Journal of Pharmaceutical Care
jpc.tums.ac.ir

Facing Neonatal Stenotrophomonas Maltophilia Infection: Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole or Levofloxacin?

Toktam Faghihi*

*Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy and Children's Medical Center, Pediatrics Center of Excellence, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 2019-11-22, Revised: 2019-11-24, Accept: 2019-11-26, Published: 2019-11-30

ARTICLE INFO

Article type:
Editorial

J Pharm Care 2019; 7(3):42-43.

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, a multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacillus is an opportunistic organism. Infections caused by this pathogens needs to be treated promptly and is life-threatening in neonates (1, 2). The most common clinical presentations are pneumonia and bacteremia. Treatment includes limited number of antimicrobials with defined Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) cutoffs by US Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CSLI).

These include trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX), levofloxacin, ticarcillin-clavulanate, minocycline, ceftazidime and chloramphenicol (1).

Treatment of choice is TMP-SMX based on reliable in-vitro activity and favorable clinical outcomes (1, 2). Levofloxacin is a potential alternative to TMP-SMX (1). Usually we encounter high resistant rates to ceftazidime (3). Ticarcillin-clavulanate is not readily available in Iran. Also it is shown to be less active than TMP-SMX in vivo (2).

One of the concerns with sulfa antibiotics in neonates is the potential risk of hyperbilirubinemia and kernicterus (2, 4-6). The landmark study was in 1956 in which Andersen et al elucidated that premature infants receiving a penicillin/sulfisoxazole combination had a significantly higher rate of mortality and kernicterus compared with oxytetracycline (4). In the study by Thyagarajan et al., suggested that the concept of kernicterus and TMP-SMX remains a theory and needs to be further illuminated in trials. Based on their experience, who prescribed TMP-SMX for treatment of sepsis and pneumonia in newborns and infants in rural and tribal areas in India in a home-based neonatal care setting, no adverse effects, including any signs of central nervous system (CNS) toxicity was noted (6). There are also no dosing recommendations for TMP-SMX in neonates (2) and if used when facing stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection, it is used in an off-label manner.

So when we encounter stenotrophomonas maltophilia infection in neonates (term and preterm) with TMP-SMX being the first treatment choice, how could the risk-benefit assessment be judged?

When reviewing the literature there are case reports of treatment of neonatal stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia with TMP-SMX with no report of kernicterus (2, 7).

One of the concerns with fluoroquinolones in children is the risk of arthropathy (8, 9). Bradley et al demonstrated that musculoskeletal toxicity 5 years after therapy with levofloxacin appear to be uncommon, clinically undetectable or are reversible in children (10).

So the challenge is selecting between TMP-SMX with risk of kernicterus and levofloxacin with risk of arthropathy when treating stenotrophomonas maltophilia pneumonia or sepsis in neonates when it is susceptible to both agents. Since the concern on kernicterus still remains, one conservative approach may be selecting levofloxacin over TMP-SMX in neonates. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis has shown comparable efficacy of fluoroquinolones on mortality to TMP-SMX for the treatment of stenotrophomonas maltophilia (11). Other approach may use combination therapy of TMP-SMX with levofloxacin because of high morbidity and mortality associated with this pathogen (2,7). Studies reporting experience regarding successful treatment of this pathogen in meningitis, sepsis, pneumonia or urinary tract infections in neonates are highly needed.

*Corresponding Author: Dr Toktam Faghihi
Address: Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Enqelab Square, Poursina Avenue, P.O. Box: 14155/6451,Tehran, Iran. Tel: +98912280 8144, Fax: +98 2166954709.
E-mail: tfaghihi@sina.tums.ac.ir

References

1. Lewis S, Zaas A.In:Sexton D, Bloom A, editors. UpToDate [Internet]. Waltham (MA): UpToDate Inc; 2019 [cited 2019 Jul 14]. Available from: https:// https://www.uptodate.com/contents/stenotrophomonas-maltophilia/print?source=related_link.

2. Ryan KL, Dersch-Mills D, Clark D. Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole for Treatment of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Pneumonia in a Neonate. Can J Hosp Pharm 2013;66(6):384-7

3. Chang YT, Lin CY, Chen YH, Hsueh PR. Update on infections caused by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia with particular attention to resistance mechanisms and therapeutic options. Front Microbiol 2015;6:893.

4. Andersen DH, Blanc WA, Crozier DN, et al. A difference in mortality rate and incidence of kernicterus among premature infants allotted to two prophylactic antibacterial regimens. Pediatrics 1956;18(4):614-25.

5. Sinclair JC. A difference in mortality rate and incidence of kernicterus among premature infants allotted to two prophylactic antibacterial regimens by William A. Silverman et al, Pediatric 1956; 18: 614-624. Pediatrics 1988; 102:225.

6. Thyagarajan B, Deshpande SS. Cotrimoxazole and neonatal kernicterus: a review. Drug Chem Toxicol 2014, 37 (2):121-9.

7. Guzoglu N, Demirkol FN, Aliefendioglu D. Haemorrhagic pneumonia caused by Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in two newborns. J Infect Dev Ctries 2015;9(5):533-5.

8. Adefurin A, Sammons H, Jacqz-Aigrain E, et al. Ciprofloxacin safety in pediatrics: a systematic review. Arch Dis Child 2011; 96:874-880.

9. Faghihi T, Yavari Tekmehdash L, et al. Ciprofloxacin use in hospitalized children: approved or off-label? J Res Pharm Pract 2017;6:193-8.

10. Bradley JS, Kauffman RE, Balis DA, et al. Assessment of musculoskeletal toxicity 5 years after therapy with levofloxacin. Pediatrics 2014;134, e146.

11. Ko JH, Kang CI, Cornejo-Juárez P, et al. Fluoroquinolones versus trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for the treatment of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2019;25(5):546-54.