh*, 11(5):669-678
38. Campbell AN, Brooks AJ, Pavlicova M, et al (2016). Barriers to condom use: Results for men and women enrolled in HIV risk reduction trials in outpatient drug treatment. *J HIV AIDS Soc Serv*.15(2):130-146.