Review Article

Investigating Current Notion on Virtual Reality **Rehabilitation Approach on Post Operative Lower Extremity Conditions: A Narrative Review**

Om Wadhokar* 💿, Vanshika Tandon 💿, Sakshi Yede 💿, Jayantika Bhardwaj 💿, Seema Saini 💿, Tushar Palekar 💿

Department of Musculoskeletal Sciences, Dr. D.Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, India.



Citation Wadhokar O, Tandon V, Yede S, Bhardwaj J, Saini S, Palekar T. Investigating Current Notion on Virtual Reality Rehabilitation Approach on Post Operative Lower Extremity Conditions: A Narrative Review. Journal of Modern Rehabilitation. 2025; 19(2):131-139. http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/jmr.v19i2.18346

doj http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/jmr.v19i2.18346

Article info:

Received: 18 Oct 2024 Accepted: 18 Dec 2024 Available Online: 01 Apr 2025

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Lower extremity surgeries, such as total knee arthroplasty, total hip arthroplasty (THA), and anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction require extensive rehabilitation to restore mobility and function. Traditional rehabilitation faces various challenges, such as patient adherence and access to care. Virtual reality (VR) has emerged as a promising tool to enhance rehabilitation outcomes by offering interactive, engaging environments that improve patient motivation.

Materials and Methods: A narrative review was conducted to evaluate the current literature on VR-based rehabilitation for post-operative lower extremity conditions. Relevant studies from 2019 to 2024 were selected from online databases, such as PubMed, Scopus, and Cochrane, focusing on VR's application in patients recovering from total knee arthroplasty, THA, ACL reconstruction, and traumatic lower limb amputations.

Results: VR interventions significantly improved proprioception, balance, and patient engagement compared to traditional rehabilitation methods. VR's interactive nature provided real-time feedback and enhanced patient motivation. However, mixed results were reported regarding VR's superiority in pain management and overall functional outcomes. VR was shown to complement traditional therapy rather than replace it.

Keywords:

Virtual reality; Rehabilitation; Lower limb arthroplasty; Lower limb soft tissue injuries

Conclusion: VR-based rehabilitation offers a promising adjunct to traditional methods, improving patient engagement and specific functional outcomes. While VR enhances recovery, further research is needed to establish standardized protocols and determine its longterm efficacy in post-operative rehabilitation.

* Corresponding Author:

Om Wadhokar, Assistant Professor.

Address: Department of Musculoskeletal Sciences, Dr. D.Y. Patil College of Physiotherapy, Dr. D.Y. Patil Vidyapeeth, Pimpri, India. E-mail: om.wadhokar@dpu.edu.in



Copyright © 2025 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

Introduction

ower extremity surgeries, such as procedures for joint replacements, and anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, represent a significant portion of orthopedic interventions worldwide. These surgeries are often necessary due to degenerative conditions like osteoarthritis or traumatic injuries that impair mobility, lead to chronic pain, and significantly reduce the quality of life of affected individuals. For instance, total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is one of the most common procedures performed globally, with more than 500 000 knee replacements carried out annually in countries such as the United States [1]. Similarly, anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries, prevalent in athletes, are treated with reconstructive surgeries, which have a notable impact on patients' return to pre-injury functional levels [2]. Total hip arthroplasty (THA) alone has seen a 55% increase in the last decade [3]. These surgeries restore mobility and improve the quality of life but often require extensive rehabilitation to regain strength, balance, and functional independence [4].

The burden of such surgeries is substantial in terms of healthcare costs and the long-term rehabilitation that patients require. The rehabilitation process after lower extremity surgeries is essential for pain management, regaining mobility, improving joint function, and enhancing the patient's quality of life [1, 5, 6]. Effective rehabilitation is critical for restoring mobility and function post-surgery, with traditional physiotherapy methods often being the standard approach [7].

Rehabilitation following lower extremity surgeries typically involves a structured program of physical therapy aimed at focusing on a range of motion, strengthening, gait training, and balance improvement to enhance joint mobility, strength, and overall functional performance [7-9]. Despite their effectiveness, traditional rehabilitation approaches face various challenges, such as limited access to consistent care, low patient adherence, and the need for supervised sessions [3, 4]. However, traditional rehabilitation approaches can be repetitive, lengthy, and mentally exhausting for patients, particularly those undergoing prolonged recovery phases like after ACL reconstruction, which may extend to six months or more [2]. As a result, there is growing interest in integrating innovative rehabilitation techniques to enhance recovery outcomes and improve patient engagement.

Integrating virtual reality (VR) in rehabilitation has emerged as a promising solution to these challenges. VR-based rehabilitation involves the use of computergenerated environments that enable patients to engage in interactive tasks designed to improve physical function [1, 10-12], while providing real-time feedback and helping reduce the monotony of traditional exercises, increasing patient motivation, and potentially improving compliance with rehabilitation programs [1, 3, 7, 13-15]. VR programs can help express real-world behavior by providing direct visual and auditory feedback in threedimensional virtual space (i.e. Immersive VR) [16, 17]. It can be classified as either immersive or non-immersive, depending on the user's degree of interaction with the virtual environment and the number of stimulated senses [18].

Studies have shown that VR can improve gait, balance, prosthesis satisfaction, cadence, and gait speed, especially in patients recovering from lower limb amputations and joint replacements [1, 4, 5, 8, 19]. Numerous studies have highlighted the potential benefits of VR-based rehabilitation in improving clinical outcomes following lower extremity surgeries. For instance, VR interventions have been shown to enhance proprioception, reduce pain, and kinesiophobia, and reduce the risk of falls in patients undergoing procedures like TKA [1, 2, 20, 21]. In patients recovering from ACL reconstruction, VRassisted rehabilitation has demonstrated improvements in pain management, subjective knee function, and patient satisfaction [2]. The incorporation of virtual reality demonstrated statistically significant variations in the functionality of patients with total hip replacement [22]. Additionally, VR provides real-time biofeedback, which enhances patients' awareness of their movements and facilitates more effective motor learning [1]. Moreover, VR-based rehabilitation offers remote access, which can be beneficial in reducing the need for in-person sessions, making recovery more convenient for patients [3, 13].

Despite the positive findings, the effectiveness of VR rehabilitation can vary depending on the specific surgical procedure and the type of VR system used [23]. Some studies have found that while VR may improve certain aspects of recovery, such as proprioception and patient engagement, it may not always be superior to traditional rehabilitation in terms of pain relief or functional outcomes [1, 5, 24]. However, when combined with conventional therapies, VR has been shown to offer significant advantages in terms of patient motivation and overall rehabilitation experience [1, 2].

Accordingly, lower extremity surgeries are a significant burden on healthcare systems due to the prevalence of conditions like osteoarthritis and sports-related injuries. Rehabilitation is crucial for optimizing post-operative outcomes, and virtual reality-based approaches offer a promising avenue to enhance recovery, improve patient engagement, and address the limitations of traditional rehabilitation techniques. By integrating VR into standard rehabilitation protocols, there is potential for better functional recovery and an improved overall rehabilitation experience for patients.

Hence, given the increasing interest in VR interventions in rehabilitation settings, the present narrative review explores the current landscape of VR applications for postoperative lower extremity conditions. By synthesizing existing literature on this topic, we hope to elucidate the potential benefits and limitations of VR rehabilitation and provide insights into its role in enhancing recovery outcomes for patients undergoing lower extremity surgeries.

Materials and Methods

A comprehensive search was performed across several databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Cochrane, Medline, and the Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro). This search utilized medical subject headings (MeSH) terms, namely "Virtual rehabilitation", "Total hip arthroplasty", "Total knee arthroplasty", "Rehabilitation", "Physical Therapy", "Lower extremity surgery", and "Virtual reality training". The study encompassed relevant articles published from 2019 to September 2024. Filters were applied to ensure that only English-language articles involving human participants were included. The articles included were lower limb musculoskeletal conditions, such as arthroplasty, soft tissue repair, and reconstruction, articles in which VR was used as a rehabilitation approach versus conventional physiotherapy and outcomes, such as pain, range of motion, manual muscle testing and quality of life were selected from 2019 till September 2024.

Eligibility criteria

The study focused exclusively on English-language randomized controlled trials that met the following criteria: 1) Articles that included adults aged 18 years and older who had undergone lower extremity surgeries; 2) Research that employed VR rehabilitation techniques either as standalone treatments or as adjunct therapies; 3) Compared various VR programs or conventional physical therapy, placebo, or no intervention in the control group; and 4) Utilized any outcome measures to evaluate the intervention's effects. Meanwhile, articles were excluded if they passed the following criteria: 1) Studies that included patients with neurological disorders or 2) Articles that applied virtual reality techniques for purposes other than post-surgical rehabilitation of lower extremities. The full texts of the selected articles were subsequently analyzed, and data was extracted concerning sample size, study duration, virtual reality devices used, outcome measures, and conclusions drawn.

Results

This review focused on current approaches to VR in rehabilitation following lower extremity surgeries, highlighting the devices used, the nature of the interventions, and the associated clinical benefits.

Virtual reality-based serious games in total knee replacement rehabilitation

The type of VR device used in the given study [5] is non-immersive VR-based serious games (SGs) using the VR rehabilitation system developed by Khymeia Group. Accordingly, the patients recovering from total knee replacement (TKR) surgery stood on a VR rehabilitation system balance board and participated in balance and proprioception exercises. The system provided real-time visual and auditory biofeedback, allowing patients to interact with their center of pressure as part of serious game scenarios. The exercises gradually increased in difficulty. The benefits of the VR group showed significant improvement in within-group balance control, gait parameters, and postural outcomes. Visual biofeedback effectively reduced patients' fear of movement and pain, enhancing motivation and engagement. Though VRbased training did not show superiority over traditional therapy in all clinical outcomes, it improved stance duration in the affected limb and showed physiological gait pattern normalization. Patients also showed increased proprioception, reduced fear of re-injury, and improved confidence in loading the operated limb.

Virtual reality in rehabilitation for traumatic lower limb amputation

The type of VR device used in the article [13] was unspecified; however, a VR system was used to complement traditional rehabilitation. In this approach, VR was added to a traditional rehabilitation program designed for traumatic lower limb amputees. Exercises were aimed at enhancing balance, gait, and overall mobility. Sessions were conducted three times a week for six weeks that focused on interactive movements in a simulated environment. Significant improvements were observed in balance (measured by the Berg balance scale) and gait outcomes (dynamic gait index, and timed up and go test). VR demonstrated superior effectiveness in improving balance outcomes compared to traditional rehabilitation alone. It reduced fear of falls and improved patients' dynamic balance, with no significant difference in distance covered during the 6-min walk test between the control and experimental groups. The use of VR was also found to be enjoyable and motivating, adding an element of fun to the otherwise monotonous exercises.

Exergame training in unilateral transtibial amputation

In the given study [25] the device used is Xbox Kinect, with games such as Reflex Ridge and River Rush. This study included Interactive exergames supplemented with traditional exercises, focusing on balance, speed, and postural control. The intervention exergame (EG) group had 30 min of EG per session targeting postural control, balance, and dynamic movements. Supplemented by conventional exercises like weight-shifting, obstacle walking, and stair climbing. Whereas the control group focused solely on traditional exercises with extra sets to match the intervention time of the EG group. The outcome measures were timed up and go test, 2-min walk test, amputee mobility predictor with prosthesis, and physiological cost index. The benefits improved mobility. The EG group showed a statistically significant improvement in movement speed as measured by the TUG test (P=0.04), increased walking distance as both groups improved significantly in the 2-min walk test (P<0.001), enhanced functional mobility since amputee mobility predictor with prosthesis scores improved significantly in both groups, reflecting better mobility and prosthetic control whereas the EG group achieved greater advancement in K-levels (e.g. more participants transitioned from basic ambulation [K2] to higher levels [K4]). The limitations were the reduced sample size since only 22 participants (all male), limiting the generalizability of findings. The study did not explore the long-term sustainability or effects of VR-based interventions.

Virtual reality in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation

The type of VR Device used in the article [2] was immersive VR using PlayStation Virtual Reality. The starting three months post-anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction surgery patients participated in VR-assisted rehabilitation using PlayStation Virtual Reality. The virtual games were selected to mimic physical rehabilitation exercises, focusing on jogging, agility training, and proprioception development. Sessions were conducted once every two weeks for 30 min over three months.

VR-assisted rehabilitation significantly reduced pain (measured by pain scores) and improved subjective knee function (measured by the International Knee Documentation Committee score). There were no significant differences found in limb loading, balance, range of motion, or functional hop tests compared to traditional therapy, but VR was highly effective in maintaining patient motivation and adherence to the program. The immersive environment made rehabilitation more engaging, allowing patients to practice otherwise repetitive tasks in an interactive and stimulating virtual setting.

Virtual reality in traumatic lower limb amputee rehabilitation

The specific VR device was not mentioned in this study [8]; however, it was described as a VR system used alongside traditional rehabilitation. This study compared a traditional rehabilitation program for lower limb amputees with a program that included VR training. The focus of the VR exercises was on balance and gait improvement. The intervention involved three weekly sessions over six weeks, targeting specific outcomes such as balance, gait, and functional mobility. The results showed significant improvements were noted in the timed upand-go test, dynamic gait index, and Berg balance scale, showing that VR was particularly beneficial for improving balance-related outcomes. No significant difference was observed in the 6-min walk test but VR was effective in improving balance and reducing fall risk, crucial aspects of post-amputation rehabilitation. VR was also confirmed to be a safe, amusing, and motivating intervention, offering an innovative approach to challenging traditional balance and gait exercises.

The influence of virtual reality on phantom pain in trans-tibial amputation

The device used in this study [4] was Xbox 360® Kinect®, with a motion sensor and projector system. The VR sessions involved engaging in mini-games such as rafting, cross-country running, and hitting a ball, designed to mimic real-life movements, particularly targeting balance and lower extremity function. The VR group showed a significant reduction in pain intensity (measured by the McGill pain questionnaire) and an improvement in lower extremity function (lower extremity functional scale). Specifically, the VR group had a 23.58% reduction in pain compared to a 14.4% reduction in the control group. There was also a significant improvement in functional outcomes. While VR significantly improved outcomes, the study highlighted the need for further exploration into the long-term benefits of VR therapy and the possibility of diminishing effects over time.

Early virtual-reality-based home rehabilitation after total hip arthroplasty

The device used in this study was a virtual reality rehabilitation system (VRRS) by Khymeia Group, which includes a tablet and wearable sensors for telerehabilitation. Patients in the VR group performed daily exercises targeting hip flexors, extensors, abductors, and adductors, as well as knee flexors and extensors. The VRRS provided real-time monitoring and feedback during these exercises. Both the VR and control groups showed significant improvements in hip function and reduced pain. However, the VR group had a higher global perceived effect score (4.76 ± 0.43) compared to the control group (3.96±0.65), indicating a greater subjective benefit from VR rehabilitation. The telerehabilitation system was particularly appreciated for enhancing patient engagement and satisfaction. However, there were no significant differences in objective functional outcomes between the VR and control groups. The study also suggested the need for long-term follow-up to assess the sustainability of VR's benefits [3].

Virtual reality device used after total knee arthroplasty

The study [1] employed VRRS. This system was used for inpatient rehabilitation after TKA. Participants were randomized to either a VR-based rehabilitation group or a traditional rehabilitation group. The VR-based group involved interactive exercises using the VRRS, which focused on improving functional outcomes like proprioception and balance. The VRRS also measured proprioception through tasks that required participants to track movements on a stabilometric platform. However, the traditional rehabilitation group received standard physical therapy, including functional exercises such as walking and stair negotiation, and passive knee motion therapy on a continuous passive motion device. Both groups performed their respective therapies daily for 60 min, for at least 5 days, during the inpatient rehabilitation phase. The study showed a statistically significant improvement in proprioception (P=0.002) for the VR-based rehabilitation group compared to the traditional group. Proprioception is the ability to sense the position of the joints, which plays a critical role in movement control and balance, particularly important for TKA patients. However, there were no significant differences in other outcomes. Despite improvements in proprioception, VR-based rehabilitation was not superior to traditional rehabilitation in other key areas, such as pain reduction (measured by the visual analog scale, P=0.26), knee disability (measured by the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis (WOMAC) index, P=0.62) healthrelated quality of life (measured by EQ-5D, P=0.15), functional independence (measured by functional independence measure, P=0.07). A significant dropout rate was observed in the VR-based group, where 9 out of 44 patients dropped out, mainly due to discomfort with the VR device. This reflects a potential challenge in adopting VR technology, as some patients found it difficult or uncomfortable to engage with the system. Table 1 shows the summary of the review of all the articles.

Discussion

VR-based rehabilitation has proven to be a valuable and innovative adjunct to traditional physical therapy for various post-operative lower extremity conditions. The growing body of evidence demonstrates that VR can enhance patient outcomes by improving proprioception, balance, and patient engagement following procedures such as TKA, THA, ACL reconstruction, and rehabilitation in amputees [1, 3, 13, 26]. The current literature provides evidence that VR can enhance post-operative recovery by improving key functional outcomes like proprioception, bal ance, and patient engagement [1, 5, 27, 28]. VR offers an immersive, interactive environment that not only helps motivate patients but also reduces the monoton y of conventional rehabilitation exercises, potentially leading to better adherence and outcomes [1, 3, 29].

While VR-based rehabilitation shows significant benefits, particularly in improving proprioception and providing real-time fe edback, the results on pain relief, limb loading, and overall functional recovery are mixed. Several studies suggest that VR is not always superior to traditional methods in managing post-operative pain and restoring the full range of motion, though it serves as an effective complementary tool [1-3, 30]. Additionally, immersive VR environments, such as those used in ACL reconstruction rehabilitation, show promise in enhancing subjective knee function and patient satisfaction [2]. Nonetheless, the immersive experience VR offers is particularly valuable in keeping patients engaged, which is a critical factor for long-term rehabilitation adherence [8]. Table 1. The review of all the articles included in the present review

Title, Author, and Date	Study Objective	Intervention (Sample Size, Outcome Measure, Study Duration)	Conclusion	Remark
The Influence of the Virtual Reality Approach on Phantom Pain in Trans-Tibial Amputation: A Randomized Control Trail; Elgendy et al., February 2024 [4]	Investigating the effect of VR on phantom limb pain and lower extremity function in comparison to the conventional physical therapy (mirroring therapy, phantom exer- cises, and TENS).	N=60; Group A = 30 (conventional therapy including mirror therapy, Phantom exercise, and TENS); Group B = 30 (experimental group including conventional therapy + virtual reality); Virtual reality sessions included games provided by the Kinect® Adven- tures (Microsoft Game Studios, Washington, US); McGill pain questionnaire and lower extremities function scale; 4 weeks	Virtual reality treatment plus traditional treatment (mirroring therapy, phan- tom exercises, and TENS) have positive effects on phantom pain and lower extremity function more than traditional treat- ment only and lead to decreased phantom pain in trans-tibial amputa- tion and increased lower extremity function.	Identifying which patient subgroups benefit the most could personalize treatment ap- proaches. There is limited insight into the long- term effects of vir- tual reality reducing phantom pain.
Effect of Adding Virtual Reality Training to Tradi- tional Exercise Program on Pain, Mental Status and Psychological Status in Unilateral Traumatic Lower Limb Amputees: A Randomized Controlled Trial; Abbas et al., February 2024 [13]	Evaluating the consequence of adding virtual reality to a tra- ditional exercise program on pain, mental status, and psychological status in traumatic unilateral lower limb amputees	N=32; Group A = 16 (traditional reha- bilitation program); Group B = 16 (virtual reality pro- gram + traditional exercise); Kinapsys equipment using Microsoft Kinect; Visual analog scale Beck depres- sion inventory and 12-item short form survey; - 6 weeks	Additional virtual reality seems effective in decreasing pain and im- proving depression and mental health a summary of traumatic unilateral LLAS.	The games of virtual reality have the pos- sibility of not suiting all participants; the sample size in the study is small (32 participants), limiting the generalizability of the findings.
Comparison Between the Effect of Immersive Virtual Reality Training Versus Conventional Rehabilitation on Limb Loading and Functional Outcomes in Patients After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruc- tion: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial; Gsangaya et al., September 2023 [2]	Comparing the effectiveness of using immersive virtual reality (PlayStation virtual reality) in addition to conventional rehabilitation as an aid in the rehabilitation of patients after anterior cruciate ligament replace- ment (ACLR) in terms of objective functional assess- ment and pain and subjective knee function scoring.	N=30; Group A = 15 (conventional rehab) Group B = 15 (combined virtual reality-assisted rehabilitation and conventional rehabilita- tion); limb loading, dynamic balance, range of motion of the knee, hop tests, pain score, and IKDC score; - 6 months	The immersive virtual reality can be used as an adjunct to conventional rehabilitation after ACLR to optimize the clinical and functional outcome, especially in reducing pain as well as subjective knee evaluation.	Research investiga- tors and the subjects were not blinded in this randomized trial. A limited number (once in 2 weeks) of immersive virtual reality sessions was another major limita- tion. The number of games that are avail- able to completely mimic conventional rehabilitation was limited.
Early Virtual-Reality- Based Home Reha- bilitation After Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Random- ized Controlled Trial; Fascio et al., March 2022 [3]	Comparing the efficacy of early rehabilitation via virtual reality rehabilitation system versus tra- ditional rehabilita- tion in improving functional outcomes after primary total hip arthroplasty	N=44; Group A = 22 (control group received therapeutic exercise and passive mobilization); Group B = 22 (experimental group received virtual reality home rehabilitation system + Conventional exercise) HOOS JR, global perceived effect scale, modified Barthel index, and functional independent measure; 15 days	Virtual-reality-based home rehabilitation resulted in similar improvements in func- tional outcomes with a better GPE compared to traditional rehabilitation program following THA	The study focuses on early rehabilitation within 15 days post- surgery, but there is no data on the long-term efficacy of virtual reality-based rehabilitation.

Title, Author, and Date	Study Objective	Intervention (Sample Size, Outcome Measure, Study Duration)	Conclusion	Remark
Effects of Balance Train- ing Using Virtual Reality- Based Serious Games in Individuals With Total Knee Replacement: A Randomized Controlled Trial; Pournajaf et al., November 2021 [5]	The primary objec- tive was investigat- ing the efficacy of balance training using non-immer- sive virtual reality- based serious games compared to conventional therapy in total knee replacement patients on the time up-and-go test. The second- ary objectives included the efficacy of clinical, gait, and postural outcomes.	N=56; Group A = 29 (experimental group); Group B = 27 (control group); Timed up and go test, 10-m walk test, visual analog scale, Medical Research Council (MRC scale), modified Barthel Index; 3 weeks	Balance training with non-immersive virtual re- ality-based serious games can improve clinical, gait, and postural outcomes in total knee replacement patients. It was not supe- rior to the control group findings but could be considered an alternative to the conventional ap- proach and can be added to a regular rehabilitation program in total knee replacement patients. The experimental group had a more physiologi- cal duration of the gait stance phase at the end of the treatment than the control group.	The exclusive selec- tion of static balance exercises using only a limited number of serious games is in- cluded in the virtual reality rehabilitation system.
Investigating the Effect of Adding Virtual Reality Training on Traditional Exercise Programs on Balance and Gait in Uni- lateral Traumatic Lower Limb Amputee; Abbas et al., September 2020 [8]	Investigating the additional effect of virtual reality on a traditional rehabilitation exercise program on balance and gait in traumatic unilateral lower limb amputees	N=32; Group A = 16 (control group); Group B = 16 (experimental group including conventional rehab + virtual reality); Berg balance scale timed up and go test, dynamic gait index, and 6 min walk test; 6 weeks	virtual reality is a promis- ing, amusing, and safe Intervention in address- ing balance and gait in unilateral traumatic lower limb amputee.	The long-term sustainability of the improvements, especially in terms of balance and gait, remains unexplored. The study did not explore whether dif- ferent combinations of games might lead to varying outcomes.
Effects of Early Virtual Reality-Based Rehabili- tation in Patients With Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Randomized Controlled Trial; Gianola et al., January 2020 [1]	To assess the efficacy of early rehabilitation per- formed by virtual reality-based re- habilitation versus traditional rehabili- tation provided by physical therapists after primary total knee arthroplasty.	N=85; Group A = 44 (experimen- tal group including virtual real- ity); Group B = 41 (traditional rehabilitation); Visual analogue scale, WOMAC, EQ-5D questionnaire, the global per- ceived effect as assessed by the GPE score, functional indepen- dent measure, the frequency of medication assumption; the isometric strength of the quad- riceps and hamstring muscles as assessed using a dynamometer, the knee active range of move- ment measured by a goniom- eter and the proprioception assessed using the stabilometric platform of the virtual reality rehabilitation system; 10 days	Virtual reality-based rehabilitation is not superior to traditional rehabilitation in terms of pain relief, drug as- sumptions, and other functional outcomes but seems to improve the global proprioception for patients who received total knee arthroplasty.	The study focuses on short-term outcomes (approximately 10 days post-surgery). There is no informa- tion on whether the benefits of virtual re- ality (VR), especially for proprioception, persist in the long term. Due to the nature of the interven- tions, patients and therapists were not blinded, which may introduce bias.

JMR

Furthermore, VR-based rehabilitation has shown promising results in specialized cases like lower limb amputees, where it has significantly improved phantom limb pain, balance, and gait performance, addressing key rehabilitation challenges that conventional methods struggle to overcome [8, 31]. This highlights VR's potential to revolutionize rehabilitation strategies by offering personalized, remote, and interactive solutions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, VR-based rehabilitation is a promising complement to traditional therapy, offering distinct advantages in patient motivation, proprioception improvement, and the overall rehabilitation experience. Some negative aspects of the use of VR included cybersickness, eye strain, reduced awareness of physical surroundings, social isolation, and vision problems. However, more high-quality, large-scale studies are necessary to establish clear guidelines for integrating VR into standard rehabilitation protocols and to assess its long-term impact on functional recovery and pain management. As the technology continues to evolve, its role in postoperative rehabilitation is likely to expand, offering new opportunities for enhancing patient care and recovery outcomes.

Study limitations

Short-term focus in multiple studies, such as those on THA and TKA, primarily assesses short-term outcomes (e.g. 10-15 days post-surgery), leaving gaps in understanding the sustainability of VR's benefits.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

This article is a review with no human or animal sample.

Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study, data collection and analysis, interception of the results, and manuscript drafting. Each author approved the submission of the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

 Gianola S, Stucovitz E, Castellini G, Mascali M, Vanni F, Tramacere I, et al. Effects of early virtual reality-based rehabilitation in patients with total knee arthroplasty: A randomized controlled trial. Medicine. 2020; 99(7):e19136. [DOI:10.1097/ MD.000000000019136] [PMID] [2] Gsangaya MR, Htwe O, Selvi Naicker A, Md Yusoff BAH, Mohammad N, Soh EZF, et al. Comparison between the effect of immersive virtual reality training versus conventional rehabilitation on limb loading and functional outcomes in patients after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A prospective randomized controlled trial. Asia-Pacific Journal of Sports Medicine, Arthroscopy, Rehabilitation and Technology. 2023; 34:28-37. [DOI:10.1016/j.asmart.2023.09.002] [PMID]

Journal of

- [3] Fascio E, Vitale JA, Sirtori P, Peretti G, Banfi G, Mangiavini L. Early virtual-reality-based home rehabilitation after total hip arthroplasty: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2022; 11(7):1766. [DOI:10.3390/jcm11071766] [PMID]
- [4] Elgendy MH, Helal MM, Habib AA. The influence of virtual reality approach on phantom pain in Trans-Tibial amputation: A randomized control trail. International Journal of Health Sciences. 2024; 8(S1):436-57. [doi:10.53730/ijhs. v8nS1.14796]
- [5] Pournajaf S, Goffredo M, Pellicciari L, Piscitelli D, Criscuolo S, Le Pera D, et al. Effect of balance training using virtual reality-based serious games in individuals with total knee replacement: A randomized controlled trial. Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine. 2022; 65(6):101609. [DOI:10.1016/j. rehab.2021.101609] [PMID]
- [6] Papalia R, Campi S, Vorini F, Zampogna B, Vasta S, Papalia G, et al. The role of physical activity and rehabilitation following hip and knee arthroplasty in the elderly. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2020; 9(5):1401. [DOI:10.3390/jcm9051401] [PMID]
- [7] Byra J, Czernicki K. The effectiveness of virtual reality rehabilitation in patients with knee and hip osteoarthritis. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2020; 9(8):2639. [DOI:10.3390/ jcm9082639] [PMID]
- [8] Abbas RL, Cooreman D, Al Sultan H, El Nayal M, Saab IM, El Khatib A. The The effect of adding virtual reality training on traditional exercise program on balance and gait in unilateral, traumatic lower limb amputeeputee. Games for Health Journal. 2021; 10(1):50-6. [DOI:10.1089/g4h.2020.0028] [PMID]
- [9] Mazurek J, Cieślik B, Wrzeciono A, Gajda R, Szczepańska-Gieracha J. Immersive virtual reality therapy is supportive for orthopedic rehabilitation among the elderly: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2023; 12(24):7681. [DOI:10.3390/jcm12247681] [PMID]
- [10] Mohammadi N, Hadian MR, Olyaei GR. Compare the effect of traditional and virtual reality training on subjectivesense of instability and balance in basketball-players with functional ankle instability: Matched randomized clinical trial. Journal of Biomedical Physics & Engineering. 2023; 13(3):26. [DOI:10.31661/jbpe.v0i0.2007-1146]
- [11] Peng L, Zeng Y, Wu Y, Si H, Shen B. Virtual reality-based rehabilitation in patients following total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Chinese Medical Journal. 2022; 135(2):153-63. [DOI:10.1097/CM9.00000000001847] [PMID]

- [12] Kim K, Choi B, Lim W. The efficacy of virtual reality assisted versus traditional rehabilitation intervention on individuals with functional ankle instability: A pilot randomized controlled trial. Disability and Rehabilitation. Assistive Technology. 2019; 14(3):276-80. [DOI:10.1080/17483107.2018.1429 501] [PMID]
- [13] Abbas RL, Cooreman D, Sultan HA, Nayal ME, Saab IM, Khatib AE, et al. Effect of adding virtual reality training to traditional exercise program on pain, mental status and psychological status in unilateral traumatic lower limb amputees: A randomized controlled trial. Games for Health Journal. 2024; 13(4):245-51. [DOI:10.1089/g4h.2023.0164] [PMID]
- [14] Hadamus A, Błażkiewicz M, Wydra KT, Kowalska AJ, Łukowicz M, Białoszewski D, et al. Effectiveness of early rehabilitation with exergaming in virtual reality on gait in patients after total knee replacement. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2022; 11(17):4950. [DOI:10.3390/jcm11174950] [PMID]
- [15] Prvu Bettger J, Green CL, Holmes DN, Chokshi A, Mather RC 3rd, Hoch BT, et al. Effects of virtual exercise rehabilitation in-home therapy compared with traditional care after total knee arthroplasty: VERITAS, a randomized controlled trial. The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery. American Volume. 2020; 102(2):101-9. [DOI:10.2106/JBJS.19.00695] [PMID]
- [16] Kim SH, Cho SH. Benefits of virtual reality program and motor imagery training on balance and fall efficacy in isolated older adults: A randomized controlled trial. Medicina. 2022; 58(11):1545. [DOI:10.3390/medicina58111545] [PMID]
- [17] Nambi G, Abdelbasset WK, Elsayed SH, Alrawaili SM, Abodonya AM, Saleh AK, et al. Comparative effects of Isokinetic training and virtual reality training on sports performances in university football players with chronic low back pain-randomized controlled study. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 2020; 2020:2981273. [DOI:10.1155/2020/2981273] [PMID]
- [18] Elaraby AER, Shahien M, Jahan AM, Etoom M, Bekhet AH. The efficacy of virtual reality training in the rehabilitation of orthopedic ankle injuries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Advances in Rehabilitation Science and Practice. 2023; 12:11795727231151636. [DOI:10.1177/11795727231151636]
 [PMID]
- [19] Yildirim Şahan T, Erbahçeci F. Effects of virtual reality on transtibial amputation rehabilitation outcomes: A randomized study. Games for Health Journal. 2023; 12(6):459-67. [DOI:10.1089/g4h.2023.0052] [PMID]
- [20] Flórez Fonnegra JP, Pino Prestan AC, López LL, Yepes JC, Pérez VZ. Rehabilitation of patients with Arthrogenic muscular inhibition in pathologies of knee using virtual reality. Sensors. 2023; 23(22):9114. [DOI:10.3390/s23229114] [PMID]
- [21] Gür O, Başar S. The effect of virtual reality on pain, kinesiophobia and function in total knee arthroplasty patients: A randomized controlled trial. The Knee. 2023; 45:187-97. [DOI:10.1016/j.knee.2023.09.012] [PMID]
- [22] Zavala-González J, Martínez D, Gutiérrez-Espinoza H. Effectiveness of adding virtual reality to physiotherapeutic treatment in patients with total hip arthroplasty. A randomized controlled trial. Clinical Rehabilitation. 2022; 36(5):660-8. [DOI:10.1177/02692155221080546] [PMID]

- [23] Gumaa M, Rehan Youssef A. Is virtual reality effective in orthopedic rehabilitation? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Physical Therapy. 2019; 99(10):1304-25. [DOI:10.1093/ptj/ pzz093] [PMID]
- [24] Fuchs L, Kluska A, Novak D, Kosashvili Y. The influence of early virtual reality intervention on pain, anxiety, and function following primary total knee arthroplasty. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice. 2022; 49:101687. [DOI:10.1016/j.ctcp.2022.101687] [PMID]
- [25] Jabbar MAH, Ashnagar Z, Hadian MR, Jalaie S, Moghadam ST. Investigating the effects of Exergame training on functional activities among newly-fitted patients with unilateral transtibial amputation: A preliminary study. Journal of Modern Rehabilitation. 2024; 18(3):371-82. [DOI:10.18502/ jmr.v18i3.16424]
- [26] Hong S, Lee G. Effects of an immersive virtual reality environment on muscle strength, proprioception, balance, and gait of a middle-aged woman who had total knee replacement: A case report. The American Journal of Case Reports. 2019; 20:1636-42. [DOI:10.12659/AJCR.918521] [PMID]
- [27] Shin D, Hong S. A Study on the effectiveness of VR rehabilitation training content for older individuals with total knee replacement: Pilot study. Healthcare. 2024; 12(15):1500. [DOI:10.3390/healthcare12151500] [PMID]
- [28] Yoon S, Son H. Effects of full immersion virtual reality training on balance and knee function in total knee replacement patients: A randomized controlled study. Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology. 2020; 20(9):2040007. [DOI:10.1142/S0219519420400072]
- [29] Gazendam A, Zhu M, Chang Y, Phillips S, Bhandari M. Virtual reality rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. 2022; 30(8):2548-55. [DOI:10.1007/s00167-022-06910-x] [PMID]
- [30] Blasco J, Igual-Camacho C, Blasco M, Antón-Antón V, Ortiz-Llueca L, Roig-Casasús S. The efficacy of virtual reality tools for total knee replacement rehabilitation: A systematic review. Physiotherapy Theory and Practice. 2021; 37(6):682-92. [DOI:10.1080/09593985.2019.1641865] [PMID]
- [31] Ambron E, Buxbaum LJ, Miller A, Stoll H, Kuchenbecker KJ, Coslett HB. Virtual reality treatment displaying the missing leg improves phantom limb pain: A small clinical trial. Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair. 2021; 35(12):1100-11. [DOI:10.1177/15459683211054164] [PMID]