

# Original Article

# Antibiotic Susceptibility Patterns in the NICU of Ghaem Hospital of Mashhad

# Hassan Boskabadi<sup>1</sup> M.D., Elahe Heidari<sup>1</sup> M.D., Fatemeh Bagheri<sup>2</sup> Ph.D., Maryam Zakerihamidi<sup>3\*</sup> Ph.D.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Nursing, School of Medical Sciences, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Midwifery, School of Medical Sciences, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonekabon, Iran

### A B S T R A C T

Article history Received: 27 Apr 2018 Accepted: 23 Sep 2020 Available online: 4 Mar 2021

*Keywords* Antibiotics Blood culture Infant Infections Sepsis **Background and Aims:** Neonatal sepsis is considered a clinical syndrome characterized by signs and symptoms of infection associated with positive blood culture. The present study investigates the rate of sensitivity and resistance to antibiotics in neonates with definite sepsis.

**Materials and Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted on 268 neonates with definitive sepsis (positive blood culture with clinical signs of infection) hospitalized in the NICU of Ghaem Hospital of Mashhad, from 2008 to 2018. To investigate the antibiotic susceptibility pattern, identifying microorganism and antibiogram tests was performed according to the standard microbiological method. The data were collected through a questionnaire designed by the researchers. It included neonates' characteristics, types of microorganisms in neonatal unite, and sensitivity and resistance to neonatal sepsis's common microorganism.

**Results:** Based on the results, *Klebsiella* showed sensitivity to norfloxacin (100%), ciprofloxacin (100%), meropenem (100%), imipenem (94%), co-trimoxazole (73%), and vancomycin (67%). Similarly, *Enterobacter* showed 100% sensitivity to ciprofloxacin, meropenem, norfloxacin, and high sensitivity to imipenem (94%) and co-trimoxazole (83%). *Acinetobacter* turned out to be sensitive to co-trimoxazole and norfloxacin (both of them were 67%) and to amikacin in 33% of the cases. *E. coli* was sensitive to imipenem (83.33%), ciprofloxacin (80%), and ceftazidime (71.43%). Finally, *staphylococcus* coagulase negative was sensitive to piperacillin in 100%, vancomycin in 96.67%, and imipenem in 71.43% of the cases.

**Conclusions:** The findings of the present study suggest that high-sensitivity drugs for the treatment of definite neonatal sepsis are Meropenem(Klebsiella and *E. coli*), *Enterobacter* (Ampicilin), *Acinetobacter* (Imipenem) and *Staphylococcus* coagulase negative (vancomycin).

\*Corresponding Author: Department of Midwifery, School of Medical Sciences, Tonekabon Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tonekabon, Iran. Email: maryamzakerihamidi@yahoo.co.nz, Tel: +989113934386

## Introduction

Neonatal sepsis is a life-threatening condition that may lead to mortality if not treated promptly and appropriately [1]. As a clinical syndrome, it is characterized by signs and symptoms of infection associated with positive blood culture [1, 2]. Septicemia is a global problem and, despite the presence of highly effective antibiotics and extensive health care, it is still one of the major causes of mortality and morbidity in infants, especially in countries with limited facilities [3, 4]. In a study, about 27% of neonatal mortality was due to infection [5]. Accordingly, on-time diagnosis and identification of pathogens are of high importance [6].

Although the clinical diagnosis of sepsis is difficult due to the non-specificity of the symptoms and signs of the disease [7], the combination of clinical signs and symptoms associated with positive blood culture is considered a golden standard for diagnosing neonatal sepsis [8]. Neonatal infections' signs and symptoms include fever, lethargy, restlessness, poor breastfeeding, respiratory distress, cyanosis, pallor, hypothermia, tachycardia, and abdominal vomiting, distention [9]. The cause of neonatal sepsis and its response to antibiotics can vary from time to time and place to place, affecting experimental treatments' effectiveness [10]. Selecting the proper antibiotic in suspected infections is often a severe challenge to neonatalogists. To select an appropriate antibiotic, it is necessary to identify the bacterial colonized in the maternal genital tract (in early sepsis), the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU)'s prevalent micro-organisms, and the personnel' hands. It is also essential to examine the sensitivity of these micro-organisms to antibiotics used in the NICU. Several studies have been carried out on the bacterial colonization in the maternal genital tract [11, 12] and neonatal wards in our country [13-15]. However, little information is available on the sensitivity and resistance of antibiotics neonatal sepsis. Because of the lack of proper and ontime treatment, neonatal sepsis is considered a life-threatening disease, and determining the antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacteria is essential for adequate treatment of neonatal sepsis [4]. It is better to elucidate the empirical antibiotic therapy in patients with sepsis. The present study aimed to investigate the antibiotic resistance and sensitivity to the common microorganism of definitive sepsis in Ghaem Hospital of Mashhad from 2008 to 2018.

## **Materials and Methods**

In this cross-sectional study, out of 5426 neonates referred to the NICU of Ghaem Hospital from 2008 to 2018, 268 cases with definitive neonatal sepsis were selected. Patients with incomplete data were excluded from the study. The data collection tool was a researcher-made checklist of the types of microorganisms in the neonatal unite and sensitivity and resistance to common microorganisms in neonatal sepsis. In neonatal evaluation, age at onset of sepsis and gestational age were recorded. Neonates with suspected sepsis were investigated for bacterial etiologic agents. Diagnosis of sepsis is defined based on positive blood culture plus clinical symptoms or signs. Blood samples were taken in admitted newborns with sterile conditions before the onset of the experimental treatment. Neonatal blood samples were aseptically collected by neonatal nursing before the antibiotic therapy and were sent to the Ghaem Hospital microbiology laboratory to identify isolates by Gram stains and culture growth. Positive blood cultures were sub-cultured onto blood, chocolate, and Mac Conkey agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. This study's culture medium was BHI Broth M210-500G made by the Indian company of HiMedia. Quantitative cultures were not performed. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done by a Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method following overnight incubation on Muller-Hinton agar plates. This study results from an approved Mashhad University of Medical Sciences project by the number of 960925, IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1396.587.

#### Statistical analysis

After data collection, the data were analyzed using SPSS version 21 and described using mean, standard deviation, and frequency.

### **Results**

Out of 5436 infants' blood cultures, 268 were reported positive. Mean  $\pm$  SD of the age of onset of sepsis was  $9.55 \pm 8.94$  days and of the gestational age was  $31.33 \pm 4.41$  weeks. Fiftyeight cases were positive in the first blood culture and 210 cases in the second. As illustrated in Figure 1, the types of microorganisms included 65 (24.1%) cases of Klebsiella pneumonia, 53 (19.6%) cases of Staphylococcus epidermis, 43 (16.3%) cases of Enterobacter, 27 (10%) cases of E. coli, 16 (5.9 %) cases of Staphylococcus saprophyticus, 14 (5.2%)cases of Acinetobacter, 11 (4.1%) cases of coagulasenegative staphylococci, 8 (3%) cases of Staphylococcus aureus, 6 (2.2%) cases of Pseudomonas, and 25 (9.6%) cases of other bacterial (Enterococcus, gram-negative bacilli, Enterococcus faecalis, Streptococcus, Klebsiella rhinoscleromatis, Klebsiella oxytoca, Citrobacter and alpha-hemolytic streptococcus). Klebsiella was found to be sensitive to norfloxacin, ciprofloxacin, and meropenem in 100% of cases. Also, the sensitivity of Klebsiella to imipenem was 93.94%, cotrimoxazole 72.73%, vancomycin 66.67%, ceftazidime 46.67%, cefoxitin 35.29%, amikacin 19.05%, cefixime 17%, and cefotaxime was 14.29%. Klebsiella was resistant to amoxicillin and gentamicin in 100% of cases (Fig. 2). Enterobacter was sensitive to cefoxitin, ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, norfloxacin, and piperacillin in 100% of cases. The sensitivity of Enterobacter to imipenem, cotrimoxazole, ceftazidime, and amikacin was 94.12%, 83.33%, 35.29%, and 16.67% of the cases, respectively. Enterobacter was resistant to ceftizoxime 87.5%, cefotaxime 90%, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefalotin, cefixime, and cefazolin in 100% of cases (Fig. 3).



Fig. 1. Types of microorganisms at neonatal sepsis



Fig. 2. Sensitivity and resistance of Klebsiella to antibiotics



Fig. 3. Sensitivity and resistance of *Enterobacter* to antibiotics



Fig. 4. Sensitivity and resistance of Acinetobacter to antibiotics



Fig. 5. Sensitivity and resistance of E. coli to antibiotics



Fig. 6. Sensitivity and resistance to Staphylococcus coagulase negative

Acinetobacter was sensitive to imipenem in 100%, co-trimoxazole and norfloxacin 66.67%, and amikacin 33.33% of cases. In 100% of cases, Acinetobacter was resistant to cefixime, erythromycin, penicillin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, amoxicillin, cefalotin, cefoxitin, cefotaxime, co-amoxiclav, cefazolin, ampicillin, oxacillin, and vancomycin (Fig. 4). *E. coli* was sensitive to meropenem, piperacillin, ampicillin, ceftizoxime, norfloxacin, cefoxitin 100%, imipenem 83.33%, ciprofloxacin 80%, cefotaxime 66.67%, co-trimoxazole 60%, ceftriaxone and cefixime in 50% of cases. Also, in 100% of cases, there was resistance to gentamicin, azithromycin, and vancomycin (Fig. 5).

*Staphylococcus* coagulase negative was sensitive to piperacillin in 100%, vancomycin 96.67%, and imipenem 71.43% of cases. There was resistance in 100% of cases to erythromycin, oxacillin, cefixime, penicillin, cefepime, meropenem, ampicillin, vancomycin, and azithromycin (Fig. 6).

## Discussion

In the present study, the most common microorganisms in blood culture were found to be *Klebsiella pneumonia* (24.1%), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (19.6%), *Enterobacter* (16.3%), E. coli (10%), and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* (5.9%). The most common isolated bacteria in Prabhu et al. were *Staphylococcus aureus* (50.61%), *Staphylococcus* coagulase negative (12.3%), and *Klebsiella pneumonia* (12.3%) [3]. Sharif et al. (2000) reported that *Klebsiella* 35 (37.6%), coagulase-positive *staphylococci* 21 (22.5%), coagulase-negative staphylococci 14 (15.05%), E. coli 14 (15.05%), pseudomonas 4 (4.3%), enterobacter 4 (4.3%), and Serratia 1 (1.07%) as the most commonly grown organisms [16]. In Ansari et al.'s study, the most common bacterial agents of sepsis were Staphylococcus coagulase negative and *Staphylococcus aureus* [17]. Muley et al. found Klebsiella pneumonia and Staphylococcus aureus to be the most common neonatal sepsis pathogens [18]. Mythri et al. (2016) studied the most common neonatal sepsis pathogens, including Klebsiella, Staphylococcus coagulase negative, Staphylococcus aureus, and gram-negative bacilli [2]. According to Pooja et al. (2015), the most common organisms of neonatal sepsis included Klebsiella (15.5%), Staphylococcus aureus (14.5%), Enterobacter (10.5%), and Acinetobacter (10.5%) [19]. In another study, the most common pathogens isolated from the patients of neonatal sepsis were Klebsiella pneumoniae (42%), followed by Staphylococcus aureus (17%), coagulasenegative *Staphylococcus* (14%), and *E. coli* (7%) [20]. The high contagion of the negative gram microbes in our department could result from the crowded wards, lack of enough space between the beds, inadequacy between the number of nurses and patients, and no hand washing by the personels. Neonatal wards microorganism showed significant sensitivity to vancomycin (97%), acceptable sensitivity to imipenem (72%), and relative sensitivity (about 50%) to co-trimoxazole, norfloxacin, cefalotin, and cefazolin. About two-thirds of cases were resistant to ampicillin, clindamycin, cefotaxime, and cefoxitin. Besides, about four-fifths of our neonatal ward microorganisms were resistant to gentamicin, ceftizoxime, and ceftazidime. All microorganisms in our NICU were resistant to erythromycin, oxacillin, cefixime, and penicillin. In Shrestha et al. (2013), all microorganisms in the NICU, except the Acinetobacter, were sensitive to first-line antibiotics such as amikacin, gentamicin, cefotaxime, and ampicillin [21]. Ampicillin combined with gentamicin is the drug of choice for empirically treating neonatal earlyonset sepsis [22]. The high resistance of microorganisms in our NICU to the first antibiotics such as ampicillin and gentamicin is a serious problem due to these agents' unusual use. It seems that the initiation of these antibiotics will not be effective in treating infants with high risk of infection, and a revision of these two drugs is necessary. The worrying result was the inappropriate sensitivity of microorganisms to cephalosporins, which tend to be the second antibiotic choice, in our NICU. In the present study, Klebsiella was very sensitive (> 94%) to meropenem and imipenem, and cotrimoxazole and vancomycin in twothirds of the cases. The sensitivity rate of Klebsiella to ceftazidime was 47%, to cefoxitin 35%, to amikacin 19%, to cefixime 17%, and cefotaxime 14%. Klebsiella was resistant to amoxicillin and gentamicin in 100% of cases.

A comparison of the fidings with those of a prior study conducted ten years ago in the same center reveals an increase in the resistance of *Klebsiella* to cefotaxime from 54% to 86%, to amikasin from 18% to 81%, and gentamicin from 63% to 100%. This increasing resistance to our common antibiotics has developed at an alarming rate. In their study, Sharif et al. reported that Klebsiella's resistance to ampicillin was 15.5%, cloxacillin 23.8%, gentamicin 48.4%, and amikacin 3% [16]. In Khan et al. study, *Klebsiella* pneumonia showed the most sensitivity to amikacin (88.46%), meropenem (80.77%), ampicillin (76.92%), and ceftazidime (61.54%) [23]. The percentage of high resistance of *Klebsiella* to cephalosporins and aminoglycosides in this study was in contrast to previous studies, suggesting that these two large groups of antibiotics also do not affect the most common infectious microorganism in our wards and should be revised for their usual use.

*Enterobacter* was quite sensitive to cefoxitin, ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, norfloxacin, piperacillin, and resistant gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefalotin, cefixime, and cefazolin. In another study, gramnegative bacteria of Enterobacteriaceae were resistant to penicillins and cephalosporins with a broad spectrum. Therefore, using these antibiotics will not be effective alone [2].

Acinetobacter in two-thirds of cases was sensitive to co-trimoxazole and norfloxacin, and in one-third of the subjects showed sensitivity to amikacin. Acinetobacter was utterly resistant to cefixime, erythromycin, penicillin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, and amoxicillin in our wards cephalothin, cefoxitin, cefotaxime, co-amoxiclav, cefazolin, oxacillin, and vancomycin. In Shrestha et al.'s (2013) study, Acinetobacter was sensitive to cotrimoxazole, azithromycin, cefotaxime, and ceftazidime [21].

*E. coli* was sensitive to imipenem and ciprofloxacin in four-fifth of cases, ceftazidime,

cefotaxime, and co-trimoxazole two-thirds of cases, and it showed resistance to tetracycline, gentamicin, azithromycin, and vancomycin. In Parajuli et al.'s study, all negative gram cocci were sensitive to amikacin [24]. Staphylococcus coagulase negative was completely sensitive to piperacillin and showed high sensitivity to vancomycin. In two-thirds of cases, sensitivity was observed to imipenem. In two-fifths of the cases, it was sensitive to amikacin and clindamycin, and in one-third of cases to ampicillin and cefotaxime. It showed a relative sensitivity to cephazolin, norfloxacin, and co-amoxiclay. There was high resistance to gentamicin, ceftizoxime, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and ciprofloxacin, and it was quite resistant to erythromycin, oxacillin, cefixime, penicillin, cefepime, meropenem, ampicillin, vancomycin, and azithromycin. Staphylococcus coagulase-negative is the main pathogen in late neonatal sepsis [25]. In the study of Zubair et al., Staphylococcus coagulase negative showed the highest sensitivity to vancomycin (97.7%), and amikacin (85.8%), and lower to coamoxiclav (68.2%), ciprofloxacin (57.7%), ampicillin (44.6%), ceftriaxone (41.2%), amoxicillin (33%), oxacillin (24.2%), and penicillin (16%) [26]. In another study, the sensitivity of Staphylococcus coagulase negative was to amikacin (34%), penicillin (47%), and ceftriaxone (66%) [27].

To conclude, neonatal septic pathogens vary over time and even place [17]. Antibiotic resistance is a global problem. The antibiogram pattern varies from country to country depending on the epidemiology of neonatal sepsis [28]. The difference in antibiotic use patterns in different hospitals is the main cause of various antibiotic sensibilities reported by different researchers [26].

#### Conclusion

In this study, the most common microorganisms of neonatal sepsis were Klebsiella pneumonia, Staphylococcus epidermis, Enterobacter, and E. coli Staphylococcus saprophyticus. The high resistance of microorganisms in our NICU to the first-line antibiotics such as ampicillin and gentamicin is a serious problem. These antibiotics do not seem to be effective in treating infants with a high risk of infection. The second challenging result is the inappropriate sensitivity of microorganisms to the third generation of cephalosporins, as the common second antibiotic choice, in our NICU. Our findings suggest that the high-sensitivity drugs for treating definite neonatal sepsis due to Klebsiella and E. coli are meropenem, acinetobacter imipenem, and Staphylococcus coagulase negative vancomycin.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

There is no conflict of interest to declare.

#### Acknowledgments

We express our deepest sense of gratitude and indebtedness to the Deputy of Research and Technology, research manager, and other Mashhad University of Medical Sciences officials.

## References

- [1]. Higginbottom G, Pillay JJ, Boadu NY. Guidance on performing focused ethnographies with an emphasis on healthcare research. Qualitative Report 2013; 18(9).
- [2]. Mythri B, Patil AB, Divya A, Mansabdar P, Sharon V. Bacteriological profile and antibiogram of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary care hospital. Indian Journal of Microbiology Research 2016; 3(2): 136-40.
- [3]. Prabhu K, Bhat S, Rao S. Bacteriologic profile and antibiogram of blood culture isolates in a pediatric care unit. Journal of laboratory physicians 2010; 2(2): 85.
- [4]. Pius S, Bello M, Galadima GB, Ibrahim HA, Yerima ST, Ambe JP. Neonatal septicaemia, bacterial isolates and antibiogram sensitivity in Maiduguri North-Eastern Nigeria. Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal 2016; 23(3): 146.
- [5]. Boskabadi H, Moudi A, Parvini Z, Barati T. Evaluation of the cause and related factors of neonatal mortality in Qaem hospital 1388-89. The Iranian Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Infertility 2012; 14(7): 21-6.
- [6]. Forbes B, Sahm D, Weissfeld S. Bailey and Scott's Diagnostic Microbiology. 11830
  Westline Industrial Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63146. Mosby. Elsevier; 2007.
- [7]. Thakur S, Thakur K, Sood A, Chaudhary S. Bacteriological profile and antibiotic sensitivity pattern of neonatal septicaemia in a rural tertiary care hospital in North India. Indian journal of medical microbiology 2016; 34(1): 67.
- [8]. Meremikwu MM, Nwachukwu CE, Asuquo AE, Okebe JU, Utsalo SJ. Bacterial isolates from blood cultures of children with suspected septicaemia in Calabar, Nigeria. BMC Infect Dis. 2005; 5: 110.
- [9]. Boskabadi H, Maamouri G, Afshari JT, Mafinejad S, Hosseini G, Mostafavi-Toroghi H, et al. Evaluation of serum interleukins-6, 8 and 10 levels as diagnostic markers of neonatal infection and possibility of mortality. Iranian journal of basic medical sciences 2013; 16(12): 1232.
- [10].Mhada TV, Fredrick F, Matee MI, Massawe A. Neonatal sepsis at Muhimbili National Hospital, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; aetiology, antimicrobial sensitivity pattern and clinical outcome. BMC public health 2012; 12(1): 904.
- [11]. Khosravi N, Noorbakhsh S, Javadinia S, Ashouri S. Determination the bacterial etiologies for sepsis in premature newborns admitted in neonatal intensive care unit. Tehran University Medical Journal TUMS Publications 2017;74(11):791-7.
- [12]. Sayehmiri K, Nikpay S, Azami M, Pakzad I, Borji M. The prevalence of neonatal septicemia in iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis study. Journal of Shahrekord Uuniversity of Medical Sciences 2017; 19.

- [13]. Boskabadi H, Maamori G, Akhondian J, Zakerihamidi M, Seyedi S, Ghazvini K. Neonatal Infections: a 5-year analysis in a neonatal care unit in north east of Iran. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016; 4(12): 3989-998.
- [14]. Boskabadi H, Zakeri Hamidi M, Maamouri G, Najafi A. Frequency of maternal risk factors and neonatal complications of premature rupture of membranes. Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences 2016; 18(10): 32-9.
- [15]. Boskabadi H, Maamouri G, Mafinejad S. Neonatal complications related with prolonged rupture of membranes. Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences 2011; 4(1): 93-8.
- [16]. Sharif MR, Hosseinian M, Moosavi GA, Sharif AR. Etiology of bacterial sepsis and bacterial drug resistance in hospitalized neonates of Shahid Beheshti Hospital of Kashan in 1375 and 1376. Feyz Journal of Kashan University of Medical Sciences 2000; 3(4): 71-7.
- [17]. Ansari S, Nepal HP, Gautam R, Shrestha S, Neopane P, Chapagain ML. Neonatal septicemia in Nepal: early-onset versus late-onset. International journal of pediatrics 2015; 2015.
- [18]. Muley VA, Ghadage DP, Bhore AV. Bacteriological profile of neonatal septicemia in a tertiary care hospital from Western India. Journal of global infectious diseases 2015; 7(2): 75.
- [19]. Pooja R, Sowmya K, Shrikala B, Radhakrishna M, Keerthiraj B. A spectrum of bacterial pathogens and its antibiotic susceptibility pattern isolated from neonatal sepsis in an NICU in a government pediatric hospital. Int Res J Biological Sci 2015; 4(5): 50-4.
- [20]. Vaniya HV, Patel NM, Agrawal JM, Trivedi HR, Dhanani JV, Balat JD. Antimicrobial culture sensitivity pattern in neonatal sepsis in a tertiarycare hospital. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health 2016; 5(4): 661-66.
- [21]. Shrestha R, Rai S, Khanal L, Manda P. Bacteriological study of neonatal sepsis and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of isolates in Kathmandu, Nepal. Nepal Med Coll J 2013; 15(1): 71-3.
- [22]. Mukhopadhyay S, Wade K, Puopolo K. Drugs for the prevention and treatment of sepsis in the newborn. Clinics in Perinatology 2019; 46.
- [23]. Khan SN, Joseph S. Neonatal sepsis: antibiotic sensitivity & resistance pattern of commonly isolated pathogens in a neonatal intensive care unit of a teritiary care hospital, South India. Int J Pharm Bio Sci 2012; 3(4): 802-809.
- [24]. Parajuli R, Pant ND, Bhandari R, Giri A, Rai S, Acharya GP, et al. Bacteriological profile of neonatal sepsis and antibiogram of the isolates. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society 2017; 37(1): 5-9.

- [25]. Grace J, Obaro SK. A systematic review of coagulase-negative staphylococci in neonatal sepsis. Clin Microbiol 2019; 8(2): 328.
- [26].Zubair M, Zafar A, Ejaz H, Hafeez S, Javaid H, Javed A. Incidence of coagulase negative staphylococci in neonatal sepsis. Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences 2011; 5(4): 716-19.
- [27]. Gheibi S, Fakoor Z, Karamyyar M, Khashabi J, Ilkhanizadeh B, Asghari-Sana F, et al. Coagulase negative staphylococcus; the most

common cause of neonatal septicemia in Urmia, Iran. Iranian Journal of Pediatrics 2008; 18(3): 237-43.

[28]. Shaw C, Shaw P, Thapalial A. Neonatal sepsis bacterial isolates and antibiotic susceptibility patterns at a NICU in a tertiary care hospital in western Nepal: a retrospective analysis. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ) 2007; 5(2): 153-160.