**Review Article** 

Iran J Public Health, Vol. 51, No.11, Nov 2022, pp.2435-2448



# Prevalence and Distribution of Osteopenia in Chinese Population: A System Review and Meta-Analysis

# Yanpeng Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yinzhen Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Lanbo Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Guochen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Chengan Yang<sup>1</sup>, Changwei Zhao<sup>2</sup>, \*Wenhai Zhao<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun University of Chinese Medicine, Changchun 130117, China

2. Department of Orthopedics, Affiliated Hospital of Changchun University of Chinese Medicine, Changchun 130021, China

\*Corresponding Author: Email: zwh9899@163.com

(Received 08 Jan 2022; accepted 18 Apr 2022)

#### Abstract

**Background:** The number of patients with osteoporosis ranks first in the world in China and as a precursor state of osteoporosis, the number and incidence of osteopenia are much higher than that of osteoporosis. This meta-analysis was conducted to evaluate the incidence and distribution of osteopenia in adults in mainland of China over 10 years to provides reference for the early prevention of osteoporosis and policymaking.

**Methods:** Relevant data were extracted from the databases including CNKI, Wanfang, VIP, Web of Science, PubMed, EMBASE, and Medline about the surveys on osteoporosis and bone loss from 2010 to 2021 by searching. The random effects model was adopted for Meta-analysis, and the funnel plot was used to test publication bias.

**Results:** Forty-seven literatures were included in this study, covering 27 provinces and 38 regions in the mainland of China, with the study of 135,688 cases, showing that the prevalence of osteopenia in adults in the past decade was 28.3%(95% CI 24-33%), aged  $40\sim60$  yr old, reaching the highest level. The incidence of osteopenia in males was higher than that in females (P<0.05), without significant difference in south and north China. **Conclusion:** There is a large population with osteopenia in China, especially middle-aged population, both men and women should pay attention to osteopenia to delay its further progression.

Keywords: Osteopenia; Meta-analysis; Prevalence; China; Osteoporosis

# Introduction

With the rapid growth of aging population worldwide, osteoporosis has become an important public issue facing the world (1). In 2001, about 18 million people had osteoporosis in the US, and those with low bone mass was 1.8 times greater than those with osteoporosis (2). Approximately 22 million males and 5 million females have been diagnosed with osteoporosis in the EU, resulting economic burden amounting to  $\notin$ 37 billion (3). Osteopenia is the precursor of osteoporosis, with a hidden onset, once it develops into osteoporosis, it will not only increase the risk of fracture in patients, causing physical and psychological effects on patients, but also bring



Copyright © 2022 Wang et al. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited heavy burden to the family and society. Prevention is the key to the clinical management of osteoporosis. Therefore, it is of great significance to concern about the population with osteopenia and to carry out early intervention for the prevention and treatment for osteoporosis.

In China, the total number of patients with osteoporosis ranks first in the world, so it is particularly important to focus on the population with low bone mass and give early warning. Although there have been many clinical studies and epidemiological investigations on osteoporosis in China, there is a lack of large-scale epidemiological investigation on patients with osteopenia. Therefore, it is significance to identify the prevalence of osteopenia in adults and strengthen effective intervention for the prevention and mitigation of osteoporosis.

Therefore, we aimed to estimate the prevalence of osteopenia in the Chinese adult in order to provide reference.

# Materials and Methods

### Registration

This study has been registered in the INPLASY (INPLASY2021120009; DOI: 10.37766/inplasy2021.12.0009, https://inplasy.com/inplasy-2021-12-0009/).

### Data Sources and Searches

The following databases were searched: 1) English database: Web of science (Via Ovid), Pub-Med (Via Ovid), EMBASE (Via Ovid), Medline (Via Ovid); 2) Chinese database: CNKI (Via Ovid), Chinese Wanfang database (Via Ovid), VIP database (Via Ovid), Search terms :'osteoporosis', 'OP'' osteopenia', 'low bone mass', 'low bone mineral density', 'bone', 'prevalence', 'crosssectional', 'epidemiology', 'China', and 'Chinese' were used as our search strategies. The language was restricted to Chineses and English, with the year of publications from 2010 to 2021. Two investigators (Yinzhen Zhang and Lanbo Zhao) searched these databases independently, Original papers were obtained whenever possible, and the reference lists of these articles were further searched for relevant trials. Whenever necessary, the authors were contacted for additional information if necessary.

### Inclusion Criteria

1. Test method: Dual-energy Xray absorptiometry was adopted(DXA).

2. Period: The year of the studies published was restricted from January 2010 to April 2021.

3. Research method: Cross-sectional study, baseline investigation or prospective study were included in this study.

4. Information: Studies directly or indirectly provided information about the sample size or incidence of osteopenia with or without age specific estimates were included.

### Exclusion criteria

1. The subjects that specifically group (including specific profession or Postmenopausal women) were excluded.

2. Republished literature.

3. The studies failing to meet the inclusion criteria were excluded..

4. Reviews, commentaries, and case reports were also excluded.

### Date Extraction

Two investigators (Yinzhen Zhang and Lanbo Zhao) extracted the data indenpently, including author, year of publishing, equipment used for BMD measurement, diagnostic criteria, province, research design, research quality score, sample size of osteopenia. All the differences were settled by discussion between the two researchers. A third reviewer (Changwei Zhao) resolved the disagreements of data extraction.

### Quality assessment

The quality of each included study was assessed with the Quality assessment criteria of literatures (4), including 5 criteria with score  $1\sim5$ . Briefly, the 5 criterion are as follows: National epidemiological investigation report with a large sample size ( $\geq10000$ ) and a random pattern sampling (1 score); Provincial epidemiological reports with a large sample size ( $\geq 1000$ ) and a random pattern sampling (2 score); Epidemiological reports randomly sampled but investigated in a limited number of specific units (e.g., 2 or 3 county-level cities or institutes) (3 score); Reports that are not sampling in a random pattern, but with a large sample size ( $\geq 1000$ ) (4 score); Reports that are not sampled in a random pattern with a small sample size (<1000) (5 score).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out with R-studio 12.0. The prevalence of osteopenia with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for both overall and subgroups. The original study rates were first subjected to Log-transformed, Logittransformed, arcsine square root- transformed, Freeman-Tukey Double arcsine transformed, and then normal tests were carried out respectively. After the normal test, the original rate or converted rate most consistent with the normal distribution was selected for Meta-analysis (5). Heterogeneity test of each outcome was conducted by chi-square test, if  $I^2 < 50\%$ , the fixed effect model was adopted for a Meta-analysis, otherwise, the random effects model was performed. Egger's Test were used for qualitative judgement of bias. P < 0.05 shows statistically significant.

### Results

Fig. 1 shows the flow diagram for selection from databases.



Fig. 1: Flow diagram for identifying studies

The 47 studies included in this meta-analysis are shows in Table 1. Among them, year of publishing was restrained from 2010 to 2020, the sample size ranged from 167 to 20,899, covering 27 of total 34 provinces and 38 cities in China, including 48,290 cases of males and 63,566 cases of females (The data of men and women were not reported in 7 literatures (6-12)). In terms of regional distribution, there were 25 papers involving south China and 22 studies involving north China. There were 42 literatures taking the WHO as the standard for osteopenia, and 4 literatures based on the Chinese standard. The included subjects ranged in age from 18 to 100 yr old, with the average literature quality score of 3.4 points, which indicates that the literature quality was below the medium level.

Study ID	Region	Equipment	Sam- ple	Diagnostic criteria	Quality score	
Wang.et al.2017(13)	Changchun, Jilin	American GE Lunar- Prodigy Advance	167	M-1.0S~2.5S	3	
Wang.et al.2016(14)	Danyang, Jiangsu	American GE Lunar	1123	M-1.08~2.58	2	
Pan.et al.2011(6)	Shanghai	America Norland XR-36	1157	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Zeng.et al.2020(7)	Changsha, Hunan	DEXA	1245	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Gao.et al.2019(15)	BeiJing	America Hologic- Discovery W	2198	M-1.08~2.58	3	
Zhang.et al.2020(16)	BeiJing	America Discovery DEXA	346	M-1.0S~2.5S	3	
Yang.et al .2011(17)	BeiJing	DTX-200	19609	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Liu.et al.2019(18)	BeiJing	American GE Lunar- Prodigy	821	M-1.08~2.58	3	
Huang.et al.2016(19)	Chengdu, Sichuan	American GE Lunar- Prodigy Advance	15273	M-1.08~2.58	2	
Yang.et al 2012(20)	Chifeng, Inner Mongolia	American GE Dpx Bravo	4623	M-1.0S~2.5S	4	
Li.et al.2014(8)	Dalian, Liaoning	DEXA	1026	M-1.0S~2.5S	3	
Yang.et al.2017(21)	Gansu	DTX-200	12085	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Xu.et al.2014(9)	Nantong, Jiangsu	Italy DEXA	2757	M-1.08~2.58	4	
Huang.et al.2012(22)	ZhanJiang, Guang- dong	American Hologic QDR 4500A	480	M-1.0S~2.5S	5	
Yang.et al.2013(23)	Guiyang, Guizhou	American GE Lunar- Prodigy Advance	534	M-1.0S~2S	5	
Zhou.et al.2020(24)	Haikou, Hainan	America Hologic-AST- 00409	2176	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Chen.et al.2010(25)	Haikou, Hainan	American GE Lunar DPX-MD	531	M-1.0S~2.5S	3	
Ye.et al.2017(10)	Hainan	NR	7286	M-1.08~2.58	4	
Li.et al.2011(26)	Inner Mongolia	American GE Lunar Bra- vo	1000	M-1.0S~2S	4	
Liang.et al.2016(27)	Hulunbeier,Inner Mongolia	France MEDIX90	3123	M-1.0S~2.5S	2	
Chen.et al.2010(28)	Shiyan, Hubei	DTX-200	3026	M-1.0S~2S	4	

#### Table 1: Basic information of included studies

Chen.et al.2011(29)	Shaoyang, Hunan American GE DPX Brav		4003	M-1.0S~2.5S	2
Zeng.et al.2020(11)	Changsha, Hunan	DEXA.NR	1245	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Tan.et al.2013(30)	Huizhou, Guang- dong	DXEA.NR	906	M-1.0S~2.5S	5
Zhang.et al.2016(31)	Sunan, Jiangsu	America Hologic- Discovery W	4972	NR	4
Hao.et al.2019(32)	Kunshan, Jiangsu	American GE Lunar DPX-NP	1551	M-1.08~2.5S	2
Zong.et al.2020(33)	Qingdao, Shandong	American GE Lunar	1180	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Huang.et al.2017(34)	Nanning, Guangxi	America Hologic DEXA	20899	M-1.0S~2.5S	2
Huang.et al.2015(12)	Taiyan, Shanxi	American GE DXA	2324	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Zeng.et al.2012(35)	Xi'an, Shanxi	America Hologic ODR- 2000	564	M-1.0S~2.5S	3
Bing.et al.2017(36)	Ningxia	MED IX-90	1925	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Shang.et al.2020(37)	Xinjiang	DEXA.NR	1846	M-1.0S~2.5S	2
Liao.et al.2010(38)	Kelamayi, Xinjiang	America Hologic DEXA	2513	M-1.0S~2.5S	2
Chen.et al.2020(39)	Xining, Qinghai	American GE DXA	1373	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Ma.et al.2018(40)	Taiyuan, Shanxi	America Hologic- Discovery WI	6000	M-1.08~2.5S	4
Gou.et al.2016(41)	Lanzhou, Gangu	France MRI-OSTEO - Core	432	M-1.0S~2.5S	3
Guo.et al.2017(42)	Liangshan, Sichuan	American GE Lunar DPX-MD	760	NR	5
Huang.et al.2016(43)	Mianyang, Sichuan	NR	5039	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Zhang.et al.2011(44)	Dandong, Liaoning	American DTX-200	732	M-1.0S~2.0S	5
Wang.et al.2016(45)	Ningbo, Zhejiang	American GE Lunar prod- igy	444	M-1.0S~2.5S	5
Zhang.et al.2017(46)	Fuzhou, Fujian	American GE DEXA	504	M-1.0S~2.5S	5
Chen.et al.2010(47)	Guangdong, Guangzhou	France DMS Lexxox	1024	M-1.08~2.5S	4
Lu.et al.2017(48)	Beijing	Korea Osteosys EXA- 3000	1296	M-1.0S~2.5S	4
Zhou.et al.2014(49)	Changdu, Sichuan	Ltaly 85/A41100	454	M-1.0S~2.5S	5
Zhao.et al.2017(50)	Taiyuan, Shanxi	America Hologic DEXA	529	M-1.0S~2.5S	3
Wu.et al.2013(51)	Wuhan, Hubei	America Hologic Dis- covery	183	M-1.08~2.5S	3
Liu.et al.2021(52)	Shanghai	America Hologic	1098	M-1.0S~2.5S	4

### Overall incidence of osteopenia

Meta-analysis of all the included studies showed that the overall incidence of osteopenia in China was 28.3% (95% CI:24-33%) (Fig. 2), which began to rise among those more than 40 yr old and

peaked between  $60 \sim 70$  yr old, then began to decrease. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of osteopenia between the groups aged  $15 \sim 30$  yr old and  $30 \sim 40$  yr old (P > 0.05). The incidence by age group is shown in Fig. 3.

Study	Event	Total		Proportion(95%-CI)
Pan.et al.2011	55	1157	•	0.05(0.04~0.06)
Zhou.et al.2020	167	2176		0.08(0.07~0.09)
Huang.et al.2016	1174	15273		0.08(0.07~0.08)
Hao.et al.2019	165	1551	H	0.11(0.09~0.12)
Zhang.et al.2011	122	732	H <b>H</b> H	0.17(0.14~0.20)
Chen.et al.2010	89	531	H <b>a</b> H	0.17(0.14~0.20)
Chen.et al.2010	527	3026	-	0.17(0.16~0.19)
Huang.et al.2012	88	480	H <b>B</b> -4	0.18(0.15~0.22)
Yang.et al.2017	2256	12085		0.19(0.18~0.19)
Yang.et al .2011	3872	19609		0.20(0.19~0.20)
Guo.et al.2017	159	760	H	0.21(0.18~0.24)
Liang.et al.2016	656	3121		0.21(0.20~0.22)
Wu.et al.2013	40	183	<b>▶</b> → <b>₩</b> →→1	0.22(0.16~0.29)
Shang.et al.2020	409	1846	• <b>=</b> •	0.22(0.20~0.24)
Zhang.et al.2017	112	504	H <b>E</b> H	0.22(0.19~0.26)
Ye.et al.2017	1722	7286		0.24(0.23~0.25)
Zhou.et al.2014	111	454	H <b>2</b> 1	0.24(0.21~0.29)
Zeng.et al.2012	141	564	<b>⊢</b> ∎1	0.25(0.21~0.29)
Huang.et al.2016	1281	5039		0.25(0.24~0.27)
Bing.et al.2017	498	1925		0.26(0.24~0.28)
Yang.et al.2013	139	534	F	0.26(0.22~0.3)
Tan.et al.2013	247	906	H <b>an</b> t	0.27(0.24~0.3)
Chen.et al.2020	380	1373	HE	0.28(0.25~0.30)
Ma.et al.2018	1666	6000		0.28(0.27~0.29)
Li.et al.2011	280	1000	H	0.28(0.25~0.31)
Li.et al.2014	293	1026	+- <mark></mark> +	0.29(0.26~0.31)
Lu.et al.2017	407	1296	H <mark>an</mark> -H	0.31(0.29~0.34)
Gou.et al.2016	136	432	<b>⊢</b> ∎1	0.31(0.27~0.36)
Liu.et al.2019	268	821	H- <b></b> -4	0.33(0.29~0.36)
Chen.et al.2010	339	1024	H <b>a</b> H	0.33(0.30~0.36)
Liu.et al.2021	375	1098	H <b>H</b> H	0.34(0.31~0.37)
Zong.et al.2020	409	1180	+ <b></b> +	0.35(0.32~0.37)
Wang.et al.2017	60	167	<b>⊢</b>	0.36(0.29~0.44)
Wang.et al.2016	404	1123	H <b>H</b>	0.36(0.33~0.39)
Zhang.et al.2016	1933	4972		0.39(0.38~0.40)
Yang.et al 2012	1821	4633		0.39(0.38~0.41)
Liao.et al.2010	995	2513	H <mark>ann</mark> H	0.40(0.38~0.42)
Zhao.et al.2017	221	529	H <b>-</b>	0.42(0.38~0.46)
Zhang.et al.2020	148	346	⊨ <mark>-</mark> 1	0.43(0.37~0.48)
Huang.et al.2015	1048	2324		0.45(0.43~0.47)
Zeng.et al.2020	568	1245	H <b>H</b> H	0.46(0.43~0.48)
Zeng.et al.2020	568	1245	t- <b>E</b> t	0.46(0.43~0.48)
Gao.et al.2019	1018	2198	4 <b>00</b> 4	0.46(0.44~0.48)
Wang.et al.2016	210	444	H <b></b>	0.47(0.43~0.52)
Chen.et al.2011	2214	4004	<b>—</b> •	0.55(0.54~0.57)
Huang.et al.2017	7191	12197		0.59(0.58~0.60)
Xu.et al.2014	1936	2756		0.70(0.69~0.72)
Overall				0.28(0.24~0.33)
			0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 0.5 0.55 0.6 0.65	0.7

Fig. 2: Forest plot of prevalence of osteoporosis for total people

Subgroup	No.of studies	Sample size	Prevalence(95 %CI)(%)	)	l²(%) E	gger Tes
Study year						
2010~2013	15	40896	23.9(18.1~31.0)	<b>⊢∎</b> 1	99.5	0.81
2014~2017	20	737 <mark>1</mark> 3	31.2(25.0~38.2)	<b>⊢</b> i	99.8	0.64
2018~2021	12	21079	30.5(22.7~38.8)	FB	99.4	0.49
Aear						
Southren	25	69968	26.6(20.2~34.1)	<b>⊢−−−∎</b> −−−−−4	99.8	0.09
Northren	22	65720	30.3(26.7~34.1)	<b>⊢-∎</b> 1	99.2	0.06
Sex						
Male	39	48290	29.0(24.7~33.7)	<b>⊢−−■</b> −−−1	99.2	0.03
Female	39	63566	27.3(23.8~31.2)	⊨ <b>_</b> →	99.2	0.63
Onset age of study						
~40	12	10195	16.2(11.1~23.7)	<b>⊢</b>	98.7	0.33
40~60	50	47636	28.1(23.5~33.0)	<b>⊢</b> 1	99.2	0.16
60~80	59	27682	31.2(28.0~34.5)	<b>⊢_</b> →	97	0.27
Age-specific group	(y)					
18~30	8	2629	18.4(8.31~28.6)	<b>⊢−−−−−</b> 4	98.6	0.07
30~40	9	6805	17.7(10.2~25.2)	<b></b>	99.1	0.18
40~50	23	23637	22.1(15.2~30.9)	<b>⊢−−−∎</b> −−−−−4	99.2	0.79
50~60	27	23999	30.4(25.5~35.7)	<b>⊢</b>	98.1	0.56
60~70	30	16111	32.9 (29.0~37.1)	<b>⊢</b>	96.2	0.21
70~80	29	11571	28.3(23.6~33.6)	F	96.2	0.05
80~	20	5372	17.6(8.7~32.3)	<b></b>	97.3	0.39
Male(age)						
18~30	7	1273	13.2(1.2~31.6)	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	96.4	0.94
30~40	8	2701	16.3(6.9~25.7)	F	98.5	0.22
40~50	20	9005	25.3(17.7~32.9)	<b>⊢−−−</b>	98.9	0.15
50~60	24	7950	24.8(18.4~31.7)	<b>⊢</b>	97.7	0.63
60~70	27	6646	30.3(22.2~38.5)	<b></b>	99.1	0
70~80	25	4513	28.7(23.2~34.8)	<b>⊢</b>	92.8	0.08
80~	18	1600	26.7(20.7~33.7)	<b>⊢</b>	73.6	0.06
Female(age)						
18~30	7	1229	15.9(6.7~25.1)	<b>⊢−−−−−</b> 1	96.8	0.13
30~40	8	4171	14.3(7.5~21.1)	<b>F</b>	98.1	0.27
40~50	20	9005	17.8(11.5~26.6)	<b>⊢</b>	98.8	0.83
50~60	24	14618	30.6(24.8~37.2)	<b>⊢</b>	97.9	0.72
60~70	27	8971	36.3(27.4~45.2)	<b>⊢−−−−</b>	99.1	0.63
70~80	25	5399	27.6(22.2~33.9)	<b>⊢∎</b>	93.7	0.2
80~	18	1988	12.4(5.0~22.5)	<b>⊢−−−−−</b>	97.2	0.09
Criteria for diagnos	stic					
WHO	42	129636	29.2(24.7~34.1)	<b>⊢</b>	99.7	0.55
China	4	5292	21.8(16.3~27.8)	<b></b> 4	95.4	0.4
				0 2.5 5 7.5 10 12.5 15 17.5 20 22.5 25 27.5 30 32.5 35 37.5 The estimates	40 42.5 45	

Fig. 3: Prevalence of osteoporosis according to subgroups

#### Years of publishing

In recent 10 years, the incidence of osteopenia increased, including 23.9% from 2010 to 2013, which was a obviously higher between 2014~2017 than that between 2010~2013 (31.2%, 95% CI:25.0~38.2%), reaching to 30.5% between 2018-2020 (95% CI: 22.7~38.8%).

#### Region

Among the included literatures, there were 15 literatures involving 65,720 cases from north China and 22 literatures involving 69,968 cases from south China. The incidence of osteopenia was 26.6 % ( $20.2 \sim 34.1\%$ ) in the south, and 30.3% ( $26.7 \sim 34.1\%$ ) in north China, which was slightly higher than the south, with no significant difference (P > 0.05).

#### Men and women

The results of men and women at all ages are showed in Fig. 3. Overall incidence of osteopenia in men was higher than that in women (P<0.05). Among people aged 30~40 yr old, the incidence of osteopenia in men was higher than that in

women (P < 0.05), which was significantly higher in women than that in men who aged 40~70 yr old (P < 0.05), and the situation among people aged more than 70 yr was similar to those aged 30~40 yr old. Details of trend of osteopenia in males and females showed in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4: Trend of osteopenia in male and female

#### Meta-regression and Publication bias

In this study, the overall incidence showed high heterogeneity, therefore, we explored the source of heterogeneity through the meta-regression analysis. The year of publication, area, source of research objects, diagnostic criteria, sample size, quality of document, type of paper, and measurement site of included literatures were not associated with high heterogeneity, and the subjects over 60 yr old was one of the sources of high heterogeneity (Table 2). However, not all sources of heterogeneity can be fully explained. The results of publication bias for overall incidence showed no significant publication bias (P=0.51) (Fig. 5), but there was some publication bias in the subgroup analysis of included literatures, as shown in Fig. 3.

Covariate	Meta-regression	95 % Confidence	<i>P</i> -	
	coefficient	interval	value	
Year of publication	0.0017	-0.0644~0.0677	0.9604	
Area (northern vs southern)	-0.1583	-0.5768~0.2602	0.4585	
Source (Hospital vs General)	0.0291	-0.4317~0.4900	0.9031	
Criteria (WHO vs China)	0.5102	-0.2783~1.2987	0.2047	
Sample Size	0.0000	-0.0000~0.0001	0.7551	
Quality	0.1456	-0.0611~0.3522	0.1674	
Type of paper(Dissertation vs	-0.0842	-0.6513~0.4829	0.7710	
Journal article )				
Measuring parts	0.5454	-0.0603~1.1511	0.0776	
Age 60~	-0.8976	-1.5509~-0.2442	0.0071	

Table 2.	Meta-regres	sion	for	overall	inci	dence	of	osteonenia
1 abit 2.	Micia-figics	51011	IOI	Overan	me	uchec	ΟI	Usicopenna.



Fig. 5: Funnel plot for publication bias

## Discussion

This study has several features that should be pointed out including: 1. Nearly a third of Chinese adults suffer from osteopenia, which continues to grow. In 2006, China has about 70 million people with osteoporosis, and about 210 million people with osteopenia (53). According to this study, by 2020, China has had 3.4 million people with osteopenia. 2. The incidence of bone loss was higher in males than in females, with different characteristics at different age stages. Among the people aged 30-40 yr old and more than 70 yr old, the incidence of bone loss was higher in males than in females which, however, was significantly higher in females than in males among those aged  $40 \sim 70$  yr old.3. There was no significant difference in the incidence of osteopenia between north and south China. 4. The incidence of bone loss taking the WHO as the diagnostic criteria was significantly higher than that by China criteria.

Age is an important factor affecting bone metabolism, and our study shows that the incidence of bone loss increased with age. Neelam Kaushal et al. (54) measured bone mineral density at the lumbar spine and both hips in healthy adults, and showed that the incidence of bone loss gradually increased among people aged between 30~70 yr old. In another survey (55), 1,871 women showed a 40.8% incidence of bone loss in women less than 51 yr old and more than 66 yr old, the percentage rose to 57.7%. In the United States, the incidence of osteopenia in Americans over 50 yr old was 43.9% in 2010 (56). Among all age groups, the incidence of bone loss was the highest in middle-aged and old people aged 40-70 vr old. A survey (57) on women over 25 yr old showed that the incidence of bone loss reached 36.79% in women aged 55-64 yr old. Another study (58) showed that the incidence of bone loss reached 41.7% among people between 65~69 yr old, which ranked the highest among all age groups of women. Fifty percent of all postmenopausal white women in the United States had bone loss and 30% had osteoporosis (59). Moreover, some studies (60, 61) recommended regular group screening for middle-aged and elderly people with bone loss. Navak et al. (62) also suggested that early screening for postmenopausal women aged 55 yr old, is the most cost-effective prevention and treatment strategy for osteoporosis. All these demonstrates the importance of early screening and intervention for middle-aged and elderly population. In this study, the incidence of bone loss decreased after reaching 70 yr old, the peaking age. Similar characteristics showed with this study (58,63), because on the one hand, the population proportion of people over 70 yr old decreased (56), and on the other hand, bone loss further developed into osteoporosis.

Gender is another important factor affecting osteoporosis. Although in many of the past studies, overall incidence of osteoporosis in women was higher than that in men, in our study, the incidence of osteopenia between men and women shows the opposite trend. In south India, although the incidence of osteoporosis was higher in women than that in men, the incidence of osteopenia had the opposite tend (64). A study of 75,321 adults in China, found that the incidence of bone loss was greater in men than that in women over 50 yr old (63). These studies are consistent with our results, which may be due to a later onset of peak bone mass in men (65) and a faster decline in bone mass in women with the change of hormone levels than in men (66-68). Women, especially postmenopausal women, are more likely to develop osteoporosis than bone loss. Although the incidence of osteoporosis is higher in women at all ages, the opposite trend in the incidence of bone loss suggests that men should pay more attention to the early prevention for bone loss and adopt the same attitude as women to guard against the further development of bone loss.

The difference of osteoporosis in north and south China has been reflected in previous studies. According to the meta-analysis on the incidence of osteoporosis in healthy adults in China (69), the incidence of osteoporosis in south China was 23.17% and that in north China was 20.13%. The study on the incidence of osteoporosis in middle-aged and elderly people in China (70) showed that the incidence of osteoporosis in north China was lower than that in south China, which is inconsistent with the North-South distribution trend in Iran (71). This is related to the differences in living environment, diet, daily life and living customs between the north and the south. In this study, the incidence of bone loss in the north was slightly higher than that in the south, without any significant difference, suggesting that regional factors may not be the main factor affecting bone loss. However, there is still a lack of large-scale epidemiological investigation on the difference in the incidence of osteopenia between north and south China, and further research is needed.

At present, China still refers to the WHO criteria as the diagnostic criteria for osteoporosis. In this study, there were only 4 articles by Chinese standards (72), founded in 1999, which refers that the peak bone mineral density was  $1\sim2$  lower than the normal bones of local people standard deviation or a reduction of  $13\sim 24\%$  was diagnosed with osteopenia, M-1 $\sim 2.0$ s., M-1 $\sim 2.0$ s. The incidence of osteopenia in the WHO criteria was higher than that in the China criteria. Western criteria may result in a misleading high level in the diagnosis of osteoporosis in Eastern populations (58). There is no current consensus on the diagnostic criteria of osteoporosis in China, which not only restricts the clinical statistical work, but also is one of the limitations of this study.

This paper also has some limitations. First, the quality of included literatures is low, which will affect the results to a certain extent. Secondly, only dual-energy X-ray is included in the measurement method, and the error can be reduced by the conversion method for instruments between different manufacturers. As the original data were not provided, standardization and unification were not carried out, which may also have a certain impact on the results, and need to be verified by large-scale epidemiological investigation.

# Conclusion

Incidence of osteopenia in the mainland China over 10 years was 28.3%, which was higher in males than that in females. Due to the large population base in China, there are a large number of people with osteopenia, with the highest incidence rate among people aged  $40 \sim 60$ . Therefore, the early warning should be strengthened for them, and both men and women should pay attention to the osteopenia in order to preventing disease before it occurs.

# Journalism Ethical considerations

Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, informed consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/or falsification, double publication and/or submission, redundancy, etc.) have been completely observed by the authors.

# Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Key Research and Development Program of China(2019YFC1709905).

# **Conflict** of interest

The authors declared that there are no conflict of interests.

# References

- 1. Rachner TD, Khosla S, Hofbauer LC (2011).Osteoporosis: now and the future. *Lancet*, 377(9773):1276-87.
- NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy 2001).Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA, 285(6):785-95.
- Hernlund E, Svedbom A, Ivergard M, et al (2013).Osteoporosis in the European Union: medical management, epidemiology and economic burden. A report prepared in collaboration with the International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF) and the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industry Associations (EFPIA). Arch Osteoporos, 8(1):136.
- Khambalia AZ, Seen LS (2010).Trends in overweight and obese adults in Malaysia (1996-2009): a systematic review. Obes Rev,11(6):403-12.
- Meiling L, Hongzhuan T, Quan Z, et al (2013). Realizing the Meta-Analysis of Single Rate in R Software. J Evidence-Based Med,13(03):181-4.
- Caihua P, Qiaoyun T, Weifeng L, et al (2011).Bone mineral density measurement and clinical analysis of osteoporosis prevalence in 1157 hospitalized elderly patients. *Contemporary Medicine*,17(15):81-2.
- Jia Z, Hongqin L, Wei W (2020). Bone mineral density in 1245 physical examination patients in Changsha area and analysis of its influencing factors. *Clinical Research and Practice*,5(12):9-11.
- Xin L. Study on the influencing factors of osteoporosis among adult residents in Dalian city [MD thesis].Zunyi Medical University,2014.
- Lin X, Jun W, Xiaohui Z, et al (2014). An analysis of detection of bone mineral density and prevalence of osteoporosis. *Jinagsu Med J*,40(20):2422-4.
- 10. Zhaolian Y, Longying Z, Yun W, et al (2017). A status investigation and related facters analysis on osteoporosis among health check-up

crowd of Hainan General Hospital. Chin J Frontiers of Med Science, 9(06):51-4.

- 11. Jia Z. Analysis of the influencing factors and TCM constitution of osteoporosis in the physical examination population in Yuhua District, Changsha city, Hunan Province [MD thesis].Hunan University Of Chinese Medicine,2020.
- Chunyan H, Guangxia X, Pan W, et al (2015). Changes of lumbar vertebrae bone density in different age groups in Shanxi Province. J Chin Physician, 17(S1):69-71.
- Yueqi W. Clinical characteristics and risk factors of 167 cases of elderly over 80 years old with osteoporosis [MD thesis]. The first hospital of Jilin University, Jilin University, China;2017.
- Shujin W, Danhui K, Jianhong C, et al (2016). Analysis of bone mineral density in 1123 middle-aged and elderly patients. *Jinagsu Med J*, 42(14):1608-10.
- 15. Dengfa G, Meng L, Yanming Z, et al (2019). The bone density test data analysis of 2198 cases with middle-aged and elderly adults patients. *Chin J Health Care Med*, 21(03):220-2.
- Yahui Z, Qiuyan X, Jie G, et al (2020). Prevalence and Related Risk Factors of Osteoporosis in Partial Population of Beijing. *Progress in Modern Biomedicine*, 20(13):2545-50.
- Hongbing Y, Zhenfu Q, Qiang L, et al (2011).Investigation of bone mineral density in the distal forearm and analysis of prevalence of osteoporosis in 19609 healthy people in Miyun county of Beijing. *Chin J Osteoporosis*,17(01):51-5.
- Ying L (2019). An epidemiological survey of bone mineral density in 821 physical examination subjects in Beijing. *Journal of Qiqibar Medical University*,40(04):473-4.
- Jiyuan H, Wenzhong S, Hongyin Z, et al (2016). Changes of the bone mineral density and effects of different T score cut-Off on the diagnosis of osteoporosis in healthy people in Chengdu. *Chin J Osteoporosis*, 22(11):1425-9.
- Suqing Y, Baocheng M, Xiaoyun L, et al (2012).Prevalence of osteoporosis in 4633 adults in Chifeng area. *Inner Mongolia Med J*, 44(07):834-6.
- 21. Ruifei Y. Prevalence of Osteoporosis and Related Factors of Adults in Gansu province [MD thesis].Ning Xia Medical University, 2017.

- 22. Hong H, Zhongyou Z, Jian Y, et al (2012). Preliminary survey on morbidity rate of osteoporosis and bone mineral density of elderly people in Zhanjiang area. *Clin Med*,32(07):29-31.
- Yongsheng Y, Lanying S (2013). Study on the prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in middle-aged and old people in Guiyang. *China Health Care & Nutrition*, 23(8):4679-80.
- Ying Z, Weimin P, Xin X, et al (2020). Changes of bone mineral density and prevalence of osteoporosis in middle-aged and elderly people in Haikou area. *Chin J Osteoporosis*, 26(07):1054-8.
- Wenyuan C, Shou Z, Xiaoli D (2010). A survey on the prevalence of osteoporosis and fracture in the elderly in Haikou area. *Chin J Gerontol*,30(06):824-6.
- Ping L. Study on lumber bone mineral density and osteoporosis prevalence in middle-aged and aged people in Huhhot [MD thesis]. Inner Mongolia Medical University, 2011.
- Xiuwen L, Chunyan Z, Guiqing W, et al (2016). Investigation and analysis on the prevalence of osteoporosis among physical examination population in Hulun Buir city. *J Inner Mongolia Med University*, 38(04):341-5.
- Xiaping C, Gang W, Chao W, et al (2010). Study on bone mineral density of normal bodies in Shiyan. *Chin J Osteoporosis*,16(03):210-2.
- Huiwen C, Jinyong C, Guohua Z, et al (2011). cross-sectional survey of bone mass and a analysis of risk factors for osteoporosis in 4000 healthy adults in Shaoyang region of Hunan Province. *Chin J Osteoporo*sis,17(12):1087-91.
- Zhihong T, Weidong L, Xiankun L, et al (2013). Analysis of the lumbar apine bone mineral density of the elderly in Huizhou region. *Hainan Med J*, 24(14):2056-8.
- Dongjun Z, Xinyu Z, Shibing T, et al (2016).Epidemiological investigation of lumbar vertebrae bone density in 4972 physical examination patients in southern Jiangsu province. *Chin J Convalescent Med*,25(11):1223-6.
- 32. Yanmin H, Hongxia W, Xiaobao L, et al (2019). Investigation of osteopenia prevalence in populations of Kunshan area. *Chin J Osteoporo*sis & Bone Miner Res, 12(02):141-4.
- 33. Xin Z, Xiujuan S, Yanyan X, et al (2020). Prevalence and related factors of osteoporosis

among elderly patients in Chengyang District, Qingdao. J Applied Preventive Med, 26(03):227-9.

- 34. Dinggui H, Guoxiu H, Yingzhou B, et al (2017).Clinical significance of peak bone mass and rate of bone loss in 20899 normal pe ople of Zhuang and Han nationalitie s in Nanning area. *Chin J Osteoporosis*,23(11):1507-11.
- 35. Yuhong Z, Bin Z, Ming Q, et al (2012). Study on the prevalence of osteoporosis in general population in Xi 'an city. *Chin J Clinicians*,6(15):4449-50.
- 36. Caizhen B, Du Yingxin, Hongxia W, et al (2017).Bone mineral density and its relationship with human body composition in 1925 normal subjects in Ningxia. *Journal of Ningxia Medical College*,39(05):559-63.
- Junjing S, Xue B, Hong X, et al (2020). Epidemiological Study on Osteoporosis in Elderly Population in Agricultural and Pastoral Areas of Xinjiang. J Med Research, 49(05):53-7.
- Yan L, Bin P, Huifeng Q (2010). Survey of prevalence of primary osteoporosis in Kelamayi area of Xlnjiang. *Chin J Osteoporosis*,16(08):598-601.
- Yan C, Tingxia S, Xiaofen H, et al (2020). Correlation analysis of bone mineral density and BMI index in 1373 healthy subjects in Xining area. *JHigh Altitude Med*,30(01):39-41.
- Lijing M, Jin D, Qishan X (2018). Epidemiological investigation and analysis of bone mineral density in 6 000 residents in Taiyuan, Shanxi Province. *Chinese Remedies & Clinics*,18(10):1708-9.
- 41. Xinyuan G, Xianzhang G (2016). A study on the prevalence of osteoporosis in middle-aged and old people in Chengguan District of Lanzhou city. *Gansu Med J*, 35(01):14-6.
- Li G (2017). Investigation and analysis of osteoporosis in yi Nationality group in Liangshan. *Chin J Woman and Child Health Research*, 28(S2):357-8.
- Changquan H, You F, Yan C, et al (2016). Analysis of the prevalence of bone loss and osteoporosis in the middle-aged and elderly people in Mianyang region. *Chin J Osteoporosis*, 22(08):1044-6.
- 44. Xiaomei Z, Ying L, Yaoqiang W, et al (2011). Investigation of bone mineral density and the Incidence of osteoporosis in different age

groups in the Manchus in Dandong. *Chin J* Osteoporosis, 17(02):134-8.

- 45. Xuejun W, Jun W. Survey on the osteoporosis prevalence and comparision of the BMD detection results at different sites among the middle-aged and elderly. In: Editor, editor 2016, the second annual conference of Nutrition and Metabolism Branch of Zhejiang Medical Association and seminar on new Progress in diagnosis and Treatment of nutrition and metabolic diseases in the elderly; 2016; Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China. Pub Place; 2016. p. 6.
- Chongchan Z, Xiangfeng J (2017). Study on the condition of bone mass reduction and osteoporosis in middle-aged and old people. *Practi Clini J Integr Tradit Chin and West Med*,17(08):69-71.
- Qiaocong C, Huiling L, Cheng P, et al (2010). Analysis of bone mineral density change and prevalence of osteoporosis in middle-aged and elderly. *Guangdong Medical Journal*,32(05):620-2.
- Ping L, Ping H, Fengxia X, et al (2017). Analysis of bone mineral density and prevalence of osteoporosis in middle-aged and elderly. *Chin J Gerontol*,33(20):5139-40.
- 49. Jun Z. Study on the changes of bone density in middle-aged and old people and the correlation between bone density and TCM syndromes in primary osteoporosis patients [MD thesis].Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine,2014.
- 50. Yongqin Z. Research on the related influencing factors of bone mineral density of adults in some urban areas of Taiyuan [MD thesis].Shanxi Medical University,2017.
- Lingyun W, Hongmei M, Shiqi T (2013). Prevalence and influencing factors of osteoporosis in older people in Wuhan area. Occupation & Health, 29(22):2892-3057.
- 52. Peipei L, Yuhua W, Yali Z, et al (2021). Crosssectional study on prevalence and treatment of osteoporosis among middle-aged and elderly people in Putuo District of Shanghai. J

Tongji University (Med Science), 42(01):35-41.

53. Foundation CHP (2009). Chinese White Paper on Osteoporosis. *Chin J Health Manage*,03):148-9.

- 54. Kaushal N, Vohora D, Jalali RK, et al (2018).Prevalence of osteoporosis and osteopenia in an apparently healthy Indian population - a cross-sectional retrospective study. Osteoporos Saropenia,4(2):53-60.
- 55. Veiga SA, Da RM, Fernandes B, et al (2015).Factors associated with osteopenia and osteoporosis in women undergoing bone mineral density test. *Rev Bras Reumatol*,55(3):223-8.
- 56. Wright NC, Looker AC, Saag KG, et al (2014). The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine. *J Bone Miner Res*, 29(11):2520-6.
- Sharma S, Tandon VR, Mahajan A, et al (2006). Preliminary screening of osteoporosis and osteopenia in urban women from Jammu using calcaneal QUS. *Indian J Med Sci*,60(5):183-9.
- Limpaphayom KK, Taechakraichana N, Jaisamrarn U, et al (2001). Prevalence of osteopenia and osteoporosis in Thai women. *Menopause*,8(1):65-9.
- 59. Bonnick SL (2006). Osteoporosis in men and women. *Clin Cornerstone*,8(1):28-39.
- 60. Donescu OS, Battie MC, Videman T, et al (2006).The predictive role of bone turnover markers for BMD in middle-aged men. *Aging Male*,9(2):97-102.
- Chen JH, Chen YC, Tsai MK, et al (2017). Predicting the risk of osteopenia for women aged 40-55 years. J Formos Med Assoc,116(11):888-896.
- 62. Nayak S, Roberts MS, Greenspan SL (2011). Cost-effectiveness of different screening strategies for osteoporosis in postmenopausal women. *Ann Intern Med*,155(11):751-61.

- Zeng Q, Li N, Wang Q, et al (2019). The Prevalence of Osteoporosis in China, a Nationwide, Multicenter DXA Survey. J Bone Miner Res,34(10):1789-1797.
- Chitten JJ, James B (2018). Prevalence of Osteopenia and Osteoporosis in Orthopaedic Outpatients in Southern India. *Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research*, 12(3):14-17
- 65. McGuigan F, Murray L, Gallagher A, et al (2002). Genetic and environmental determinants of peak bone mass in young men and women. *J Bone Miner Res*,17(7):1273-9.
- 66. Armstrong VJ, Muzylak M, Sunters A, et al (2007). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is a component of osteoblastic bone cell early responses to load-bearing and requires estrogen receptor alpha. J Biol Chem, 282(28):20715-27.
- 67. Drake MT, Khosla S (2012). Male Osteoporosis. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am,41(3):629-41.
- Kim JG, Kim MH, Kim IS, et al (2000). Decreased expression of mac25 mRNA in uterine leiomyomata compared with adjacent myometrium. *Am J Reprod Immunol*, 43(1):53-7.
- 69. Chen P, Li ZZ, Hu YH (2016).Prevalence of osteoporosis in China: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 16(1):1039.
- Yajun H, Xiaojia T (2014). Meta-analysis on the prevalence rate of osteoporosis in the middleaged and elderly in China. *Chin J Tissue Engineering Res*,18(07):1129-1134.
- Irani AD, Poorolajal J, Khalilian A, et al (2013). Prevalence of osteoporosis in Iran: A metaanalysis. J Res Med Sci,18(9):759-766.
- Zhonghou L (1999). The diagnosis standard of primary osteoporosis in china (trial). *Chin J Osteoporos*, 01):4-6.