# Original Article

### Depression in Acute Coronary Syndrome Patients: A Cross-sectional Study in Malaysia

Wan Nor Asyikeen Wan Adnan<sup>1</sup> Siti Azrin Ab Hamid<sup>2</sup>\* Zatul Rathiah Sulong<sup>3</sup> Mohd Hashairi Fauzi<sup>4</sup>

- 1. Bachelor of Science (Hons) Statistics, Department of Biostatistics and Research Methodology, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia
- 2. Master of Science (Statistics), Department of Biostatistics and Research Methodology, School of Medical Sciences, Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, Kelantan, Malaysia
- 3. Master of Medicine (Emergency Medicine), Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia
- 4. Master of Medicine (Emergency Medicine), Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia, 16150, Kubang Kerian, Kelantan, Malaysia

\*Correspondence to: Siti Azrin Ab Hamid ctazrin@usm.my

#### (Received: 18 Feb. 2020; Revised: 1 May. 2020; Accepted: 28 Jun. 2020)

#### Abstract

**Background and purpose:** Linked with high mortality rate, depression is common among acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. The current study sought to identify the factors associated with depression among ACS patients in Malaysia.

*Materials and Methods*: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 400 ACS patients in two Malaysian hospitals: Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Kelantan and Hospital Sultanah Nur Zahirah (HSNZ), Terengganu. ACS patients were included if they were above 18 years of age, able to read and/or write in Bahasa Melayu language and had informed consent. Patients were excluded if they were intubated, had an altered mental status, mental retardation and had psychological problems prior to ACS. Depression in this study was defined as having dysphoria, hopelessness, devaluation of life, self-deprecation, lack of interest or involvement, anhedonia, and inertia among ACS patients. A questionnaire was distributed to all 400 ACS patients. Simple and multiple logistic regressions were used for data analysis.

**Results:** The mean (standard deviation) age of ACS patients was 60.4 (11.3) years at Hospital USM and 61.2 (10.4) years at HSNZ. Nearly all of the depressive-ACS patients were Malay (79.4%), 85.9% were male, and 79.7% were married. Approximately 87.7% of depressive-ACS patients had ischemic heart disease, 87.1% had stroke, 83.4% had hyperlipidaemia, 81.8% had diabetes mellitus, and 80.7% had hypertension. The factors associated with depression were female gender (adjusted odd ratio (OR): 2.48, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.50, 4.10, p<0.001) and ischemic heart disease (adjusted OR: 2.44, 95% CI: 1.41, 4.25, p=0.002).

*Conclusion*: The results showed that female gender and ischemic heart disease were the most significant associated factors of depression among ACS patients.

Keywords: Acute Coronary Syndrome; Depression; Gender Identity

*Citation:* Wan Adnan WNA, **Ab Hamid SA**\*, Rathiah Sulong Z, Hashairi Fauzi M. Depression in Acute Coronary Syndrome Patients: A Cross-sectional Study in Malaysia. Iran J Health Sci. 2020; 8 (3): 21-28.

Copyright © 2020, Published by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences on behalf of Iranian Journal of Health Sciences and Health Sciences Research Center. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

# 1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death worldwide. Out of 31% of all global deaths, it is estimated that 17.9 million individuals died from cardiovascular disease in the year 2016 (1). Patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) may present with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or stable angina. ACS includes ST-elevation myocardial infarction, non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, and unstable angina. In the year 2012, ACS accounted for 24.7% of deaths in Malaysia (2). In the United States, over 17 million adults have survived from ACS, with 1.2 million new survivors being added each year (3). Despite these survival rates, two out of every five ACS patients report a depressive symptom (4), in which the symptom that remain long after discharge (5). The prevalence of major depression after ACS is more than 10%, twice that of the general population. Among patients with cardiovascular disease, depression is prevalent and has a significant negative impact on various outcomes (6). The association between ACS and depression has been extensively reported and studied. This relationship is bidirectional where cardiovascular disease has been shown to increase the risk of depression, while depression is found to be associated with higher rates of cardiovascular mortality and morbidity (7). The presence of depression in patients with coronary disease has been linked to lower rates of compliance with treatment and lifestyle modification; it is thus important to detect depression among patients in this group (6). Following an ACS event, patients often experience psychological stress. This may account for the prevalence of depression in this patient group. Untreated depression can have a significant impact on patient's quality of

Iran J Health Sci 2020; 8(3): 22

life and increase the burden on family members (8). ACS patients with depression reported more chest pain, used more primary care services, and had more hospital re-admissions (9-12). In addition, depressed patients were also less likely to return to work, adhere to medical recommendations, and experience life satisfaction (13-15). The troubling connection between ACS and depression warrants further investigation in Malaysia due to the difference in social and cultural background compared to other Western countries, where the bulk of research had been carried out. There was a need to conduct studies in examining the selfreported level of depression in order to inform a long-term secondary risk prevention strategy for ACS patients. Thus, the current study aimed to identify the factors associated with depression among ACS patients. Based on the findings, the researcher hoped to seek solutions to this mental health challenge among ACS patients in Malaysia.

### 2. Materials and Methods

Lasting for ten months, this cross-sectional study was carried out at two Malaysian hospitals: Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Kelantan and Hospital Sultanah Nur Zahirah (HSNZ), Terengganu. The present study was approved by the Emergency Department Review Board and the Human Research Ethical Committee, USM. At HSNZ, the study was approved by the Medical Research and Ethics Committee and registered with the Ministry of Health through the National Medical Research Registry (NMRR). The registered NMRR ID was NMRR-13-1469-18867. Consent was obtained from both hospital directors at Hospital USM and HSNZ. ACS is defined as a range of acute myocardial ischemia state including unstable angina (UA), NSTEMI, and STEMI. UA refers to new onset of severe angina or accelerated angina; no rest pain, angina at rest within past month but not preceding 48 hours (angina at rest, subacute), or angina at rest within 48 hours (angina at rest, acute). A patient presenting with UA may progress to NSTEMI or even STEMI. The diagnosis of NSTEMI is established if a raised cardiac biomarker is detected. In STEMI, ST elevation may be present in the ECG, whereas in UA, they are usually absent, and whenever present, they are usually transient. STEMI is a clinical syndrome defined by characteristic symptoms of myocardial ischemia in association with electrocardiographic persistent ST elevation and subsequent release of biomarkers of myocardial necrosis. New or presumably new left bundle branch block (LBBB) has been considered a STEMI equivalent.

The ACS patients were included if they were (i) above 18 years old; (ii) able to read and/or write in the Bahasa Melayu (BM) language, and (iii) had informed consent. The patients were excluded if they were intubated, had an altered mental status, had mental retardation and psychological problems ACS. Co-morbid prior to diabetes illnesses included mellitus. hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, stroke. ischemic heart disease, and etc.

A convenience sampling method was applied where the sample of ACS patients was taken from the wards where it was easy to reach. The only criteria using this convenience sampling method was whether the ACS patients agree to participate in this study or not. Therefore, all ACS patients who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria during the study period were included. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, a total of 400 patients were eligible for this study.

standardised questionnaire А was distributed among 400 ACS patients at each hospital, Hospital USM and HSNZ. The questionnaire included two sections. The first section gathered information on sociodemographic details, such as age, gender, race, marital status, occupation, total educational level. income, and comorbidity.

The second section was composed of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21 (DASS-21). The current research employed the DASS-21, because it differentiates between the varying aspects of depression, physical arousal, psychological tension, and agitation. DASS-21 was validated using BM version with the Cronbach's alpha of 0.84 for depression (16).

The DASS-21 consisted of three main scales: depression (DASS-D), anxiety (DASS-A), and stress (DASS-S). Each scale had seven items which used a fourpoint response scale. The 0 point stood for 'Did not apply to me at all'. 1 point indicated 'Applied to me to some degree, or some of the time'. 2 points indicated 'Applied to me to a considerable degree, or a good part of time', and 3 points indicated 'Applied to me very much, or most of the time'.

The DASS-21 is a short form of the DASS (the long version has 42 items), and the final score for depression must be multiplied by two to align with the original 42 items. The subscale ranges between 0 and 42. Once multiplied by two, the score is transferred to the DASS profile sheet, enabling comparisons in the depression category. ACS patients were categorized as having a normal level of depression if they scored between 0 and 9, while patients with scores of 10 to 42 were classified as having abnormal depression.

The questionnaire was distributed to ACS patients admitted to all medical wards, including the Coronary Rehabilitation Ward and the Cardiac Care Unit. The questionnaire was given by hand during the admission into the wards. The subjects received thorough explanations regarding the study before signing the informed consent forms. The researcher explained to the subjects that they should answer the questions according to their feelings after the ACS event, not prior to it.

The informed consent forms included the title of the research, the researcher's name, an introduction to the study, the purpose of the research, qualifications for participation (inclusion and exclusion criteria), study procedures, and risks. The informed consent forms also provided possible ensured confidentiality benefits. and required a signature. Eligible subjects filled in and signed the informed consent forms. The respondents had 10 to 15 minutes to answer all the questions. Then, the questionnaire was returned to the researcher.

Data entry and analysis were conducted with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences Software, version 24 (17). The continuous variables were described as mean and standard deviation, or median and interquartile range where appropriate. Categorical variables were presented by frequency (n) and percentage (%). Logistic regression was used to analyze the data, as the current study involved binary outcome (in which there are only two possible outcomes: depressive and non-depressive). The independent variables were screened one by one using simple logistic regression. Only variables that were statistically important with p-value less than 0.25 or were clinically important were included to proceed with multiple logistic regression. Results are presented as the crude and adjusted odd ratios (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI), and p-value. The p-value < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

# 3. Results

The results of the present study were divided into two groups; depressive and non-depressive group. Depressive group cases were defined as having 10 to 42 score while non-depressive group cases were defined as having 0 to 9 score. The mean (standard deviation) age of ACS patients was 60.4 (11.3) years at Hospital USM and 61.2 (10.4) years at HSNZ. Nearly all of the depressive-ACS patients were Malay (79.4%), 85.9% were male, and 79.7% were married. Approximately 87.7%, 87.1%, 83.4%, 81.8%, and 80.7% of depressive-ACS patients had ischemic heart disease, stroke, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension, respectively (Table 1).

Gender         1           Male         213 (85.9)         35 (14, 108 (71.1)           Race         108 (71.1)         44 (28, 108 (71.1)           Malay         63 (84.0)         12 (16, 108 (71.1)           Malay         258 (79.4)         67 (20, 108 (71.1)           Marital Status         12 (92.3)         1 (7, 7, 108 (71.1)           Married         220 (79.7)         56 (20, 109 (79, 7))           Divorced/Widowed         89 (80.2)         22 (19, 105 (74.5))           Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25, 109 (74, 5))           Outers         126 (80, 8)         30 (19, 109 (19	- sive y (%)
Male         213 (85.9)         35 (14.           Female         108 (71.1)         44 (28.           Race         108 (71.1)         44 (28.           Race         258 (79.4)         67 (20.           Marital Status         258 (79.4)         67 (20.           Married         220 (79.7)         56 (20.           Divorced/Widowed         89 (80.2)         22 (19.           Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25.           Governmental         43 (89.6)         5 (10.4)           Private         47 (85.5)         8 (14.3)           Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19.5)           Diabetes Mellitus         200         21.2 (21.5)           No         123 (77.8)         35 (22.5)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18.5)           Hypertension         200         21.2 (20.7)           No         79 (79.0)         21 (21.5)           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.5)           Stroke         200         200 (78.8)         70 (21.5)           No         260 (78.8)         70 (21.5)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.5)           Ischemic Heart Disease         70 (21.5)	
Female         108 (71.1)         44 (28, 7)           Race         63 (84.0)         12 (16, 7)           Malay         258 (79.4)         67 (20, 7)           Marital Status         12 (92.3)         1 (7.7, 7)           Married         220 (79.7)         56 (20, 7)           Divorced/Widowed         89 (80.2)         22 (19, 7)           Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25, 6)           Governmental         43 (89.6)         5 (10, 4)           Private         47 (85.5)         8 (14, 3)           Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19, 7)           Diabetes Mellitus         79 (79.0)         21 (21, 7)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18, 7)           Hypertension         79 (79.0)         21 (21, 7)           No         79 (79.0)         21 (21, 7)           Yes         165 (77.5)         48 (22, 7)           Hyperlipidaemia         61 (87.1)         9 (12, 7)           No         260 (78.8)         70 (21, 7)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12, 7)           Ischemic Heart Disease         74         61 (87.1)           No         171 (74.7)         58 (25, 7)           Yes         <	.1)
Race       63 (84.0)       12 (16.         Malay       258 (79.4)       67 (20.         Marital Status       12 (92.3)       1 (7.7         Married       220 (79.7)       56 (20.         Divorced/Widowed       89 (80.2)       22 (19.         Occupation       105 (74.5)       36 (25.         Governmental       43 (89.6)       5 (10.4         Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.3)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       166 (83.4)       31 (16.         Stroke       260 (78.8)       70 (21.         No       260 (78.8)       70 (21.         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.5)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.         No       171 (74.7)       58 (25.         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.         Other Como	
Malay       258 (79.4)       67 (20.4)         Marital Status       12 (92.3)       1 (7.7         Married       220 (79.7)       56 (20.4)         Divorced/Widowed       89 (80.2)       22 (19.4)         Occupation       105 (74.5)       36 (25.4)         Governmental       43 (89.6)       5 (10.4)         Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.2)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.4)         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.4)         No       123 (77.8)       35 (22.4)         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.4)         Hypertension       165 (77.5)       48 (22.4)         No       79 (79.0)       21 (21.4)         Yes       165 (83.4)       31 (16.5)         Stroke       105 (78.8)       70 (21.4)         No       260 (78.8)       70 (21.4)         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.5)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.5)         No       171 (74.7)       58 (25.5)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.5)         Other Comorbid       171 (74.7)       58 (25.5)	,
Malay         258 (79.4)         67 (20.4)           Marital Status         12 (92.3)         1 (7.7           Married         220 (79.7)         56 (20.4)           Divorced/Widowed         89 (80.2)         22 (19.4)           Occupation         89 (80.2)         22 (19.4)           Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25.4)           Private         43 (89.6)         5 (10.4)           Private         47 (85.5)         8 (14.2)           Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19.4)           Diabetes Mellitus         123 (77.8)         35 (22.4)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18.4)           Hypertension         79 (79.0)         21 (21.4)           No         79 (79.0)         21 (21.4)           Yes         165 (77.5)         48 (22.4)           Hyperlipidaemia         165 (77.5)         48 (22.4)           No         165 (77.5)         48 (22.4)           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.4)           Stroke         110 (74.7)         58 (25.4)           No         260 (78.8)         70 (21.4)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.5)           Stroke         171 (74.7)	.0)
Marital Status       12 (92.3)       1 (7.7         Married       220 (79.7)       56 (20.         Divorced/Widowed       89 (80.2)       22 (19.         Occupation       105 (74.5)       36 (25.         Governmental       43 (89.6)       5 (10.4         Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.3)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.         Hyperlipidaemia       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       165 (83.4)       31 (16.         Stroke       105 (74.7)       58 (25.         No       123 (77.8)       35 (22.         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.         Hypertension       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       260 (78.8)       70 (21.         Kes       171 (74.7)       58 (25.         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.         Other Comorbid       171 (74.7)       58 (25.	
Married $220 (79.7)$ $56 (20.5)$ Divorced/Widowed $89 (80.2)$ $22 (19.5)$ Occupation $105 (74.5)$ $36 (25.5)$ Governmental $43 (89.6)$ $5 (10.4)$ Private $47 (85.5)$ $8 (14.5)$ Others $126 (80.8)$ $30 (19.5)$ Diabetes Mellitus $126 (80.8)$ $30 (19.5)$ Diabetes Mellitus $123 (77.8)$ $35 (22.5)$ Yes $198 (81.8)$ $44 (18.5)$ Hypertension $79 (79.0)$ $21 (21.5)$ Yes $242 (80.7)$ $58 (19.5)$ Hyperlipidaemia $79 (79.0)$ $21 (21.5)$ No $165 (77.5)$ $48 (22.5)$ Yes $156 (83.4)$ $31 (16.5)$ Stroke $156 (83.4)$ $31 (16.5)$ No $260 (78.8)$ $70 (21.5)$ Stroke $171 (74.7)$ $58 (25.5)$ No $171 (74.7)$ $58 (25.5)$ Yes $150 (87.7)$ $21 (12.5)$ Other Comorbid $150 (87.7)$ $21 (12.5)$	,
Married $220 (79.7)$ $56 (20.5)$ Divorced/Widowed $89 (80.2)$ $22 (19.5)$ Occupation $105 (74.5)$ $36 (25.5)$ Governmental $43 (89.6)$ $5 (10.4)$ Private $47 (85.5)$ $8 (14.5)$ Others $126 (80.8)$ $30 (19.5)$ Diabetes Mellitus $126 (80.8)$ $30 (19.5)$ Diabetes Mellitus $123 (77.8)$ $35 (22.5)$ Yes $198 (81.8)$ $44 (18.5)$ Hypertension $79 (79.0)$ $21 (21.5)$ Yes $242 (80.7)$ $58 (19.5)$ Hyperlipidaemia $79 (79.0)$ $21 (21.5)$ No $165 (77.5)$ $48 (22.5)$ Yes $156 (83.4)$ $31 (16.5)$ Stroke $156 (83.4)$ $31 (16.5)$ No $260 (78.8)$ $70 (21.5)$ Stroke $171 (74.7)$ $58 (25.5)$ No $171 (74.7)$ $58 (25.5)$ Yes $150 (87.7)$ $21 (12.5)$ Other Comorbid $150 (87.7)$ $21 (12.5)$	/)
Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25.43)           Governmental         43 (89.6)         5 (10.44)           Private         47 (85.5)         8 (14.31)           Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19.41)           Diabetes Mellitus         123 (77.8)         35 (22.41)           No         123 (77.8)         35 (22.41)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18.41)           Hypertension         79 (79.0)         21 (21.41)           Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.41)           Hyperlipidaemia         165 (77.5)         48 (22.41)           No         165 (77.5)         48 (22.41)           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.41)           Stroke         1106 (78.8)         70 (21.41)           Yes         150 (87.1)         9 (12.41)           Yes         150 (87.1)         9 (12.41)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         58 (25.41)	.3)
Occupation         105 (74.5)         36 (25.43)           Governmental         43 (89.6)         5 (10.44)           Private         47 (85.5)         8 (14.31)           Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19.41)           Diabetes Mellitus         123 (77.8)         35 (22.41)           No         123 (77.8)         35 (22.41)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18.41)           Hypertension         79 (79.0)         21 (21.41)           Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.41)           Hyperlipidaemia         165 (77.5)         48 (22.41)           No         165 (77.5)         48 (22.41)           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.41)           Stroke         1106 (78.8)         70 (21.41)           Yes         150 (87.1)         9 (12.41)           Yes         150 (87.1)         9 (12.41)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         58 (25.41)	
Unemployed       105 (74.5)       36 (25.         Governmental       43 (89.6)       5 (10.4)         Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.3)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.3)         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         Yes       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.3)         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.3)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.3)         Hyperlipidaemia       79 (79.0)       21 (21.3)         No       165 (77.5)       48 (22.3)         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.3)         Stroke       156 (83.4)       31 (16.3)         No       260 (78.8)       70 (21.3)         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.3)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.3)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.3)         Other Comorbid       150 (87.7)       21 (12.3)	,
Governmental       43 (89.6)       5 (10.4)         Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.3)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.3)         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         Yes       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.3)         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.3)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.3)         Hyperlipidaemia       79 (79.0)       21 (21.3)         No       165 (77.5)       48 (22.3)         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.3)         Stroke       156 (83.4)       31 (16.3)         No       260 (78.8)       70 (21.3)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.3)         No       171 (74.7)       58 (25.3)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.3)	.5)
Private       47 (85.5)       8 (14.3)         Others       126 (80.8)       30 (19.3)         Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         No       123 (77.8)       35 (22.3)         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.3)         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.3)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.3)         Hyperlipidaemia       79 (77.5)       48 (22.3)         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.3)         Stroke       260 (78.8)       70 (21.3)         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.3)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.3)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.3)         Other Comorbid       150 (87.7)       21 (12.3)	,
Others         126 (80.8)         30 (19.10)           Diabetes Mellitus         123 (77.8)         35 (22.10)           No         123 (77.8)         35 (22.10)           Yes         198 (81.8)         44 (18.10)           Hypertension         79 (79.0)         21 (21.10)           Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.10)           Hyperlipidaemia         79 (79.0)         21 (21.10)           Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.10)           Hyperlipidaemia         79 (79.0)         21 (21.10)           Yes         165 (77.5)         48 (22.10)           Stroke         156 (83.4)         31 (16.10)           Stroke         156 (83.4)         31 (16.10)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.2)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.10)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.10)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         58 (25.10)	
Diabetes Mellitus       123 (77.8)       35 (22.         Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.         Hyperlipidaemia       79       79.0)       21 (21.         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.         Hyperlipidaemia       79       79.0)       21 (21.         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.         Stroke       260 (78.8)       70 (21.         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.5)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.5)         Other Comorbid       150 (87.7)       21 (12.5)	,
Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.10)         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.10)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.10)         Hyperlipidaemia       165 (77.5)       48 (22.10)         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.10)         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.10)         Stroke       156 (83.4)       31 (16.10)         Stroke       156 (87.1)       9 (12.10)         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.10)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.10)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.10)         Other Comorbid       150 (87.7)       21 (12.10)	,
Yes       198 (81.8)       44 (18.1)         Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.1)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.1)         Hyperlipidaemia       165 (77.5)       48 (22.1)         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.1)         Yes       165 (77.5)       48 (22.1)         Stroke       156 (83.4)       31 (16.1)         Stroke       156 (87.1)       9 (12.2)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.1)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.2)         Other Comorbid       150 (87.7)       21 (12.2)	.2)
Hypertension       79 (79.0)       21 (21.1)         Yes       242 (80.7)       58 (19.1)         Hyperlipidaemia       165 (77.5)       48 (22.1)         No       165 (83.4)       31 (16.1)         Stroke       260 (78.8)       70 (21.1)         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.5)         Schemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.1)         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.5)         Other Comorbid       171 (74.7)       58 (25.1)	
No         79 (79.0)         21 (21.           Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.           Hyperlipidaemia	,
Yes         242 (80.7)         58 (19.7)           Hyperlipidaemia         165 (77.5)         48 (22.7)           Yes         165 (77.5)         48 (22.7)           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.7)           Stroke         260 (78.8)         70 (21.7)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.9)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.7)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         171 (74.7)	.0)
Hyperlipidaemia       165 (77.5)       48 (22.         Yes       156 (83.4)       31 (16.         Stroke       260 (78.8)       70 (21.         Yes       61 (87.1)       9 (12.9)         Ischemic Heart Disease       171 (74.7)       58 (25.         Yes       150 (87.7)       21 (12.9)         Other Comorbid       171 (74.7)       58 (25.9)	
No         165 (77.5)         48 (22.           Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.           Stroke         260 (78.8)         70 (21.           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.5)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.5)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.5)           Other Comorbid         150 (87.7)         21 (12.5)	·
Yes         156 (83.4)         31 (16.7)           Stroke         260 (78.8)         70 (21.7)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.7)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.7)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         58 (25.7)	.5)
No         260 (78.8)         70 (21.6)           Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.5)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.6)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.6)           Other Comorbid         150 (87.7)         21 (12.6)	
Yes         61 (87.1)         9 (12.9)           Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.9)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.9)           Other Comorbid         171 (74.7)         10 (87.7)	
Ischemic Heart Disease         171 (74.7)         58 (25.7)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)           Other Comorbid         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)	.2)
No         171 (74.7)         58 (25.7)           Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)	
Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)           Other Comorbid         21 (12.7)         21 (12.7)	-
Yes         150 (87.7)         21 (12.7)           Other Comorbid         21 (12.7)         21 (12.7)	.3)
Other Comorbid	,
	,
	.3)
<b>Yes</b> 77 (89.5) 9 (10.5	

Table 1. Socio-demographic Characteristics of ACS patients at Hospital USM and HSNZ (n=400)

The potential cofounders in this study were age, gender, and presence of comorbid. The cofounders were controlled by using multiple logistic regression analysis to give the adjusted odds ratio (OR), as all the significant variables in the simple logistic regression were adjusted in multiple logistic regression. The cofounders could also be avoided by using restriction analysis, as this method was done with other variables, such as race, household income level, and education status level. Depression in ACS patients was associated with female gender (adjusted OR: 2.48, 95% CI: 1.50, 4.10, p<0.001) and ischemic heart disease (adjusted OR: 2.44, 95% CI: 1.41, 4.25, p=0.002), as summarised in Table 2. Compared to men, women with ACS had 2.55 times the odds to have depression when adjusted for ischemic heart disease. The ACS subjects with a history of ischemic heart disease were 2.30 times more likely to experience depression when compared to subjects without a history of ischemic heart disease.

#### Iran J Health Sci 2020; 8(3): 25

Variables	Si	Simple Logistic Regression		Multiple Logistic Regression		
	b	Crude Odd Ratio (95% CI)	p-value	b	Adjusted Odd Ratio (95% CI)	p-value
Gender						
Male	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-
Female	0.91	2.48	0.000	1.83	2.50	< 0.001
		(1.50, 4.09)		(1.50, 4.15)		
Ischemic Heart						
Disease						
No	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-
Yes	0.89	2.42	0.001	0.83	2.44	0.003
		$(1.41, 4.18) \tag{1.41, 4.25}$				

Table 1. Factors	Associated with	Depression a	mong ACS	Patients (n=400)
	associated with	Depression a	mong neo i	1  attents (1-+00)

b regression coefficient; CI confidence interval; Forward LR and Backward LR variable selection applied; Multicollinearity and interaction terms checked and not found; Assumptions met: Hosmer Lemeshow test *p*-value 0.324. Overall, the correctly classified percentage = 80.3%, and the area under the ROC curve = 67.6%.

# 4. Discussion

It is important to emphasize that this study only involved ACS patients admitted to two hospitals during the study period and no attempts were made to analyse the general ACS population as a whole. Even though the research involved two different significant hospitals. there were no differences in of socioterms The demographics. researcher had identified a bidirectional effect between ACS and psychological health prior to starting the current study. To overcome this problem, the researcher explained to the subjects that they should respond to the questionnaire based on their feelings and symptoms after the onset of ACS rather than considering their symptoms before ACS. In addition, the researcher excluded subjects who had a history of depression before starting the study. Based on these considerations, it is possible to say that the probability of subjects having psychological factors before ACS was very low. The current study indicated that females were more depressed following ACS. The findings of the present study were aligned with other studies in which the

Iran J Health Sci 2020; 8(3): 26

researchers concluded that females tend to be more persistently depressed after ACS than males (18-21). Hormonal changes in females following ACS events may explain this finding. ACS often occurs in older female patients, because they are transitioning from the pre-menopausal to the menopausal or post-menopausal period. These changes result in imbalances in oestrogen, progesterone and cortisol levels. Any decline in the level of oestrogen combined with ACS events could cause a of physical and emotional variety symptoms, leading to stress, frustration, and ultimately depression.

Many patients feared from dying during and after an ACS event. This condition increased the inflammatory response and the cortisol levels (22). Without progesterone and higher level of cortisol, female began to feel more overwhelmed and easily stressed, which contributed to depression. Depression in ACS patients was also related to those cases with ischemic heart disease. Various studies were conducted through surveys on the patients with established coronary disease, acute myocardial ischemia and unstable

angina. The outcome of the present study showed that the patients consistently reported a high rate of depression between 15% and 20% (23, 24). In patients with ischemic heart disease, depression was noted in 65% of the cases after ACS, with 16% to 22% reporting a level of major depression.

In conclusion, the findings indicated that the most significant factors associated with depression were female gender and cases with ischemic heart disease. Preventing illness is better than curing it. Considering the increase in depression among ACS patients in recent years, the researchers should pay more attention towards the psychological factors and preventive actions. Without doubt, education should be promoted to increase the people's awareness of ACS psychological factors while promoting their future health.

#### Limitation

The current study faced a few limitations. First, it was confined to two hospitals and involved a small study sample. Hence, the results of the study cannot be generalised to the entire national population because the data were collected from only two centres, Hospital USM and HSNZ. Also, using the convenience sampling method, the researchers were unable to generalize the findings to the whole population.

#### Acknowledgements

Special gratitude goes out to the staff and doctors in Emergency Department who relentlessly assisted the researchers in making the research work successful, with special mention to the Emergency Department Review Board, the Human Research Ethics Committee of USM, and Committee of Medical Research and Ethics of HSNZ for granting the use of patients' data as well as the approval for study conduct. The researchers also thank the Director of the Hospital USM and HSNZ for granting the permission to the researchers to use space and assets belonging to the hospitals during the process of conducting the research.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- 1. World Health Organization. Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Available at:www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/cardiovascular-diseases-(cvds).Accessed August 4, 2020.
- 2. Ministry of Health Malaysia. Health Facts 2013. Health Informatics Centre. Planning Division, Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2013.
- Lloyd-Jones D, Adams RJ, Brown TM, Carnethon M, Dai S, De Simone G, et al. Executive summary: heart disease and stroke statistics—2010 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2010 Feb 23;121(7):948-54.
- 4. Carney RM, Freedland KE. Depression in patients with coronary heart disease. The American Journal of Medicine. 2008 Nov 1;121(11):S20-7.
- Bush DE, Ziegelstein RC, Patel UV, Thombs BD, Ford DE, Fauerbach JA, et al. Post-Myocardial Infarction Depression: Summary. InAHRQ Evidence Report Summaries 2005 May. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US).
- 6. Leong LK, ASM Zuhdi, MIA Hafidz, Clinical depression among patients with post-acute coronary syndrome: a prospective single-tertiary centre analysis. Singapore Medical Journal. 2020;1:17.
- Vaccarino V, Badimon L, Bremner JD, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group Reviewers. Depression and coronary heart disease: 2018 ESC position paper of the working group of coronary pathophysiology and microcirculation developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines. European Heart Journal. 2019.

- Huffman JC, Celano CM, Beach SR,Motiwala SR, Januzzi JL. Depression and cardiac disease: epidemiology, mechanisms, and diagnosis. Cardiovascular Psychiatry Neurology. 2013;2013:695925
- Davidson KW, Korin MR. Depression and cardiovascular disease: selected findings, controversies, and clinical implications from 2009. Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine. 2010 Jul;77(Suppl 3):S20.
- 10.Kronish IM, Rieckmann N, Halm EA, Shimbo D, Vorchheimer D, Haas DC, et al. Persistent depression affects adherence to secondary prevention behaviors after acute coronary syndromes. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2006 Nov;21(11):1178-83.
- 11.Lauzon C, Beck CA, Huynh T, Dion D, Racine N, Carignan S, et al. Depression and prognosis following hospital admission because of acute myocardial infarction. Canadian Medical Association Journal. 2003 Mar 4; 168(5):547-52.
- 12. Whooley M, Unützer J. Interdisciplinary stepped care for depression after acute coronary syndrome. Archives of Internal Medicine. 2010 Apr 12; 170(7):585-6.
- 13. Amin AA, Jones AM, Nugent K, Rumsfeld JS, Spertus JA. The prevalence of unrecognized depression in patients with acute coronary syndrome. American Heart Journal. 2006 Nov 1; 152(5):928-34.
- 14.McGee HM, Doyle F, Conroy RM, De La Harpe D, Shelley E. Impact of brieflyassessed depression on secondary prevention outcomes after acute coronary syndrome: a one-year longitudinal survey. BMC Health Services Research. 2006 Dec; 6(1):9.
- 15.Page KN, Davidson P, Edward KL, Allen J, Cummins RA, Thompson DR, et al. recovering from an acute cardiac event–the relationship between depression and life satisfaction. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2010 Mar; 19(5-6):736-43.
- 16.Musa R, Fadzil MA, Zain ZA. Translation, validation and psychometric properties of

Bahasa Malaysia version of the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS). ASEAN Journal of Psychiatry. 2007 Jan 1;8(2):82-9.

- 17.IBM Corp Released 2016. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- 18. Furuya RK, Costa ED, Coelho M, Richter VC, Dessotte CA, Schmidt A, et al.. Anxiety and depression among men and women who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP. 2013 Dec 1; 47(6):1332-6.
- 19.Norris CM, Spertus JA, Jensen L, Johnson J, Hegadoren KM, Ghali WA. Sex and gender discrepancies in health-related quality of life outcomes among patients with established coronary artery disease. Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes. 2008 Nov;1(2):123-30.
- 20. Vural M, Acer M, Akbaš B. The scores of Hamilton depression, anxiety, and panic agoraphobia rating scales in patients with acute coronary syndrome. Anatolian Journal of Cardiology/Anadolu Kardiyoloji Dergisi. 2008 Feb 1;8(1).
- 21.Rosin LA. Relationship between Depression and Coronary Artery Disease in Postmenopausal Women. The University Of Arizona. 2005
- 22.Steptoe A, Molloy GJ, Messerli-Bürgy N, Wikman A, Randall G, Perkins-Porras L, et al. Fear of dying and inflammation following acute coronary syndrome. European Heart Journal. 2011 Jun 1; 32(19):2405-11.
- 23.Barth J, Schumacher M, Herrmann-Lingen C. Depression as a risk factor for mortality in patients with coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2004 Nov 1; 66(6):802-13.
- 24. Van Melle JP, De Jonge P, Spijkerman TA, Tijssen JG, Ormel J, Van Veldhuisen DJ, et al. Prognostic association of depression following myocardial infarction with mortality and cardiovascular events: a metaanalysis. Psychosomatic Medicine. 2004 Nov 1; 66(6):814-22.