## Deep Learning in Sleep Medicine: Advantages and Drawbacks

Morteza Zangeneh Soroush<sup>®\*</sup>

Bio-intelligence Research Unit, Department of Electrical Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

Received: 01 Nov. 2020 Accepted: 30 Dec. 2020

**Citation:** Zangeneh Soroush M. **Deep Learning in Sleep Medicine: Advantages and Drawbacks.** J Sleep Sci 2021; 6(1-2): 51-52.

Considering the advancements in machine learning, neural networks (NNs), as models of the human brain with the ability of generalization, have gained a great deal of attention in numerous fields of science such as sleep medicine for years (1). NNs have been widely used in sleep apnea detection, sleep stage recognition, audio-based snore detection, insomnia identification, detecting sleep-related movement disorder (SRMD), etc. (2-4). The history of NNs can be divided into three main eras, including single-layer neurons, multi-layer networks (now are called conventional NNs), and deep learning (DL). Although conventional NNs are assumed a milestone in machine learning, they are fading to some extent with advent of DL. DL is a minor subset of artificial intelligence; however, it possesses a wide range of application due to its unique characteristics (5).

The main difference between conventional NNs and DL models is the training process. Figure 1 illustrates the training process in traditional NNs and DL. Conventional NNs apply features extracted by experts through a trial-and-error procedure. In other words, a limited number of features (in most cases with no prior knowledge) are extracted, which is time-consuming and not effective enough (6). In contrast to traditional NNs, DL just applies the original data, extracts features independently, and does not require experts to extract features (1, 5).

As conventional NNs apply backpropagationrelated algorithms with slower convergence speed, there is a possibility to get stuck in local minimums while optimizing their cost function.



Figure 1. Traditional neural networks (NNs) in comparison with deep learning (DL)

This is considered the main drawback of traditional NNs (7). Several algorithms have been suggested to improve conventional NNs. However, their generalization performance is not competitive compared to other methods. This has motivated researchers to find a solution resulting in deep NNs. Although DL is part of NNs, there are some fundamental differences between traditional NNs and DL, which are presented in table 1.

Concerning differences between conventional NNs and DL, now, it is somehow clear when to apply DL in sleep medicine. With several tuning capabilities, DL outperforms conventional methods in complex problems when there is limited knowledge and a lack of domain understanding for feature introspection (8). DL needs a large amount of data and high-end infrastructure like graphics processing units (GPUs) to be trained appropriately and in a reasonable time.

Copyright © 2021 Iranian Sleep Medicine Society, and Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: M. Zangeneh Soroush, Bio-intelligence Research Unit, Department of Electrical Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran Tel: +98 912 547 9801, Fax: +98 21 88286426 Email: morteza.soroush@sharif.edu

Differences	Conventional NNs	DL
Requiring manual feature extraction	Yes	No
Computational complexity in the training process	Lower	Higher
Requiring a large number of sample data	No	Yes
Application in black box models	No	Yes
Easy to implement	Yes	No
Performance	Lower	Higher
Tuning ways	Limited tuning capabilities	Can be tuned in different ways
Required hardware	Can be trained using CPU	Needing GPU to train
Capabilities	Saturation happens in performance even	A larger amount of data means
-	with large amounts of training data	higher performance

 Table 1. Main differences between traditional neural networks (NNs) and deep learning (DL) models

NNs: Neural networks; DL: Deep learning; CPU: Central processing unit; GPU: Graphics processing unit

DL outshines when data size is large, and we have access to fast processors (9).

Considering recent studies in sleep medicine, it should be noted that in almost all applications, we have access to large data. In sleep stage detection, for instance, each 30-second window of recorded signals is labeled with one of the sleep stages which means there will be a considerable amount of data. This also applies to sleep apnea detection and other projects using polysomnography (PSG). Sleep stages and sleep-related disorders can be detected at a higher accuracy using DL. We can conclude that DL, as an effective tool with wide usage, has a lot to offer to researchers in the field of sleep medicine. It seems that scientists need to pay more attention to DL in their future projects.

## **Conflict of Interests**

Authors have no conflict of interests.

## Acknowledgments

The author is thankful to Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, and Occupational Sleep Research Center, Baharloo Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, for their support. Author also thanks Dr. Arezu Najafi for her valuable comments.

## References

1. Arul VH. Deep learning methods for data classifica-

tion. In: Binu D, Rajakumar BR, editors. Artificial intelligence in data mining. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press; 2021. p. 87-108.

2. Haghayegh S, Khoshnevis S, Smolensky MH, et al. Application of deep learning to improve sleep scoring of wrist actigraphy. Sleep Med 2020; 74: 235-41.

3. Civaner OF, Kamaşak M. Classification of pediatric snoring episodes using deep convolutional neural networks. 2018 p. 1-4.

4. Mortaza ZS. Nonlinear electroencephalogram (EEG) analysis in sleep medicine. J Sleep Sci 2021; 5: 122-3.

5. Sharma DK, Tokas B, Adlakha L. Deep learning in big data and data mining. In: Piuri V, Raj S, Genovese A, Srivastava R, editors. trends in deep learning methodologies hybrid computational intelligence for pattern analysis. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press; 2021. p. 37-61.

6. Franca RP, Borges Monteiro AC, Arthur R, et al. An overview of deep learning in big data, image, and signal processing in the modern digital age. In: Piuri V, Raj S, Genovese A, Srivastava R, editors. Trends in deep learning methodologies hybrid computational intelligence for pattern analysis. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press; 2021. p. 63-87.

7. Ismail Fawaz H, Forestier G, Weber J, et al. Deep learning for time series classification: A review. Data Min Knowl Discov 2019; 33: 917-63.

8. Liu F, Rosenberger J, Lou Y, et al. Graph Regularized EEG Source Imaging with In-Class Consistency and Out-Class Discrimination. IEEE Trans Big Data 2017; 3: 378-91.

9. Chaovalitwongse WA, Chou CA, Liang Z, et al. Applied optimization and data mining. Ann Oper Res 2017; 249: 1-3.