

# Study of Peripheral Mononuclear Cells and CD34 Levels as a Predictive Marker for Initiating Apheresis in Autologous Stem Cell Transplant

Kiran PK<sup>1</sup>, Vinu Sarathy P<sup>1</sup>, Srinivas BJ<sup>1</sup>, Girish V Badarkhe<sup>2</sup>, Rajesh Kumar KS<sup>3</sup>, Thianeshwaran S<sup>1</sup>, Nitin Bayas<sup>1</sup>, Suhail Syeed Mufti<sup>3</sup>, Hrishi V<sup>3</sup>, Radheshyam Naik<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>HealthCare Global (HCG) Hospital, Bengaluru, India

<sup>2</sup>HealthCare Global (HCG) Hospital, Nashik, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Translational Medicine & Therapeutics, HealthCare Global (HCG) Hospital, Bengaluru, India

**Corresponding Author:** Kiran PK, HealthCare Global (HCG) Hospital, Bengaluru, India

Tel: +91-9900471070

Email: drkiranpk265@gmail.com

Received: 24, Jan, 2020

Accepted: 13, Dec, 2020

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Autologous HCT in multiple myeloma is done as upfront treatment in newly diagnosed transplant eligible patients after induction chemotherapy. In addition, it is standard for relapsed, aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and classical Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), and is curative in ~40% to 45% of patients. Over a decade, many efforts were made to find helpful parameters to predict an optimal time for initiating an efficient peripheral blood stem cell collection so that adequate stem cells are collected. It has been well accepted that CD34+ cell count in peripheral blood before leukapheresis is the best parameter to predict CD34 cell yield. However, white blood cell count, mononuclear cell count, and other easily obtained parameters are still used to guide the clinical practice of peripheral blood stem cell mobilization and collection.

**Materials and Methods:** In the present study, we analyzed the correlation between peripheral blood MNC and Apheresis CD34 levels and also between peripheral blood CD34 by flow cytometry and apheresis CD34 levels.

**Results:** We found that there was a statistically insignificant weak correlation between peripheral MNC and apheresis CD34. There was a statistically significant strong correlation between peripheral CD34 and apheresis CD34.

**Conclusion:** The results show that peripheral blood MNC was analogous indicating that no reliable prediction can be done for CD34 cells collected in apheresis while peripheral CD34 by flow cytometry is the strongest predictor for initiating stem cell collection.

**Keywords:** Peripheral blood mononuclear cells; Peripheral CD34 cells; Apheresis CD34 cells; Predictive marker for apheresis

## INTRODUCTION

Hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) is a critical and potentially curative treatment for a range of malignant and nonmalignant diseases. The sources of multipotent hematopoietic stem cells are

bone marrow<sup>1</sup> or peripheral blood<sup>2</sup> of a related or unrelated donor. Peripheral blood as a stem cell source was introduced in 1981<sup>2</sup>. Hematopoietic stem cells from other sources like Fetal liver stem cell<sup>3</sup> and cord blood<sup>4</sup> are also used for the reconstitution of

lympho-hematopoietic function after myeloablative treatment. Autologous peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) transplantation has been an important method of support following the use of high-dose chemotherapy with or without the administration of total body irradiation.<sup>5</sup>

Autologous HCT in multiple myeloma are done as upfront treatment in newly diagnosed transplant eligible patients after induction chemotherapy. Two large randomized trials<sup>6,7</sup> and several nonrandomized comparisons<sup>8-10</sup> have demonstrated the improved efficacy of autologous HSCT in prolonging event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) compared with non-transplant therapies in multiple myeloma patients<sup>11</sup>. In multiple myeloma, even those that do not receive an upfront autograft may undergo transplant at relapse. Similarly, those who have benefited from an upfront autograft may receive a second autologous HCT after a subsequent relapse.<sup>10</sup> Autologous HCT is also standard for relapsed, aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) and classical Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), and is curative in ~40% to 45% of patients.<sup>12-15</sup>. The stem cell transplant process consists of distinct steps like: (1) Administration of mobilization agents, (2) Mobilization, (3) Collection of stem cells, (4) Preparation of product for storage, (5) Cryopreservation, (6) Administration of preparative regimen, (7) Stem cell transplantation, and (8) Engraftment and recovery.<sup>16-19</sup>

CD34, a transmembrane phosphoglycoprotein (also called hematopoietic progenitor cell antigen) is a cell surface marker expressed on stem cells and is used to determine the extent and efficiency of peripheral blood stem cell collections.<sup>20</sup> The peripheral white blood cell count has previously been used as an indicator of the optimum day for harvesting.<sup>21</sup> Quantification of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells by flow cytometry is widely used in clinical practice as an indicator of HSC. The percentage of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells among circulating total nucleated cells at steady state in healthy donors is 0.06%; it is 1.1% in the Bone marrow, an 18-fold difference in favor of the latter stem cell source. For mobilizing HSC from the bone marrow into peripheral blood requires the use of mobilizing agents. The standard mobilizing agent

commonly used in healthy donors is recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (rhG-CSF). When treated with recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (rhG-CSF; 15 µg/kg per day) over 3 days, with another rhG-CSF dose given before the stem cell apheresis procedure, the mean peripheral blood (PB) CD34<sup>+</sup> cell concentration increases from  $3.8 \times 10^9/L$  to  $61.9 \times 10^9/L$ , a 16.3-fold increase over baseline.<sup>22</sup> A cut-off for CD34<sup>+</sup> cell count of  $\geq 10$  to  $20 \times 10^3/mL$  is usually considered a reasonable cut-off value for initiating PBSC collection.<sup>23</sup>

The goal of apheresis collection is to collect enough CD34<sup>+</sup> cells to ensure timely neutrophil and platelet recovery. Over a decade many efforts were made to find helpful parameters to predict an optimal time for initiating an efficient peripheral blood stem cell collection. Predictive factors for effective harvesting have been broadly studied.<sup>23</sup> These include parameters obtained prior to the beginning of the procedure that influence the efficiency of harvesting CD34<sup>+</sup> cells. The total leukocyte count, number of monocytes and lymphocytes, and percentage of circulating immature cells of the granulocytic lineage have all been mentioned as possible predictive factors for apheresis collection.<sup>24-26</sup>

It has been well accepted that CD34<sup>+</sup> cell count in peripheral blood before leukapheresis is the best parameter to predict CD34 cell yield. However white blood cell count, mononuclear cell count, and other easily obtained parameters are still used to guide the clinical practice of peripheral blood stem cell mobilization and collection<sup>27</sup>. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells are taken as the sum of lymphocytes and monocytes which has showed correlation with the final CD34 harvest in some studies. In the present study, we analyzed the correlation between peripheral blood MNC and Apheresis CD34 levels so that whether Peripheral blood MNC can be used as a predictable marker of the timing of apheresis. In our hospital, we use flow cytometric analysis of CD34 and peripheral blood mononuclear cells to predict adequate cell yield. Current flow cytometric techniques to measure CD34 cells are technically difficult and expensive. This study is the

correlation between peripheral blood mononuclear and apheresis CD34 and also between peripheral blood CD34 and apheresis CD34.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our study was done at HCG hospital Bangalore which is a tertiary care oncology center. A total of 49 Patients undergoing stem cell transplantation for hematological malignancies during the period June 2016 to May 2018 were analyzed. Considering alpha = 0.05 and 95% statistical power, the correlation between apheresis CD34 + and Peripheral blood mononuclear cells was analyzed. Patients of Multiple myeloma or Relapsed lymphoma undergoing autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation who have received  $\leq 3$  lines of induction chemotherapy were included in the study. Patients undergoing autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for other indications and of age < 18 years and > 68 years were excluded.

All study patients were started on mobilization by G-CSF at 10-15mcg/kg/day in two divided doses for 3 days. Apheresis was started on 4<sup>th</sup> day after taking consent once white blood cells were  $> 10 \times 10^9$  cells/L and peripheral blood CD34 count  $> 10 \times 10^3$ /ml and G-CSF was continued at the same dose. Before each leukapheresis routine metabolic panel was done including CBC, Serum electrolytes, and calcium. CD 34 count was performed by flow cytometry from the peripheral blood daily before apheresis. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells which were the sum of lymphocytes and monocytes were noted along with peripheral blood CD34. Then apheresis CD34 cell count was done by flow cytometry post-collection/Leukapheresis. Decision regarding the continuation of the collection was based on the adequacy of the CD34 count of apheresis.

The values and results were entered onto an Excel database and analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed on a computer by Statistical Package for Social Sciences [SPSS] for Windows Version 22.0. Relationships among study variables were assessed using Pearson's correlation. Based on the normality of data, either independent samples t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test was used to evaluate differences between groups. Chi-square test was used to find association between the categorical variables. P-

value < 0.05 was considered significant. The correlation coefficients are denoted by 'r'. Minus sign denotes a negative correlation. Correlation coefficient ranges are 0.0- No correlation, 0.01 to 0.20 – Very weak correlation, 0.21 to 0.40 – Weak correlation, 0.41 to 0.60 – Moderate correlation, 0.61 to 0.80 – Strong correlation, 0.81 to 1.0 – Very strong correlation.

## RESULTS

Forty-nine patients diagnosed to have multiple myeloma or Lymphoma who was in remission and undergoing autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplant were enrolled into the study and their data was analyzed. Out of forty-nine patients, 26 were males and 23 were females. The median age was 50 years with a range of 18-68 years. Twenty-six patients included were diagnosed as Multiple myeloma and twenty-three patients were diagnosed as Lymphoma. Among Lymphoma patients 12 patients were of Diffuse large B cell lymphoma, 7 patients were of Hodgkin's lymphoma, 3 patients were of T cell lymphoma and 1 patient was of Mantle cell lymphoma.

All study patients underwent mobilization by G-CSF. Leukapheresis was started once the total leukocyte count was  $> 1 \times 10^9$ /L and a peripheral CD34 count of  $> 10 \times 10^3$ /ml. Of the 49 patients only one patient had single leukapheresis, 20 patients required second collection, 19 patients required a third collection and 9 patients underwent a fourth collection. A total of 134 leukapheresis was done with an average of 2.73 leukapheresis per person (**Table 1**). A median of  $7.75 \times 10^6$  cells/kg patient body weight (range 3.99 to 18.66) of stem cells were collected per harvest.

MNC was calculated on each day of harvest before leukapheresis. Total MNC was calculated by the sum of MNC of all days. The median Day 1 MNC was 3.55 with the range of 1.00 to 10.58. The median total MNC was 10.82 with the range of 2.41 to 24.28.

Pre-leukapheresis MNC of each day of harvest was correlated with the CD34 content of apheresis product of corresponding days. The correlation coefficient (r value) and p-value is summarized in Table 3. There was a very weak correlation on Day 1, a weak correlation on Day 2, Negative correlation on Day 3, and Moderate correlation on Day 4. But

correlation was not statistically significant (**Table 2**). The results suggest that MNC was non-predictive of final CD34 cell content of harvest in our study. In our study, statistically insignificant weak correlation was found between peripheral blood MNC and CD34 content of apheresis.

The correlation between peripheral blood (PB) CD34 cells in pre-leukapheresis sample and CD34 cells in apheresis sample or harvest (as expressed per kg of the patient's body weight) of corresponding days was also analyzed. The correlation coefficient between D1 PB CD34 and D1 harvest CD34 was  $r = 0.59$  with  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$  (**Fig 1**), D2 PB CD34 and D2 harvest CD34 was  $r = 0.41$  with  $p\text{-value} < 0.005$  (**Fig**

**2**), D3 PB CD34 and D3 harvest CD34 was  $r = 0.64$  with  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$  (**Fig 3**) and D4 PB CD34 and D4 harvest CD34 was  $r = 0.44$  with  $p\text{-value} 0.38$ . There was a good correlation (moderate to strong) between the above variables which was statistically significant (**Table 3**). The results suggest that peripheral blood CD34 cells are a strong predictor of apheresis CD34 stem cell yield.

**Table 1.** Average Leukapheresis / Person

	Mean	SD
Mean & SD	2.73	0.79
Range	01 – 04	

**Table 2.** Correlation of D1, D2, D3 and D4 MNC with D1, D2, D3 and D4 Total CD34 using Pearson Correlation Test

Variables	Values	Total CD34_D1	Total CD34_D2	Total CD34_D3	Total CD34_D4
MNC_D1	r	0.12	-0.06	-0.08	0.45
	P-Value	0.40	0.68	0.68	0.22
MNC_D2	r	0.15	0.25	0.19	0.45
	P-Value	0.31	0.08	0.33	0.23
MNC_D3	r	0.07	-0.20	-0.19	0.07
	P-Value	0.71	0.32	0.33	0.85
MNC_D4	r	-0.95	0.10	0.50	0.62
	P-Value	<0.001*	0.80	0.17	0.08

**Table 3.** Correlation of D1, D2, D3 and D4 CD34 (peripheral blood) with D1, D2, D3 and D4 Total CD34 using Pearson Correlation Test

Variables	Values	Total CD34_D1	Total CD34_D2	Total CD34_D3	Total CD34_D4
PB_CD 34_D1	r	0.59	-0.10	-0.01	0.31
	P-Value	<0.001*	0.54	0.98	0.42
PB_CD 34_D2	r	-0.03	0.41	0.33	-0.30
	P-Value	0.85	0.005*	0.10	0.44
PB_CD 34_D3	r	-0.23	0.61	0.64	0.10
	P-Value	0.29	0.001*	0.001*	0.81
PB_CD 34_D4	r	0.613	-0.75	0.56	0.44
	P-Value	0.196	0.08	0.25	0.38

Figure 1

Figure 2.

Figure 3

## DISCUSSION

Autologous peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) transplantation has been an important method of support following the use of high-dose chemotherapy with or without the administration of total body irradiation.<sup>5</sup> Peripheral blood stem cells as a source are better than bone marrow in transplantation as recovery after myeloablative therapy is faster and is associated with less morbidity.<sup>28</sup> Pluripotent stem cells express the cell surface marker antigen CD34. This marker is the indicator most frequently used in clinical practice to determine the extent and efficiency of peripheral blood stem cell collections.<sup>20</sup> In general, a collection of CD 34 target level of  $2 \times 10^6$  cells/kg is desired for successful engraftment.<sup>29</sup>

Over a decade many efforts were made to find helpful parameters to predict an optimal time for initiating an efficient peripheral blood stem cell collection so that adequate stem cells are collected. Predictive factors for effective harvesting have been broadly studied.<sup>24</sup> Among them peripheral blood mononuclear cells and peripheral CD34 cell count are analyzed in most of the studies. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells are taken as the sum of lymphocytes and monocytes which has showed correlation with the final CD34 harvest in some

studies. Among these factors, the monitoring of PB CD34<sup>+</sup> cell concentrations by flow cytometry has emerged as a reliable method to predict the success/failure rate of collections.<sup>29-31</sup> Although it has been accepted that the CD34<sup>+</sup> cell count in the peripheral blood before leukapheresis is the best parameter for predicting CD34<sup>+</sup> cell yield, other more easily measurable parameters like peripheral blood mononuclear cells (MNC) are still used to guide the clinical practice of PBSC collection.

In our study, we analyzed the correlation between peripheral blood MNC with apheresis CD34 and also between peripheral CD34 count and apheresis CD34. The correlation coefficient (r) between peripheral blood MNC and apheresis CD34 of the corresponding days was weak. The correlation was not statistically significant. The above results show that peripheral blood MNC was analogous indicating that no reliable prediction can be done for CD34 cells collected in apheresis. Then we correlated peripheral blood CD34 and apheresis CD34 on the corresponding days of collection. There was a good correlation (moderate to strong) between the above variables which was statistically significant.

Our study was in comparison with Yang et al<sup>32</sup> who reported that circulating monocyte count after

mobilization is a helpful parameter to determine adequate stem cell collection. 60 consecutive first mobilization attempts and 145 leukapheresis procedures for patients with hematological malignancies were analyzed to investigate potential predictive parameters for the successful collection of autologous peripheral blood stem cells. Correlation analysis of pre-leukapheresis circulating white blood cells (WBC), Monocytes (MO) and Mononuclear cells (MNC) and the final CD34 cell yield showed that WBC ( $\rho = 0.385$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ), MO ( $\rho = 0.415$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) counts had positive correlation other than MNC ( $p = 0.227$ ) which showed that MNC is not a good predictive marker of CD34 apheresis yield. Ishii et al showed that only peripheral blood MNC on the first day of harvest predicts successful apheresis.<sup>33</sup> In Armitage et al, the white cell count on the day of harvest showed only a weak correlation with the total number of CD34 cells in the collection ( $r = 0.30$ ) which was similar to our results of correlation between MNC and apheresis CD34. In contrast, the absolute number of circulating CD34+ cells strongly correlated with the CD34 cell and CFU-GM yield of the corresponding apheresis product<sup>34</sup> which was in comparison with our study.

A study by Hollingsworth et al and his colleagues analyzed the relationship between CD34+ cell count in the peripheral blood (PB) and the leukapheresis product CD34+ cell yield. Other correlations like WBC and mononuclear cell (MNC) concentration to product CD34+ cell yield/kg were also analyzed. The best predictor of product yield of CD34+ was the PB CD34+ cell concentration with  $r = 0.93$ .<sup>35</sup> MR Boulassel et al also showed a weak correlation between peripheral blood MNC and CD34 stem cell yield.<sup>36</sup> Schots et al<sup>37</sup> reported similar results with fewer patients. Haas et al<sup>38</sup> also demonstrated a clear correlation between circulating CD34 cells and their numbers in the resulting harvest, but in a population that consisted entirely of lymphoma patients. Hence our study showed that there was a statistically insignificant very weak to weak correlation between peripheral MNC and apheresis CD34, but there was a statistically significant strong correlation between peripheral CD34 and apheresis CD34. In some transplant setups where flow

cytometry is not available, still MNC is used as a predictor for timing of apheresis and also stem cell yield. But our study results show that MNC is not a good parameter to assess the timing of apheresis and it cannot indicate the adequacy of stem cell yield. Hence peripheral CD34 cells by flow cytometry should be considered during autologous HSCT which is the strong predictor for initiating stem cell collection.

## CONCLUSION

Peripheral blood MNC correlates poorly with yield CD34 as it gave analogous results. Even though peripheral blood MNC is an easily available parameter which can be done in all centers it is not a reliable parameter for successful mobilization. Peripheral blood CD34 is a very useful predictor of timing of apheresis and adequate CD34 collection. Limitations of our study are a small sample size, and an uncontrolled retrospective single center study.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge the Department of Pathology and Transfusion Medicine for their valuable support.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors do not have any conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Thomas ED, Storb R. Technique for human marrow grafting. *Blood*. 1970; 36(4):507-15.
2. Thomas DB. The infusion of human fetal liver cells. *Stem Cells*. 1993; 11 Suppl 1:66-71.
3. Körbling M, Burke P, Braine H, et al. Successful engraftment of blood derived normal hemopoietic stem cells in chronic myelogenous leukemia. *Exp Hematol*. 1981; 9(6):684-90.
4. Gluckman E, Broxmeyer HA, Auerbach AD, et al. Hematopoietic reconstitution in a patient with Fanconi's anemia by means of umbilical-cord blood from an HLA-identical sibling. *N Engl J Med*. 1989; 321(17):1174-78.
5. Kessigner A, Armitage JO, Smither DM, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for patients with lymphoma. *Blood*. 1989; 74(4): 1260-1265.
6. Attal M, Harousseau JL, Stoppa AM, et al. A prospective, randomized trial of autologous bone marrow

- transplantation and chemotherapy in multiple myeloma. *Français du Myélome*. N Engl J Med. 1996;335(2):91–97.
7. Child JA, Morgan GJ, Davies FE, et al. High-dose chemotherapy with hematopoietic stem-cell rescue for multiple myeloma. N Engl J Med. 2003;348(19):1875–83.
8. Gianni AM, Tarella C, Bregni M, et al. High-dose sequential chemoradiotherapy, a widely applicable regimen, confers survival benefit to patients with high-risk multiple myeloma. J Clin Oncol. 1994; 12(3):503–509.
9. Palumbo A, Triolo S, Argentino C, et al. Dose-intensive melphalan with stem cell support (MEL100) is superior to standard treatment in elderly myeloma patients. Blood. 1999; 94(4):1248–1253.
10. Barlogie B, Jagannath S, Vesole DH, et al. Superiority of tandem autologous transplantation over standard therapy for previously untreated multiple myeloma. Blood. 1997; 89(3):789-93.
11. Khalid Ahmed Al-Anazi (November 5th 2018). Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Multiple Myeloma in the Era of Novel Therapies, Update on Multiple Myeloma, Khalid Ahmed Al-Anazi, IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.79999. Available from: <https://www.intechopen.com/books/update-on-multiple-myeloma/hematopoietic-stem-cell-transplantation-in-multiple-myeloma-in-the-era-of-novel-therapies#B8>.
12. Philip T, Guglielmi C, Hagenbeek A, et al. Autologous bone marrow transplantation as compared with salvage chemotherapy in relapses of chemotherapy-sensitive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 1995; 333(23):1540–5.
13. Philip T, Armitage JO, Spitzer G, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation after failure of conventional chemotherapy in adults with intermediate-grade or high-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med. 1987; 316(24):1493–1498.
14. Gisselbrecht C, Glass B, Mounier N, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. J Clin Oncol. 2010; 28(27):4184–90.
15. Schmitz N, Pfistner B, Sextro M, et al. Aggressive conventional chemotherapy compared with high-dose chemotherapy with autologous haemopoietic stem-cell transplantation for relapsed chemosensitive Hodgkin's disease: a randomised trial. Lancet. 2002; 359(9323):2065–71.
16. Walker F, Roethke SK, Martin G. An overview of the rationale, process, and nursing implications of peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. Cancer Nurs. 1994; 17(2):141-8.
17. Kapustay PM. Blood cell transplantation: concepts and concerns. Semin Oncol Nurs. 1997;13(3):151-63.
18. National Cancer Institute. Bone marrow transplantation and peripheral blood stem cell transplantation fact sheet. Available at: <http://cancer.gov/cancertopics/factsheet/Therapy/bone-marrow-transplant>. Accessed July 7, 2009.
19. Hooper PJ, Santas EJ. Peripheral blood stem cell transplantation. Oncol Nurs Forum. 1993;20(8): 1215-21.
20. Shea TC, DiPersio JF. Mobilization of autologous peripheral blood hematopoietic cells for cellular therapy. In: Applebaum FR, Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Blume KG, eds. Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell; 2009:590-604
21. Zimmerman TM, Lee WJ, Bender JG, et al. Quantitative CD34 analysis may be used to guide peripheral blood stem cell harvests. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1995; 15(3): 439–44.
22. Körbling M, Huh YO, Durett A, et al. Allogeneic blood stem cell transplantation: peripheralization and yield of donor-derived primitive hematopoietic progenitor cells (CD34<sup>+</sup> Thy-1<sup>dim</sup>) and lymphoid subsets, and possible predictors of engraftment and graft-versus-host disease. Blood. 1995; 86(7):2842-8.
23. Gutensohn K, Magens MM, Kuehl P, et al. Increasing the economic efficacy of peripheral blood progenitor cell collections by monitoring peripheral blood CD34<sup>+</sup> concentrations. Transfusion. 2010;50(3):656–62.
24. Davis BH, Foucar K, Szczarkowski W, et al. U.S.-Canadian Consensus recommendations on the immunophenotypic analysis of hematologic neoplasia by flow cytometry: medical indications. Cytometry. 1997;30(5): 249–63.
25. Duncan N, Hewetson M, Powles R, et al. Mehta. An economic evaluation of peripheral blood stem cell transplantation as an alternative to autologous bone marrow transplantation in multiple myeloma. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1996;18(6): 1175–8.
26. Fontão-Wendel R, Lazar A, Melges S, et al. The absolute number of circulating CD34<sup>+</sup> cells as the best predictor of peripheral hematopoietic stem cell yield. J Hematother. 1999; 8(3): 255–62.
27. Boulassel MR. Associations Among White Blood Cells, CD34<sup>+</sup> Cells And GMCFU in Predicting The Optimal Timing of Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Collections by Apheresis. J Assoc Physicians India. 2008; 56: 96-8.
28. Henon PR, Liang H, Beck-Wirth G, et al. Comparison of hematopoietic and immune recovery after autologous bone marrow or blood stem cell transplants. Bone Marrow Transplant. 1993; 9(4): 285–91.
29. Allan DS, Keeney M, Howson-Jan K, et al. Number of viable CD34<sup>+</sup> cells reinfused predicts engraftment in autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2002; 29(12): 967–72.

30. Trébédén-Negre H, Rosenzweig M, Tanguy ML, et al. Delayed recovery after autologous peripheral hematopoietic cell transplantation: potential effect of a high number of total nucleated cells in the graft. *Transfusion*. 2010; 50 (12): 2649–59.
31. Schots R, Van Riet I, Damiaens S, et al. The absolute number of circulating CD34<sup>+</sup> cells predicts the number of hematopoietic stem cells that can be collected by apheresis. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1996;17 (4):509–15
32. Yang S, Chen H, Chen Y, et al. Dynamics of monocyte count: A good predictor for timing of peripheral blood stem cell collection. *J Clin Apher*. 2012; 27(4):193-9.
33. Ishii Y, Fujisawa S, Nigauri C, et al. Peripheral Blood Monocyte Count is a Predictor of Successful Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Harvest After Chemo-Mobilization in Patients with Malignant Lymphoma. *Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus*. 2018; 34(2):347-349.
34. Armitage S, Hargreaves R, Samson D, et al. CD34 counts to predict the adequate collection of peripheral blood progenitor cells. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1997;20(7):587-91.
35. Hollingsworth K, Zimmerman T, Karrison T, et al. The CD34+ cell concentration in peripheral blood predicts CD34+ cell yield in the leukapheresis product. *Cytotherapy*. 1999;1(2):141-6.
36. Boulassel MR. Associations Among White Blood Cells, CD34+ Cells And GMCFU in Predicting The Optimal Timing of Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Collections by Apheresis. *J Assoc Physicians India*. 2008;56:96-8.
37. Schots R, Van Riet I, Damiaens S, *et al*. The absolute number of circulating CD34<sup>+</sup> cells predicts the number of hematopoietic stem cells that can be collected by apheresis. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1996; 17(4): 509–15.
38. Haas R, Möhle R, Frühauf S, et al. Patient characteristics associated with successful mobilizing and autografting of peripheral blood progenitor cells in malignant lymphoma. *Blood*. 1994; 83(12): 3787–94.