

Human health risk assessment of trace elements in PM₁₀ for industrial areas in Gujarat

Seema Nihalani*, Namrata Jariwala, Anjali Khambete

Department of Civil Engineering, Sardar Vallabhbhai National Institute of Technology (SVNIT), Surat, India

ARTICLE INFORMATION	ABSTRACT
Article Chronology: Received 20 February 2023 Revised 11 March 2023 Accepted 28 May 2023 Published 29 June 2023	Introduction: The present study examines human exposure to Particulate Matter (PM_{10}) and analyses potential health concerns in the industrial zones of Ankleshwar and Vapi in Gujarat. Materials and methods: For Ankleshwar and Vapi, 120 samples were collected, and characterisation was carried out to determine the concentration of NO ₃ , SO ₄ , NH ₃ , K-S, Na, EC, OC, Al, Si, Fe, K, Ti, Ni, Br, Ca, Cl, Mn,
Keywords: Vani: Applechwar: Rick assessment:	Pb, Cr, Zn, S, V, and Cu in PM ₁₀ mass. The health risk from exposure to different trace elements, including both carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic,
Oral reference dose; Inhalation reference concentrations	Results: The Excess Cancer Risk (ECR) values for Cr and Pb for the ingestion pathway and the carcinogenic risks for Cr, Ni, and Pb for the inhalation pathway are both found to be higher than the minimal permissible threshold (1×10^{-6}) for both children and adults for Ankleshwar and Vapi.
CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: seemanihalani@yahoo.com Tel: (0261) 220 1505 Fax: (0261) 220 1505	exposure to Cr and Pb are found to be lower than the permissible limit for both adults and children. It is observed that non-carcinogenic Hazard Index (HI) values for the skin contact and ingestion routes are less than 1 for both children and adults for Ankleshwar and Vapi. While the HI value for the inhalation pathway is found to be larger than the tolerable limit of 1 for both adults and children.
	Conclusion: For the purpose of creating sustainable cities and improving the health of the urban population, this study will provide a fundamental basis and help the governing authorities design mandatory pollution prevention and control methods, restoration plans, and systematic monitoring programmes.

Introduction

Considering numerous environmental elements including air, soil, land, water, climate, etc., urbanisation and industrialisation have sparked environmental significant destruction [1]. Air pollution is mostly held responsible for environment-related problems in developing nations like Bangladesh, India, Nepal, and Sri Lanka. At the moment, air pollution is a major problem in India's cities, especially those that are developing quickly [2-3]. Industries and vehicular emissions, the two primary sources of

Please cite this article as: Nihalani S, Jariwala N, Khambete A. Human health risk assessment of trace elements in PM₁₀ for industrial areas in Gujarat. Journal of Air Pollution and Health. 2023;8(2): 117-134

Copyright © 2023 Tehran University of Medical Sciences. Published by Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

air pollution, are both very significant. Particulate Matter (PM) continues to represent a sizable portion of air pollution that is closely linked to human diseases [4,5]. According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), particulate matter pollution is responsible for more than 8 million annual fatalities, ranking it as the thirteenth leading cause of death worldwide. The disorders of the heart, lungs, and brain are all directly associated with particulate pollution. However, studies have revealed that the relationship between particulate matter and fatalities is much more complicated [6].

Ambient particulate matter is a Group-1 carcinogen according to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) [7]. Additionally, it has been established that the particulate matter component of air pollution is closely related to the high prevalence of illnesses like lung cancer [8]. According to several studies, inhaling particulates is the primary cause of a number of health problems, including diminished lung function, aggravated asthma, increased respiratory problems like coughing, airway irritation, trouble breathing, irregular heartbeats, nonfatal heart attacks, and early death in people with comorbidities. [9, 10]. Based on the aerodynamic diameter, particulate matter is divided into two categories as PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}. According to this classification, coarse particulate matter has an aerodynamic diameter of 10 µm, while fine particulate matter has an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 µm [11]. Pollen, forest fires, volcanoes, surface soils, marine aerosols, industrial activity, vehicle emissions, building and mining operations, various combustion processes, biomass burning, etc. are some of the natural and anthropogenic sources of particulate matter [12]. For the past 20 years, health concerns have been linked to the presence of atmospheric aerosols, which have resulted in declining air quality. Fine particulate matter that enters the lungs is closely associated with long-term health problems like

respiratory or cardiovascular problems [13]. Recent research has connected traffic emissions to an increased risk of conditions like high blood pressure, systemic inflammation, and myocardial ischemia [14]. Arsenic (As), Nickel (Ni), and Cadmium (Cd) are classified by the IARC as being in class I, which is carcinogenic to humans. Lead (Pb) is classified as belonging to class 2A, which is known to be probably carcinogenic to humans, while Cobalt (Co) is classified as belonging to class 2B, which is known to be potentially carcinogenic to humans. There is not a single IARC carcinogenic classification group for elements like manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn), and Copper (Cu) [15].

According to a study, the specific physical and chemical PM characteristics can be employed as a crucial design element for epidemiological and toxicity research and assist in creating efficient control measures to enhance the air quality at these industrial clusters [16]. There is relatively limited literature on characterisation of particulates and risk assessment for Ankleshwar and Vapi. Thus, the current study is being carried out to characterise PM_{10} and analyse the associated risk for Gujarat's industrial areas of Ankleshwar and Vapi.

Materials and methods

Study area

Ankleshwar, a town in the Bharuch district of Gujarat, is situated at 21.62°N and 73.01°E, while Vapi, a town in the Valsad district, is situated at 20.38°N and 72.91°E. Ankleshwar is located in Gujarat's southern region, midway along the Ahmedabad to Mumbai industrial corridor, while Vapi is located in Gujarat's southernmost section, halfway between Surat and Mumbai. The Ankleshwar town has a plain environment with a mean elevation of around 15 m above mean sea level, which is lower than Vapi, which is situated 7 km from the Arabian Sea. The average annual rainfall in Ankleshwar is 860 mm, the

average annual temperature is about 27°C, and the predominant wind direction is from the southwest or west. Vapi experiences 1403 mm of rain annually with an average yearly temperature of 26.5°C and a predominant west or southwest wind direction.

The industrial clusters of Ankleshwar and Vapi, which each house roughly 1700 and 1150 small or medium-sized enterprises, are dominated by the chemical and chemical products industries, pharmaceuticals, organic and inorganic chemicals, pigments, paints, dyes, and insecticides, etc. Different fuels used by these units include coke, lignite, firewood, furnace oil, light diesel oil, etc. The region uses extremely polluting fuels and chemical goods due to the nature of its manufacturing. Due to the increasing levels of air, water, and soil pollution, Ankleshwar and Vapi are thought to be Gujarat's most polluted industrial areas. The Comprehensive Environmental Pollution Index (CEPI) idea was created by the Central Pollution Control Board with the intention of analysing the environmental status of various industrial clusters throughout India. With an overall CEPI score of 89.09 for the 2019-2020 period, Vapi has been categorised as a very critically polluted cluster, and Ankleshwar follows closely behind with an overall CEPI score of 80.21. For the majority of the year in both Ankleshwar and Vapi over the past two years, the concentration of PM₁₀ mass and PM_{25} is higher than the permissible limit. This work aims to investigate the particulate matter concentration in the industrial estates of Ankleshwar and Vapi and evaluate the risk related to various elements in PM₁₀. The sampling locations were carefully selected in accordance with the site selection requirements of IS: 5182 Part XIV (BIS, 2000). Table 1 and Fig. 1 list the specifics of the monitoring locations.



Fig. 1. Study area

119

		Ankleshwar		Vapi			
Details	Details Land use La category		Longitude	Land-use category	Latitude	Longitude	
AQL-1	Residential	N 21° 36' 29.2"	E 73° 01' 2.2"	Commercial	N 20° 21' 54.3"	E 072° 54' 25.7"	
AQL-2	Industrial	N 21° 36' 54.9"	E 73° 01' 59.9"	Green cover	N 20 °20' 46.8"	E 072° 55' 30.7"	
AQL-3	Industrial	N 21° 38' 8.9"	E 73° 01' 1.2"	Industrial	N 20° 21' 50.5"	E 072° 56' 0.26"	
AQL-4	Residential	N 21° 37' 32.8"	E 73° 02' 50.8"	Industrial	N 20°22' 08.6"	E 072° 57' 01.1"	
AQL-5	Industrial	N 21° 34' 40.6"	E 72° 59' 34.74"	Industrial	N 20° 20' 54.6"	E 072° 56' 15.9"	
AQL-6	Industrial	N 21° 32' 32.89"	E 72° 59' 3.34"	Industrial	N 20° 22' 24.8"	E 072° 55' 41.0"	

Table	1	Samn	lino	location	detail	S
Table	1.	Samp	nng	Iocation	uctan	5

Sample collection

As shown in Fig. 1, six locations in Ankleshwar and Vapi were sampled for PM_{10} from December 2019 to February 2020. PM₁₀ samples were collected for 24 h on Quartz filter sheets with a size of 8 inches by 10 inches and a flow rate of 1132 lpm using a respirable volume sampler (RDS with Model 460NL, make - Envirotech Pvt. Ltd) positioned at a height of 1.5 m above the ground. Hence, a total of 120 samples were gathered for both research regions for all six locations. The filter sheets used in particulate matter monitoring were conditioned for two days in a desiccator at a temperature of around 25°C and relative humidity of 50%. The filter seets were then separated into three portions for further analysis of the particulate matter. The first component is used to analyse heavy metals, the second part is used to analyse ions, and the last part is used to analyse Elemental Carbon-Organic Carbon (EC-OC). Digestion and additional trace metal extraction from the filter sheets was done on a hot plate. In a closed vessel, the filter papers were digested and dissolved in 15 ml HNO3-HCl solution over the course of two hours at 150°C. Following digestion, the material was filtered using Whatman filter paper and stored securely in the refrigerator for future analysis. Atomic Emission Spectrometer based on Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP-AES, Model ULTIMA 2000) was used to analyse the extracted and chilled sample and determine the presence of the elements Al, Ca, Fe, Si, Cl, K, Br, Cr, Cu, S, Mn, Zn, Ti, Ni, Pb, and V. The CPCB-recommended standard approach was employed for ion analysis in the second section

of the filter. In order to analyse the water-soluble ions under ideal circumstances, the filtered and extracted water samples were analysed using an ion chromatograph (IC Basic 792: Metrohm). The two anions, nitrate (NO₃), sulphate (SO₄), potassium salt (K-S) and the three cations, ammonium (NH₃), potassium (K⁺), and calcium (Ca²⁺), were examined. Using an EC-OC carbon analyser (model DRI2001, Procedure Improve A) and USEPA protocol, the third and final piece of the filter paper was examined. The EC-OC carbon analyzer's operation is based on the controlled oxidation of EC and OC, which releases carbon compounds at different temperatures.

Quality control and quality assurance

Throughout the research, a strict quality control procedure was implemented to maintain accuracy and precision. Further quality control procedures were made sure by the current sampling and analysis:

- During the handling of chemical reagents and fieldwork, quality assurance was performed.
- For lab analysts and field operators, competency evaluations were done.
- During sampling, sample handling, processing, and analysis, every safety measure was taken to avoid contamination.
- Appropriate labelling and representative samples were taken when gathering data (such as sample type, location, time and date of collection, environmental factors, etc).
- To assure data quality control, collaborative sampling, flow audits, spot checks, and duplicate analysis were done.
- The tools and equipment being used underwent acceptance tests.
- The disposable items, such as glassware, solvents, etc., were utilised appropriately.
- Filters were handled with clean forceps.

Risk assessment

The risk to human health associated with exposure to carcinogenic and other elements linked to atmospheric particulate matter is determined in the current analysis using the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) exposure model. Local residents in the research region may be exposed to airborne metals depending on their respiratory systems and other health-related factors. They can be further divided into adults and children. The following three pathways for exposure are taken into account when determining the exposure level for each element: 1. Particulate inhalation through the mouth and nose; 2. Particulate ingestion as a result of their deposition; and 3. Dermal absorption of particulate-bound trace elements when they adhere to exposed skin [17, 18]. Human health risks are further classified as carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risks. Finding the exposure dose for each of the three exposure pathways, also known as the mean daily dose, is the first step in evaluating cancer risk and non-cancer risk. The non-carcinogenic risk is calculated as the hazard quotient for individual elements and the Hazard index for the combined effect of all elements using the mean daily dose. The Hazard Quotient (HQ), which is determined for all three routes and all trace elements taken into consideration in the study, is defined as the relationship between exposure dosage and specific Reference Dose (RfD). Every criterion used to calculate the average daily dose, Hazard quotients, and Hazard index is based on the USEPA reference. Pb, Ni, and Cr are three carcinogenic metals that are taken into account for this study's analysis of cancer risks. The exposure dose determined in the first stage is multiplied by the carcinogenic slope factor to determine the carcinogenic risk. Using USEPAspecified criteria, cancer risk is computed for each exposure pathway.

Exposure dose

exposure pathways Considering the for inhalation, ingestion, and skin contact, human health risk assessment is used extensively to distinguish toxic heavy metals. For calculating exposure dose and assessing the risk to human health, the USEPA criteria have been applied. The Average Daily Dosage (ADD) for each trace element taken into consideration in the study for all three exposure pathways is referred to as the exposure dose. The following equations are used to calculate exposure doses for inhalation, ingestion, and skin contact.

Exposure dose through inhalation pathway $(ADD_{inh}, mg/kg/day)$

$$ADD_{inh} = \frac{C * lnhR * EF * ED}{BW * AT * PEF}$$
(1)

Exposure dose through ingestion pathway (ADD_{ing}, mg/kg/day)

$$ADD_{ing} = \frac{C * lngR * EF * ED * CF}{BW * AT}$$
(2)

Exposure dose through dermal contact pathway (ADD_{derm}, mg/kg/day)

$$ADD_{derm} = \frac{C * SA * AF * EV * ABS * EF * ED * CF}{BW * AT} \quad (3)$$

Where, C is metal concentration in PM (mg / kg); InhR is the inhalation rate (20 m³/day for children and 7.63 m³/day for adults); IngR is ingestion rate (60 mg/day for children and 30 mg/day for adults); ED is exposure duration (6 years for children and 24 years for adults); EF is exposure frequency (180 days/year); BW is body weight (15 kg for children and 70 Kg for adults); SA is skin surface area parameter (2800 cm² for children and 5700 cm² for adults,); AF is adherence factor of soil to skin (0.2 mg/cm²/ event for children and 0.07 mg/cm²/event for

adults) ; EV is events frequency (1 event/day); ABS is dermal absorption fraction (0.001); PEF is particle emission factor (m^3/kg) (1.36 x 10⁹ m^3/kg) ; AT is averaging time for non-carcinogens (ED * 365 days/year); CF is conversion factor (10⁻⁶ kg/mg). All the parameters used in the calculations in the current study are taken from US :EPA- 2007, US:EPA- 2009 [17, 18].

Non-carcinogenic health risk

The assessment of health risks for noncarcinogenic health consequences brought on by exposure to a trace element is often defined in terms of the hazard quotient for each element and each exposure pathway. The cumulative impact of all trace elements for a given pathway is then calculated for each pathway using the Hazard Index.

Hazard quotient (HQ)

The ratio of a trace element's likely exposure to the reference concentration that will not cause any harm is known as the hazard quotient. Thus, HQ is calculated by dividing the reference dose by the average daily dose (RfD).

$$HQ = \frac{ADD}{RfD} \tag{4}$$

Where ADD is the average daily dose and RfD is the reference dose (mg/kg/day).

The reference dosage is calculated using the average daily exposure dose and the highest tolerable risk for the total human population (including sensitive subgroups as well). If HQ<1, it suggests that the exposure must not have any negative impacts on health because the reference dose is more than the average daily dose. However, if HQ>1, it implies that the reference dose is lower than the estimated average daily dosage and that the exposure will have a negative impact on health [19]. In this investigation,

reference dosage values from other research papers are used [20- 23].

Hazard index (HI)

Each exposure pathway's total non-carcinogenic risk is determined by performing an algebraic summation of all the calculated Hazard quotients for specific trace elements. According to this methodology for summarising, the health consequences of each trace element to which a receptor is exposed are cumulative for a certain exposure pathway. This cumulative noncarcinogenic health hazard for each exposure pathway is known as the Hazard index and is calculated using the equation shown below:

$$HI = \sum HQi \tag{5}$$

If HI is more than 1, it means that there are considerable non-carcinogenic risks, and the likelihood of these risks is inversely correlated with the value of HI. The likelihood of a noncarcinogenic risk increases with the HI score. Additionally, HI values below one suggest that there are no non-carcinogenic risks.

Excess cancer risk

The likelihood that a person will get cancer at any point in their lifetime as a result of exposure to carcinogens is known as carcinogenic risk [22]. Unless the exposure level is zero, an element is regarded to have no threshold if it is capable of producing harmful effects even at lower concentrations. The term "zero" refers to the safe exposure dose for carcinogens like chromium, cadmium, nickel, arsenic, mercury, etc. because they are classified as "no threshold" carcinogens, indicating that even minute amounts of exposure to them have the potential to cause any type of cancer. The probability of a person developing any type of cancer over the course of their entire lifespan as a result of cumulative exposure to possible carcinogens is expressed as excess cancer risk (ECR). The equation for calculating ECR is shown below:

$$ECR = \frac{C * ET * EF * IUR}{AT}$$
(6)

where, C is measured pollutant concentration (mg/m³), EF is exposure frequency (180 days/ year); ET is exposure time (8 h/day); AT is average exposure time for carcinogens (70 year x 365 days/year x 24 h/day); IUR is inhalation unit risk (mg/m³). The tolerable limit of carcinogenic risk is between 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-4} for regulatory purposes and policy making. [19].

Results and discussion

Chemical characterisation of PM_{10}

Between December 2019 and February 2020, 120 quartz filter papers were used to gather PM₁₀ mass, which is then subjected to additional chemical analysis. In Ankleshwar, the PM₁₀ concentration ranged from 100.98 to 225.47 µg/ m³, with a mean value of 159.5 μ g/m³, while in Vapi, it varied from 115.88 to 226.50 μ g/m³, with an average value of 174.58 μ g/m³, as shown in Fig. 2. In comparison to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS, 2009) value of 100 μ g/m³, it has been observed that the average PM₁₀ readings in Ankleshwar and Vapi were 1.6 and 2 times higher, respectively. Additionally, the average PM₁₀ concentrations in Ankleshwar and Vapi were found to be almost 10 times higher than the ambient air quality threshold for PM₁₀ set by the World Health Organization (WHO, 2006), which is 20 μ g/m³. In Table 2, the statistical distribution characteristics for PM₁₀ and other elements such as EC, OC, NO₃, SO₄, NH₃, K-S, Na, Ca, Cl, Al, Si, Ti, Ni, S, K, Fe, Cr, Cu, Zn, Br, Pb, V, and Mn are provided for all the locations in Ankleshwar and Vapi.



Fig. 2. Components of $\mathrm{PM}_{\mathrm{10}}$ in Ankleshwar and Vapi

Table 2 Chatinting	diaterilesstics.	- f DN I		a a co a a co to ca to a co	41	atra des auna
Table / Stansucar	distribution	OF PIVE	and elemental	concentration	in ine	smov area
	andanouron	UIII 101	and cremental	concentration	III the	Study uteu

			Ank		Vapi				
Group	Name of	Mean	Standard deviation	Minimu m	Maximum	Mean	Standard deviation	Maximum	Minimum
	element	value	deviation	value	value	value	deviation	value	value
	PM	159.5	19.72	100.98	225.47	174.58	29.32	226.5	115.88
Carbon	EC	20.33	8.16	14.71	23.5	21.78	8.58	23.8	18.8
Carbon	OC	29.39	11.54	26.22	34.91	29.4	12.11	32.23	27.35
	SO_4	8.37	4.26	6.62	9.69	8.04	4.39	9.81	6.15
	K-S	4.15	1.56	3.62	4.62	3.99	1.77	4.43	3.72
water-	NO ₃	3.66	1.91	2.48	4.35	4.12	2.12	4.6	3.5
ions	Ca	3.32	1.72	2.98	3.58	2.93	1.86	3.42	2.23
10115	NH ₃	3.27	1.48	2.51	4.01	2.79	1.69	3.33	2.41
	Κ	1.5	1	1.29	1.7	1.82	1.03	2.07	1.51
	Si	13.78	7.02	11.91	16.08	13.31	7.23	14.39	12.65
	Al	6.19	3.23	5.41	7.17	6.52	3.54	6.87	6.09
	Ti	3	1.4	2.22	4.5	2.58	1.37	3.16	2.19
Major	Cl	2.81	1.54	2.37	3.09	2.68	1.25	2.94	2.25
elements	S	2.43	1.33	1.76	2.84	2.54	1.41	2.87	2.1
	Pb	2.32	1.31	1.95	2.69	2.3	1.3	2.66	2.09
	Fe	2.27	1.25	1.65	2.91	2.01	1.16	2.28	1.71
	Na	1.32	0.62	1.18	1.51	1.32	0.65	1.42	1.2
	Zn	0.56	0.33	0.08	0.75	0.63	0.39	0.79	0.56
	Cr	0.47	0.26	0.36	0.57	0.46	0.27	0.57	0.37
Τ	Br	0.28	0.09	0.26	0.32	0.27	0.09	0.3	0.23
alaments	V	0.16	0.07	0.08	0.53	0.08	0.02	0.09	0.08
elements	Mn	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.05
	Ni	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03
	Cu	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03

• Values of all parameters are in $\mu g/m^3$

Following the chemical characterization of PM_{10} , the elements are divided into four categories, including total carbon, water-soluble ions, main elements, and trace elements, [24] as shown in Fig. 3. The largest concentration of the four detected components, is found in the carbon fraction, followed by elements and water-soluble ions. For Ankleshwar and Vapi, the mean concentration of total carbon is recorded as 49.72 µg/m³ and 51.18 µg/m³, which is 45% and 46% of the total PM₁₀ mass. Ankleshwar and Vapi have mean OC concentrations of 29.39 and 29.40 µg/m³, respectively, while Ankleshwar and Vapi have mean EC concentrations of 20.33 and 21.78 µg/ m³, respectively.

For Ankleshwar and Vapi, respectively, the mean concentration of water-soluble ions containing SO_4 , NO_3 , K-S, Ca, NH_3 , and K is observed to

be 26.90 and 25.87 μ g/m³, that is around 22% of the total PM_{10} mass. In Ankleshwar, the average concentration of the water-soluble cations Ca, NH₃, and K is 3.32, 3.27, and 1.5 μ g/m³, while in Vapi, it is 2.93, 2.79, and 1.82 μ g/m³. For Ankleshwar, the average concentration of water-soluble anions SO_4 , K-S, and NO₃, is 8.37, 4.15 and 3.66 µg/m³, but for Vapi, it is 8.04, 3.99, and 4.12 μ g/m³. For Ankleshwar and Vapi, the total mean concentration of major elements, including Si, Al, Ti, Cl, S, Pb Fe, and Na, is observed to be 31.49 and 31.08 μ g/ m^3 , which is 30 to 31% of PM₁₀ mass respectively. With average concentrations of 13.78, 6.19, 3, 2.81, 2.43, 2.32, 2.27, and 1.32 µg/m³ for Ankleshwar and 13.31, 6.52, 2.58, 2.68, 2.54, 2.30, 2.01, and 1.32 μ g/m³ for Vapi, respectively, the major elements are often different earth crust elements like Si, Al, Ti, Cl, S, Pb Fe, and Na.





The total concentration of trace elements, such as Zn, Cr, Br, V, Mn, Ni, and Cu, is found to be 1.60 and 1.58 μ g/m³ which is around 1% of PM₁₀ mass for Ankleshwar and Vapi, respectively. With mean concentrations of 0.56, 0.47, 0.28, 0.16, 0.07, 0.03, and 0.03 μ g/m³ for Ankleshwar and 0.63, 0.46, 0.27, 0.08, 0.07, 0.04, and 0.03 μ g/m³ for Vapi, respectively, the trace elements include anthropogenic trace indicators including Zn, Cr, Br, V, Mn, Ni, and Cu. The Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) has set yearly regulation limits for a number of

metals, including As, Pb, Cd, and Ni (Ministry of Environment and Forest -MoEF, 2009). The average lead concentration is found to be 2318 and 2305 ng/m³ in Ankleshwar and Vapi, respectively, above the CPCB limit of 500 ng/ m³. Similarly, the average Ni concentration is found to be 34 and 37 ng/m³ in Ankleshwar and Vapi, respectively, exceeding the CPCB limit of 20 ng/m³. Table 3 compares the heavy metal concentrations discovered in the current study with past research conducted in a few other Indian towns.

Table 3. Comparison of Heavy metal concentration (ng/m³) observed in the present study with other places in India

Place	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	As	Pb	Cd	Reference
WHO	20	150		1	0.4	70		6	500	5	WHO-Limit [25]
Ankleshwar	470	70	2270		30	30	560		2320		Ankleshwar- present study
Vapi	460	70	2010		40	30	630		2300		Vapi-present study
Agra	238.57	206.57	2737.18	161.97	201.84	193.11	481.09	28.83	205.39	7.04	[26]
Agra	300	900	2900		200	100	500		1100		[27]
Lucknow	22.2		219.35		35.01	27.3			40.6	16.24	[2]
Delhi	230	250	11200		380	210	4100		460	19	[1]
Delhi	11- 10268	163- 10574	7119- 349670	2.5-524	18-443	15-2224	67-12884		22-190	0311.7	[28]
Delhi	171	699	27047	23.3	37	169	264		129		[29]
Kolkatta	54	619	26700	16	42	44	159	23	1030	3.12	[30]
Kolkatta	101	249	11242	4.1	48	107	761		394	8.6	[3]
Chennai	64	15	1275	90	76	190	11700	1	96	BDL	[31]
Coimbatore	14.2				31.37	388.6	519.9		143.5	2.8	[32]
Dhanbad	22	164	4164	6	29	319	4754	9	89	7	[33]
Mining area-Odisha	5	0.8	70	2	3	50	0.2		40	0.7	[34]
Non- mining area-Odisha	4	2	210	3	2	90	0.4		60	0.8	[34]
Pune	241	395	5103	222	124	206	325		147	88	[35]
Mumbai	460	890	3340		720	820	7350		1600		[36]
Kharagpur	200.454			22.422	55.966		219.968		194.876		[37]
Dhanbad	290	1035	2970	36	33	915	560	22	210	30	[38]
Jharia Coalfield	120- 330	170- 2870	2880- 8350		20-40	880-5200	220-1090		40-340	20-70	[39]
Dhanbad	34	65	1953	49	54	25	655	21	337	13	[40]
Dhanbad	110- 420	140- 1900	1430- 28480		2-20	60-6320	160-2550		240- 320	30-70	[41]

Non-carcinogenic risk assessment

HQ and HI values are calculated for adults and children for the three exposure pathways of ingestion, inhalation, and dermal contact, as indicated in Tables 4 and 5. The considerable discrepancy between the observed HQ/HI values for children and adults can be attributed to the distinct behavioural and physiological activities of each group [42]. Inhalation exposure is consistently observed to be the main route of direct exposure for particulate-linked trace elements in both adults and children. Since the threshold values for elements like Ca, Na, Fe, and Zn have not been defined or reported in other research papers, the HQ/HI values for these elements are not determined for this study. The absence of threshold values for these elements is due to the fact that Ca, Na, Fe, and Zn are thought to be necessary human constituents, and as a result, their observed concentrations may be lower than the reference doses [43]. For the Ankleshwar region, the inhalation pathway HQ value for adults for the elements Al, Cr, Mn, Ni, Ti, V, and Si is larger than the permissible value of 1, while the element Br is the only one whose value is below the allowed limit of 1. In contrast, the HQ value for the adult inhalation pathway in the Vapi study area is larger than 1 for the elements Al, Cr, Mn, Ni, Ti, and Si and less than 1 for the elements Br and V. Children's HQ values for the Ankleshwar and Vapi study areas are found to be larger than 1 for Cr, Ti, and Si, but less than 1 for Al, Br, Mn, Ni, and V. For Ankleshwar, the Hazard index HI, is observed to be 1.58 x 10^2 for adults and 5.51×10^1 for children. HI due to inhalation exposure is seen to be 1.38 x 10^2 for adults and 5.51×10^1 for children in the Vapi area, indicating a higher non-carcinogenic risk for all elements taken into account in the study. Furthermore, it can be deduced that the detrimental non-carcinogenic risk associated with inhalation is higher for adults than for

children for both Ankleshwar and Vapi.

The exposure route for ingesting particulatebound trace elements involves deposition on the surface of objects, food, beverages, etc., followed by transfer of the deposition of particles into the mouth [19]. For both Ankleshwar and Vapi, the HQ values for all the components taken into account in the study area for the ingestion pathway are lower than 1 for both adults and children. For Ankleshwar and Vapi, the observed HI values for adults are 4.85E-03 and 4.68E-03; for children, they are 4.53E-02 and 4.36E-02, respectively. This indicates that both the observed HI values for adults and children are below the permissible level of 1. This suggests that there is no noncarcinogenic risk from ingesting any of the elements, either alone or when combined. Additionally, it may be deduced that adults have a higher non-carcinogenic risk associated with the ingestion pathway for Ankleshwar and Vapi than children.

Tables 4 and 5 show the HQ and HI values for all components in the study area for the dermal contact pathway in both adults and children. The findings suggest that, when compared to the exposure pathways of inhalation and ingestion, all elements included in this investigation exhibit the lowest HQ values for dermal contact exposure. For both Ankleshwar and Vapi, the HQ values connected to dermal contact for both adults and kids have values lower than the permitted upper limit of 1. Furthermore, it is found that in Ankleshwar and Vapi, the integrated effect of all HQs expressed as HI had values of 5.56E-04 and 5.06E-04 for adults and 3.64E-04 and 3.49E-04 for children, respectively. Because of this, for both Ankleshwar and Vapi, all HI values for adults and kids are lower than the safe value of 1.

	HQingest		HQde	erm	HQinh	
Element	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi
Al	8.48E-06	8.93E-06	3.38E-07	3.56E-07	1.19E+00	1.25E+00
Br	5.48E-07	5.28E-07			6.71E-03	6.47E-03
Ca	1.74E-05	1.53E-05	6.93E-07	6.11E-07		
Cr	2.15E-04	2.10E-04	3.43E-04	3.35E-04	4.51E+00	4.41E+00
Cu	1.03E-06	1.03E-06	4.10E-08	4.10E-08		
Fe	4.44E-06	3.93E-06				
Mn	6.85E-07	6.85E-07	6.83E-07	6.83E-07	1.34E+00	1.34E+00
Ni	3.74E-06	4.98E-06	3.73E-06	4.97E-06	1.44E+00	1.92E+00
NO ₃	3.13E-06	3.53E-06	1.25E-07	1.41E-07		
Pb	9.08E-04	9.00E-04	3.62E-05	3.59E-05		
Ti	5.01E-05	4.31E-05	5.00E-05	4.30E-05	1.44E+02	1.24E+02
V	4.38E-05	2.19E-05	6.73E-05	3.36E-05	1.53E+00	7.67E-01
Zn	2.56E-06	2.88E-06	1.02E-07	1.15E-07		
Cl	1.28E-03	1.22E-03	5.12E-05	4.88E-05		
Na	7.23E-05	7.23E-05	2.89E-06	2.89E-06		
Si	2.24E-03	2.16E-03			4.40E+00	4.25E+00
ΣHQ	4.85E-03	4.68E-03	5.56E-04	5.06E-04	1.58E+02	1.38E+02

Table 4. HQ and HI for metals in PM_{10} via different exposure pathways–For adults

Table 5. HQ and HI for metals in PM_{10} via different exposure pathways–For children

I	Element	HQingest		HQde	erm	HQi	HQinh	
		Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi	
	Al	7.91E-05	8.34E-05	2.22E-07	2.12E-07	5.00E-01	5.00E-01	
	Br	5.11E-06	4.93E-06			2.59E-03	2.59E-03	
	Ca	1.62E-04	1.43E-04	4.54E-07	4.35E-07			
	Cr	2.00E-03	1.96E-03	2.24E-04	2.15E-04	1.76E+00	1.76E+00	
	Cu	9.59E-06	9.59E-06	2.68E-08	2.57E-08			
	Fe	4.15E-05	3.67E-05					
	Mn	6.39E-06	6.39E-06	4.47E-07	4.29E-07	5.37E-01	5.37E-01	
	Ni	3.49E-05	4.65E-05	2.44E-06	2.34E-06	7.67E-01	7.67E-01	
	NO ₃	2.92E-05	3.29E-05	8.19E-08	7.85E-08			
	Pb	8.47E-03	8.40E-03	2.37E-05	2.28E-05			
	Ti	4.68E-04	4.02E-04	3.27E-05	3.14E-05	4.95E+01	4.95E+01	
	V	4.09E-04	2.05E-04	4.41E-05	4.22E-05	3.07E-01	3.07E-01	
	Zn	2.39E-05	2.68E-05	6.68E-08	6.41E-08			
	Cl	1.20E-02	1.14E-02	3.35E-05	3.22E-05			
	Na	6.75E-04	6.75E-04	1.89E-06	1.81E-06			
	Si	2.09E-02	2.02E-02			1.70E+00	1.70E+00	
	ΣHQ	4.53E-02	4.36E-02	3.64E-04	3.49E-04	5.51E+01	5.51E+01	

The sequence of the HQ values for several heavy metals along the ingestion pathway in Ankleshwar and Vapi is Si>Cl>Pb>Cr>Na>Ti>V>Ca>Al> Fe>Ni>NO₃>Zn>Cu>Mn>Br for both children and adults. For the dermal contact pathway in Ankleshwar and Vapi, in both children and adults, the HQ values of several heavy metals appeared in the following order: Cr>V>Cl>Ti>Pb>Ni >Na>Ca>Mn>Al>NO₃>Zn>Cu. The HQ values of various heavy metals present in Ankleshwar and Vapi for both children and adults are in the following order: Ti>Cr> Si>V>Ni>Mn>Al> Br.

Carcinogenic risk assessment

Because the IARC has classified three trace

elements, namely Cr, Ni, and Pb, as carcinogenic or certainly carcinogenic or possibly carcinogenic elements to humans, the carcinogenic risk is evaluated for these three elements in the current study. For exposure pathways connected to inhalation, ingestion, and skin contact, the carcinogenic risk is assessed. The individual cancer risks are calculated for each of the three routes for adults, kids, and elements Cr, Ni, and Pb separately, and the aggregate ECR for adults, kids, and each pathway for Ankleshwar and Vapi is then computed. The ECRs for various exposure pathways for Ankleshwar and Vapi are listed in Tables 6 and 7, respectively, for adults and kids.

Table 6. Cancer Risk for metals in PM₁₀ via different exposure pathways–For adults

	Cringest		Cr der	rm	Cr inh	
Element	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi
Cr	1.29E-06	1.26E-06	5.14E-07	5.03E-07	3.79E-02	3.71E-02
Ni					7.48E-06	9.97E-06
Pb	1.14E-05	1.13E-05	3.55E-08	3.52E-08	1.78E-04	1.76E-04

Table 7. Cancer Risk for metals in PM ₁₀ via diffe	erent exposure pathways-For children
---	--------------------------------------

Element	Cringest		Cr de	rm	Cr inh	
	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi	Ankleshwar	Vapi
Cr	1.20E-05	1.18E-05	3.37E-07	3.23E-07	1.48E-02	1.48E-02
Ni					3.99E-06	3.99E-06
Pb	1.06E-04	1.05E-04	2.33E-08	2.23E-08	7.06E-05	7.06E-05

For inhalation exposure, the ECRs for Pb and Cr for adults are both higher than the maximum tolerated threshold (1×10^{-4}) for Ankleshwar and Vapi. The carcinogenic risk via the inhalation pathway for Ni (for adults and children) and Pb (for children) is also found to be higher than the tolerable minimum level (1x10-6) for both Ankleshwar and Vapi. For both Ankleshwar and Vapi, the ECRs for Cr for both adults and children, as well as the ECRs for Pb in the case of children, are higher than the minimal tolerated limit $(1x10^{-6})$ for the ingestion pathway. In contrast, Ankleshwar and Vapi have ECRs for Pb that is higher above the upper acceptable limit (1×10^{-4}) for adults. For both adults and children in Ankleshwar and Vapi, the observed ECR values for Cr and Pb for the dermal contact pathway are observed to be lower than the permissible limit $(1x10^{-6} to$ 1×10^{-4}).

Conclusion

The goal of the current study is to evaluate the complete characterization of PM₁₀, including EC, OC, WSIs, and elements, followed by a risk evaluation of the elements for the Gujarat industrial locations of Ankleshwar and Vapi. In the Ankleshwar area, the mean PM_{10} mass concentration ranged from 100.98 to 225.47 $\mu g/m^3$, and in the Vapi area, it ranged from 115.88 to 226.5 μ g/m³, both of which are higher than the NAAQS standard value of 100 $\mu g/m^3$.. For both children and adults, the noncarcinogenic risk for the inhalation pathway had HQ and HI values of more than 1, indicating a negative effect on the inhalation pathway for Ankleshwar and Vapi. The HQ and HI values for the ingestion pathway and skin contact for both children and adults are less than 1, indicating that neither Ankleshwar nor Vapi will suffer any negative effects. In the research areas of Ankleshwar and Vapi, the potential

carcinogenic risk for the inhalation route is higher than the tolerable limit $(1x10^{-6} \text{ to } 1x10^{-4})$ for Cr, Ni, and Pb, for both adults and children. In addition, the ECR values for Cr and Pb for the ingestion pathway for Ankleshwar and Vapi are higher than the permissible limit $(1x10^{-6} to$ 1x10⁻⁴) for both adults and children. The ECR values thus imply a significant cancer risk for the population living in the research region for both ingestion and inhalation routes. The ECR values for Cr and Pb are below the acceptable limit only for dermal contact, suggesting no carcinogenic risk. The carcinogenic health consequences associated with the ingestion and inhalation exposure pathways are of concern for the current investigation. Policymakers will benefit from the present health risk assessment of carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic hazards in making decisions about air pollution management methods. The current study is only focused on the winter months because winter is considered as worst climate scenario since dispersion of air pollutants is limited and it further results in adverse effects on human health. Looking to dire health consequences of exposure to PM₂₅, a health risk assessment for PM_{25} can be done in the future to study the health impact on the workers and people residing in nearby areas.

Financial supports

No funding is received for conducting this study.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest or competing interests

Acknowledgements

The authors shall also like to thank Ankleshwar and Vapi industrial Association and Gujarat Pollution Control Board for their support in conducting this work. The authors would like to thank the editor for handling this paper, and also acknowledge the anonymous reviewers for their great comments and edits which helped us to improve the quality of this paper significantly.

Ethical considerations

"Ethical issues (Including plagiarism, Informed Consent, misconduct, data fabrication and/ or falsification, double publication and/ or submission, redundancy, etc) have been completely observed by the authors."

References

1. Pandey P, Patel DK, Khan AH, Barman SC, Murthy RC, Kisku GC. Temporal distribution of fine particulates $(PM_{2.5}, PM_{10})$, potentially toxic metals, PAHs and Metal-bound carcinogenic risk in the population of Lucknow City, India. Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A. 2013 Jun 1;48(7):730-45.

2. Khillare PS, Sarkar S. Airborne inhalable metals in residential areas of Delhi, India: distribution, source apportionment and health risks. Atmospheric pollution research. 2012 Jan 1;3(1):46-54.

3. Das R, Khezri B, Srivastava B, Datta S, Sikdar PK, Webster RD, Wang X. Trace element composition of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} from Kolkata–a heavily polluted Indian metropolis. Atmospheric Pollution Research. 2015 Sep 1;6(5):742-50.

4. Pope Iii CA, Burnett RT, Thun MJ, Calle EE, Krewski D, Ito K, Thurston GD. Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and longterm exposure to fine particulate air pollution. Jama. 2002 Mar 6;287(9):1132-41.

5. Brook RD, Franklin B, Cascio W, Hong

Y, Howard G, Lipsett M, Luepker R, Mittleman M, Samet J, Smith Jr SC, Tager I. Air pollution and cardiovascular disease: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Expert Panel on Population and Prevention Science of the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2004 Jun 1;109(21):2655-71.

6. Raaschou-Nielsen O, Andersen ZJ, Beelen R, Samoli E, Stafoggia M, Weinmayr G, Hoffmann B, Fischer P, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Brunekreef B, Xun WW. Air pollution and lung cancer incidence in 17 European cohorts: prospective analyses from the European Study of Cohorts for Air Pollution Effects (ESCAPE). The lancet oncology. 2013 Aug 1;14(9):813-22.

7. International Agency for Research on Cancer. Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. http:// monographs. iarc. fr/ENG/Classification/ index. php. 2006.

8. Sun Y, Hu X, Wu J, Lian H, Chen Y. Fractionation and health risks of atmospheric particle-bound As and heavy metals in summer and winter. Science of the Total Environment. 2014 Sep 15;493:487-94.

9. Fang Y, Naik V, Horowitz LW, Mauzerall DL. Air pollution and associated human mortality: the role of air pollutant emissions, climate change and methane concentration increases from the preindustrial period to present. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics. 2013 Feb 4;13(3):1377-94.

10. Cadelis G, Tourres R, Molinie J. Shortterm effects of the particulate pollutants contained in Saharan dust on the visits of children to the emergency department due to asthmatic conditions in Guadeloupe (French Archipelago of the Caribbean). PloS one. 2014 Mar 6;9(3):e91136.

11. Esworthy R. Air quality: EPA's 2013

changes to the particulate matter (PM) standard. Washington, DC, USA: Library of Congress, Congressional Research Service.

12. Juda-Rezler K, Reizer M, Oudinet JP. Determination and analysis of PM_{10} source apportionment during episodes of air pollution in Central Eastern European urban areas: The case of wintertime 2006. Atmospheric Environment. 2011 Nov 1;45(36):6557-66.

Brown JS, Gordon T, Price O, AsgharianB. Thoracic and respirable particle definitions for human health risk assessment. Particle and fibre toxicology. 2013 Dec;10:1-2.

14. Fang W, Delapp RC, Kosson DS, van der Sloot HA, Liu J. Release of heavy metals during long-term land application of sewage sludge compost: Percolation leaching tests with repeated additions of compost. Chemosphere. 2017 Feb 1;169:271-80.

15. IAfRoC IA. Agents classified by the IARC monographs. 2016.

16. Samara C, Kantiranis N, Kollias P, Planou S, Kouras A, Besis A, Manoli E, Voutsa D. Spatial and seasonal variations of the chemical, mineralogical and morphological features of quasi-ultrafine particles (PM0. 49) at urban sites. Science of the Total Environment. 2016 May 15;553:392-403.

17. USEPA. Guidance for evaluating the oral bioavailability of metals in soils for use in human health risk assessment. OSWER. 2007;9285:7-80.

18. Means B. Risk-assessment guidance for superfund. Volume 1. Human health evaluation manual. Part A. Interim report (Final). Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC (USA). Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response; 1989 Dec 1.

19. Hu X, Zhang Y, Ding Z, Wang T, Lian H, Sun Y, Wu J. Bioaccessibility and health

risk of arsenic and heavy metals (Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn and Mn) in TSP and PM_{2.5} in Nanjing, China. Atmospheric environment. 2012 Sep 1;57:146-52.

20. Kong S, Lu B, Ji Y, Zhao X, Bai Z, Xu Y, Liu Y, Jiang H. Risk assessment of heavy metals in road and soil dusts within $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} and PM_{100} fractions in Dongying city, Shandong Province, China. Journal of Environmental Monitoring. 2012;14(3):791-803.

21. Zheng N, Liu J, Wang Q, Liang Z. Health risk assessment of heavy metal exposure to street dust in the zinc smelting district, Northeast of China. Science of the total environment. 2010 Jan 15;408(4):726-33.

22. Li PH, Kong SF, Geng CM, Han B, Lu B, Sun RF, Zhao RJ, Bai ZP. Assessing the hazardous risks of vehicle inspection workers' exposure to particulate heavy metals in their work places. Aerosol and Air Quality Research. 2013 Jan;13(1):255-65.

23. Du Y, Gao B, Zhou H, Ju X, Hao H, Yin S. Health risk assessment of heavy metals in road dusts in urban parks of Beijing, China. Procedia environmental sciences. 2013 Jan 1;18:299-309.

24. Source Apportionment Study & Preparation of Air Quality Action Plan for Surat City, The Energy and Resources Institute (TERI), New Delhi, TERI. 2021.

25. World Health Organization. The world health report 2006: working together for health. World Health Organization; 2006 Mar 23.

26. Kulshrestha A, Satsangi PG, Masih J, Taneja A. Metal concentration of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} particles and seasonal variations in urban and rural environment of Agra, India. Science of the Total Environment. 2009 Dec 1;407(24):6196-204.

27. Sah D, Verma PK, Kumari KM, Lakhani

A. Chemical partitioning of fine particlebound As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Co, Pb and assessment of associated cancer risk due to inhalation, ingestion and dermal exposure. Inhalation toxicology. 2017 Sep 19;29(11):483-93.

28. Pathak AK, Yadav S, Kumar P, Kumar R. Source apportionment and spatial-temporal variations in the metal content of surface dust collected from an industrial area adjoining Delhi, India. Science of the Total Environment. 2013 Jan 15;443:662-72.

29. RajaramBS, Suryawanshi PV, Bhanarkar AD, Rao CV. Heavy metals contamination in road dust in Delhi city, India. Environmental Earth Sciences. 2014 Nov;72:3929-38.

30. Chatterjee A, Banerjee RN. Determination of lead and other metals in a residential area of greater Calcutta. Science of the total environment. 1999 Mar 9;227(2-3):175-85.

31. Kushwaha K, Sundar KS, Karthikeyan S. Assessment of Heavy Metals from Respirable Suspended Particulate Matter (PM_{10}) in Manali, Chennai, India. Int. J. Sci. Adv. Res. Technol. 2016;2(9):1052-2395.

32. Mohanraj R, Azeez PA, Priscilla T. Heavy metals in airborne particulate matter of urban Coimbatore. Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology. 2004 Aug;47:162-7.

33. Jena S, Singh G. Human health risk assessment of airborne trace elements in Dhanbad, India. Atmospheric Pollution Research. 2017 May 1;8(3):490-502.

34. Yadav AK. Elemental composition and source apportionment of suspended particulate matters and health risk assessment in mining and nonmining areas of Odisha, India. Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste. 2015 Jul 1;19(3):04014037. 35. Jan R, Roy R, Yadav S, Satsangi PG. Chemical fractionation and health risk assessment of particulate matter-bound metals in Pune, India. Environmental geochemistry and health. 2018 Feb;40:255-70.

36. Botle A, Singhal RK, Basu H, Manisha V, Masih J. Health risk assessment of heavy metals associated with Coarse and Quasiaccumulative airborne particulate matter in Mumbai City situated on the Western Coast of India. Environmental Technology & Innovation. 2020 Aug 1;19:100857.

37. Rani N, Sastry BS, Dey K. Assessment of metal contamination and the associated human health risk from dustfall deposition: a study in a mid-sized town in India. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2019 Aug 1;26:23173-91.

38. Mondal S, Singh G. PM_{2.5}-bound trace elements in a critically polluted industrial coal belt of India: seasonal patterns, source identification, and human health risk assessment. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2021 Jul;28:32634-47.

39. Mondal S, Singh G, Jain MK. Spatiotemporal variation of air pollutants around the coal mining areas of Jharia Coalfield, India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment. 2020 Jun;192:1-7.

40. Jena S, Perwez A, Singh G. Trace element characterization of fine particulate matter and assessment of associated health risk in mining area, transportation routes and institutional area of Dhanbad, India. Environmental geochemistry and health. 2019 Dec;41:2731-47.

41. Dubey B, Pal AK, Singh G. Trace metal composition of airborne particulate matter in the coal mining and non-mining areas of Dhanbad Region, Jharkhand, India. Atmospheric Pollution Research. 2012 Apr

1;3(2):238-46.

42. Khairy MA, Barakat AO, Mostafa AR, Wade TL. Multielement determination by flame atomic absorption of road dust samples in Delta Region, Egypt. Microchemical journal. 2011 Mar 1;97(2):234-42.

43. Izhar S, Goel A, Chakraborty A, Gupta T. Annual trends in occurrence of submicron particles in ambient air and health risk posed by particle bound metals. Chemosphere. 2016 Mar 1;146:582-90.