

*Clinical trial*

## Evaluation of the Effect of Gabapentin in the Management of Uremic Pruritus in Hemodialysis Patients

**Running Title:** Gabapentin Relieves Uremic Pruritus

Jalal Azmandian<sup>1</sup>, Mosayyeb Kouhkan<sup>2</sup>, Seyed Mojtaba Sohrevardi<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Physiology Research Center and School of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Pharmaceutics Research Center, School of Pharmacy, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran and Stroke Prevention and Atherosclerosis Research Center, University of Western Ontario, London, Canada

### ARTICLE INFO

**Received:** 07/09/2023

**Accepted:** 08/05/2023

#### \*Corresponding author

Seyed Mojtaba  
Sohrevardi, Department of  
Clinical Pharmacy,  
Faculty of Pharmacy,  
Shahid Sadoughi  
University of Medical  
Sciences, Yazd, Iran and  
Stroke Prevention and  
Atherosclerosis Research  
Center, University of  
Western Ontario, London,  
Canada  
Tel: +035-36732026

**E-mail:**  
[smsohrevardi@yahoo.com](mailto:smsohrevardi@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

**Background:** Gabapentin is an antiepileptic agent that has analgesic properties in neuropathic pain. Given that few studies have assessed the effect of the low dose of gabapentin on uremic pruritus, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of gabapentin on pruritus of hemodialysis patients.

**Materials and Methods:** This clinical trial study was conducted on dialysis patients who were referred to Shafa Hospital, Kerman. In this regard, 40 patients consumed 100 mg of gabapentin for one week. Then patients did not take any medication within the washout period and consumed a 100 mg placebo for one week. Assessment of pruritus severity was done by visual analog scale (VAS). Hematocrit, calcium, phosphor, creatinine, and albumin were evaluated. These measurements were done before and after treatments with placebo and gabapentin.

**Results:** The main places of pruritus location in dialysis patients were the back (90 %), abdomen (80%), shoulder (80%), and head (70%). The mean pruritus severity before treatment, and after treatment with placebo and gabapentin, was  $8.3 \pm 1.5$ ,  $6.73 \pm 1.17$ , and  $4.58 \pm 1.50$ , respectively. Significant difference was seen before and after treatment, in terms of pruritus severity ( $p < 0.1$ ). In addition, there was a significant difference between gabapentin and placebo groups, regarding the severity of pruritus ( $p < 0.1$ ). No significant difference was seen before and after treatment, regarding biochemical parameters ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** According to the findings, it seems that gabapentin can be an effective and safe treatment for pruritus in patients on hemodialysis. The therapeutic approach chosen for these patients is based on the neuropathic hypothesis.

**Keywords:** Gabapentin, Hemodialysis, Pruritus

**Citation:** Azmandian J, Kouhkan M, Sohrevardi SM. Evaluation of the Effect of Gabapentin in Management of Uremic Pruritus in Hemodialysis Patients. *Adv Pharmacol Ther J.* 2023;3(2): 96-102.



## **Introduction**

Uremic pruritus is an ordinary and serious symptom for patients with renal failure. It is a dermal disorder experienced by patients who suffer from chronic and progressive renal failure (1-3). More than half of patients under dialysis including hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis and nearly 30% of the sick individuals with kidney failure who are not under hemodialysis complain of pruritus (4). Pruritus may be classified as peripheral including neuropathic and dermal, central including psychogenic, neuropathic, neurological, or mixed origins. Although the incidence of pruritus is common, there is little knowledge of laboratory and clinical findings (1). Moreover, the mechanism of uremic pruritus is not clear (1). Various hypotheses have been suggested to illustrate the pathogenesis of uremic pruritus. Factors including involvement of the peripheral nervous system (1) may also be played. So far various treatments with different mechanisms were proposed, however, neither treatment was able to cure this debilitating complication (1, 5).

Gabapentin is a powerful anticonvulsant drug (6,7) and has a similar structure to gamma aminobutyric acid neurotransmitter (8-11). The mechanism of action is unclear (6). This drug was initially approved only for use in the control of seizures (6). It was soon used in the treatment of chronic pain syndromes, particularly neuropathic pain (6). Since pain and pruritus have the same mechanism and gabapentin is effective for treating neuropathic pain, the use of gabapentin may be appropriate to treat pruritus in patients on hemodialysis (12). It seems that the efficacy of

gabapentin on uremic pruritus is through the neural calcium channels (1, 13).

In addition, gabapentin is removed primarily via the kidney. It is also eliminated by hemodialysis. The use of this medication was associated with a longer half-life in patients on hemodialysis compared to those with normal kidney function; therefore, these patients require lower doses at less frequent intervals compared to patients with normal kidney function. In addition, accumulation of gabapentin in plasma and diffusion to cerebrospinal fluid in patients with renal insufficiency has been also observed (12).

The proposed dose of gabapentin for stabilization of the serum level of hemodialysis patients is 200-300 mg for every dialysis session. However, doses of 100 mg have also been suggested to reduce the risk of neurotoxicity (14-17). Given that few studies have assessed this regard and the effect of gabapentin with a dose of 100 mg on uremic pruritus, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of 100 mg gabapentin on uremic pruritus of hemodialysis patients.

## **Materials and Methods**

This clinical trial study was conducted on dialysis patients who were referred to Shafa Hospital, Kerman, Iran to evaluate gabapentin efficacy on pruritus of hemodialysis patients. The Current study was ratified by the medical ethics committee of Kerman University.

The Inclusion and exclusion criteria were as follows.

### **Inclusion criteria**

Age range between 18- 80 years old.

Absence of liver disease.

Absence of skin diseases associated with pruritus.

No use of antacids.

### Exclusion criteria

Incidence of side effects.

Patient dissatisfaction.

Not taking medication.

Taking antihistamines or other medication for treating their purities other than gabapentin.

At the beginning of the study, 50 patients with dialysis were chosen. After the evaluation of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 40 dialysis patients were entered into the study. In this regard, patients consumed 100 mg of gabapentin for one week. Then patients did not take any medication within the washout period and in the next step consumed 100 mg placebo for one week (size and color were similar to medication).

Assessment of pruritus severity was done by visual analog scale (VAS). It as a psychometric measuring tool was developed to document the features of the disease- symptoms. VAS records the severity of pruritus scores on a 0 to 10.

After taking blood from patients, hematocrit (HCT) was assessed by the electrical impedance method Sysmex k-1000 instrument. After separating serum via Eppendorf centrifuge, parameters including calcium (Ca), phosphor (P), creatinine (Cr), and albumin were evaluated before and after treatment (gabapentin and placebo groups) through Pars Azmoon Kit. These measurements were done at the end of each of the three phases.

In addition, data including age, sex, duration, and cause of dialysis were extracted from medical records.

### Statistical analysis

Data were entered into SPSS, version 19. Friedman test and Willcoxon signed ranks test were used for the analysis of data.  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant

### Results

In the current study, the age range of patients was 30-75 years with a mean age of  $59 \pm 13.05$  years old. The mean duration of dialysis was  $30.4 \pm 35$  months. Among patients, 40 % were female and 60% male. The most important cause of dialysis in patients was diabetes (50%), hypertension (HTN) (10%), infection (10%), kidney stone (10%) cyst (10%), and no cause (10%).

**Table 1** shows the location of pruritus in dialysis patients.

**Table 1.** Pruritus location in dialysis patients

Location of pruritus	Number (percent)
Head	32 (80)
shoulder	32 (80)
Back	36 (90)
Hand	28 (70)
Foot	28 (70)
Face	20 (50)
Abdomen	32 (80)
Chest	24 (60)

As shown in **Table 1**, the main places of pruritus location in dialysis patients were the back (90 %), abdomen (80 %), shoulder (80 %), and head (70 %).

A comparison of patients in 3 groups in terms of parameters including calcium, phosphor, creatinine, hematocrit, and albumin is shown in **Table 2**.

**Table 2.** Comparison of patients before and after treatment in terms of parameters including calcium, phosphorous, creatinine, hematocrit, and albumin

Variables	Mean± SD (Before treatment)	Mean± SD (After treatment)	
		Gabapentin group	Placebo group
Calcium (mg/dl)	8.9 ± 0.6	9.30 ± 0.58	9.2 ± 0.7
Phosphor (mg/dl)	5.6 ± 2.2	5.7 ± 2.08	5.3 ± 1.9
Creatinine ( mg/ dl)	9.29 ± 3.56	8.81± 4.27	8.50 ± 3.8
Hematocrit (%)	35.7 ± 5.4	38.5 ± 5.10	39.1 ± 6.3
Albumin (gr/dl)	3.53 ± 0.7	3.3 ± 0.48	3.7 ± 0.62

As demonstrated in **Table 2**, no significant difference was seen before and after treatment, regarding variables including calcium, phosphor, creatinine, Hematocrit, and albumin ( $p > 0.05$ ).

The severity of pruritus in patients before and after treatment is shown in **Table 3**.

**Table 3.** The mean pruritus severity in patients before and after treatment

Variable	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	P-value
Before treatment	8.3 ± 1.5	7	10	0.005
Treatment with gabapentin	4.58 ± 1.50	1.86	6.43	
Treatment with placebo	6.73 ± 1.17	4.89	8.57	

As shown in **Table 3**, a significant difference was seen before and after treatment, in terms of pruritus severity ( $p < 0.005$ ). In addition, there was a significant difference between gabapentin and

placebo groups, regarding the severity of pruritus ( $p < 0.005$ ).

### Discussion

Pain and pruritus are associated with undesirable sensations which reduce the quality of life in these patients (12). The sensation of pruritus and pain motivates the same pattern of cortical areas of the brain including the motor cortex; but, no central itch center has been recognized (18). When a pruritogen innervates unmyelinated C-nerve fiber endings, pruritus occurs, which is anatomically the same as pain way. After stimulating the C-nerve fibers, impulses were transferred to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, finally activating the somatosensory cortex and translating into the sensation of pruritus (19). Pruritus can be divided into 2 types; peripheral (proprioceptive) and central (20, 21). It is postulated that a combination of neuropathic and neurogenic origin are involved. The neuropathic assumption is that the peripheral nerve damage caused via neuropathy which happens in more than 65% of patients under hemodialysis, leads to reduce the threshold of perception (12).

In the current study, the mean pruritus before treatment, and after treatment with placebo and gabapentin was  $8.3 \pm 1.5$ ,  $6.73 \pm 1.17$ , and  $4.58 \pm 1.505$ , respectively. In addition, there was a significant difference between gabapentin and placebo groups, regarding the severity of pruritus. It seems that gabapentin can be useful for pruritus therapy.

Gunal et al., assessed the use of gabapentin for the treatment of pruritus in hemodialysis patients (6). They enrolled 25 patients under hemodialysis and randomly assigned them to two groups. One

group consumed gabapentin for 4 weeks and the other group placebo for 4 weeks. The severity of pruritus was measured by the visual analog scale. These findings showed that the mean pruritus score before treatment, after placebo and gabapentin administration was  $8.4 \pm 0.94$ ,  $7.6 \pm 2.6$ , and  $1.2 \pm 1.8$ , respectively. They concluded that gabapentin can be considered a safe and effective medication to treat pruritus in hemodialysis patients. The findings of this study were consistent with our study. It is noteworthy that gabapentin was impressive in all patients, except one. It may be due to that majority of cases had a neuropathic origin and the minority of them had a neurogenic origin. It indicates that one or both mechanisms such as neuropathy and neurogenic are involved.

Vila et al., evaluated the role of gabapentin in the treatment of pruritus and reported gabapentin as an effective option. They also reported that gabapentin seems to be a well-tolerated secondary therapy option for patients with pruritus who did not respond to conventional therapy. In addition, they suggested a dose of 100- 300 mg of gabapentin (12). Maciel et al. assessed the effectiveness of gabapentin in the treatment of pruritus. In this regard, 20 patients with pruritus were treated with 300 mg of gabapentin. The findings showed that the use of gabapentin is responsible for a significant reduction of pruritus. It seems that gabapentin is a beneficial option for the cure of severe pruritus caused by nostalgia paresthetica (9). Gunal et al., assessed the effect of gabapentin on pruritus in hemodialysis patients. The findings showed that the mean score of pruritus before the study was  $8.4 \pm 0.94$ . The

mean score of pruritus after taking the placebo and intervention was  $7.6 \pm 2.6$  and  $1.2 \pm 1.8$ , respectively. According to the findings of this study, gabapentin is an effective and safe medication for curing pruritus in hemodialysis patients, in accordance with our study. These findings supported the neuropathic hypothesis of pruritus in these patients (6).

Razeghi et al. evaluated the efficacy of gabapentin in uremic pruritus in patients undergoing hemodialysis. In this regard, 100 mg gabapentin was given in each session of hemodialysis for four weeks. In the next step, a placebo was given to these patients for 4 weeks. Between two treatment phases, one week was assigned as a washout period and the VAS score was recorded. The findings showed that the mean pruritus score was  $6.44 \pm 8.4$ ,  $15 \pm 11.2$ , and  $81.11 \pm 11.07$  during gabapentin taking, washout, and placebo phases, respectively. Based on the findings of this study, gabapentin is an effective agent for treating pruritus (1). In addition, the administration of 100 mg gabapentin was preferable to 300 mg, because of more cost-beneficial and fewer complications.

Naini et al. evaluated the effect of gabapentin in the treatment of hemodialysis patients with pruritus (22). These patients consumed 400 mg of gabapentin and a placebo for 4 weeks. Efficacy was measured with a VAS score (0-10). The mean score of VAS at the baseline was  $7.2 \pm 2.3$ . Moreover, the mean score of VAS in the placebo and gabapentin groups was  $6.7 \pm 2.6$  and  $1.5 \pm 1.8$ , respectively. These findings indicate a decrease in pruritus after intervention than placebo. Lau et al. assessed the effect of gabapentin on pruritus in hemodialysis patients and reported that a dose of

100 mg of gabapentin after hemodialysis decreases adverse events in these patients (23). Based on the findings of this study, gabapentin is a promising medication for the treatment of uremic pruritus. However, further studies are needed to establish the appropriate dosing in patients on hemodialysis.

In addition, there was no significant difference between the 3 groups (before treatment and after treatment), regarding calcium, phosphor, and creatinine in our study. Freethi et al. reported that the mean values of creatinine, calcium, and phosphorus in patients with chronic kidney disease were  $4.9 \pm 2.23$  mg/dl,  $9.8 \pm 0.456$  mg/dl, and  $4.19 \pm 0.404$  mg/dl respectively, which was higher than the control group (24). The difference between our study in comparison to Freethi's study was the absence of a control group. Moreover, we used gabapentine medication, while Freethi et al., and did not use any intervention. Gunal et al., assessed the efficacy of gabapentin for the treatment of pruritus in hemodialysis patients. The findings showed that there was no significant difference before and after treatment, regarding plasma levels of phosphate, calcium, albumin, dialysis efficiency, and parathyroid hormone which were consistent with our study (6). Considering chronic hemodialysis, a relation was seen between serum chemical biomarkers including serum phosphorous, calcium, and calcium-phosphor product with the survival of patient individuals. They reported that a suitable level of the calcium-phosphor product may recover the survival of patients on chronic hemodialysis (25), however, we did not consider the survival of hemodialysis patients.

Furthermore, the main place of pruritus location in dialysis patients was back. Maciel et al. assessed the efficacy of gabapentin in pruritus therapy and reported that the most common symptoms in these patients were pruritus, macula on the back, and back pain (9). Simon et al., reported that the back, face, and arms are the most localized itch (26).

### **Conclusion**

According to the findings of the current study, it seems that gabapentin can be an effective and safe treatment for pruritus in patients on hemodialysis. The therapeutic approach chosen for these patients is based on the neuropathic hypothesis.

**Conflict of interest:** There is no conflict of interest.

**Funding:** No

**Acknowledgments:** We thank the staff at Shafa Hospital for your cooperation in our research.

**Authors' contribution:** SMS contributed to the main idea of the article and as a supervisor. JA helped as a treating physician. MK is a pharmacy student.

### **References**

1. Razeghi E, Eskandari D, Ganji M. Gabapentin and Uremic Pruritus in Hemodialysis Patients. *Renal Failure*, 31:85–90, 2009
2. Lugon JR. Uremic pruritus: A review. *Hemodialysis International*. 2005;9:180–188.
3. Schwartz IF, Iaina A. Uremic pruritus. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 1999;14:834–839.
4. Urbonas A, et al. Uremic pruritus—an update. *Am J Nephrol*. 2001;21:343–350.
5. Mettang T, Pauli-Magnus C, Alscher DM. Uremic pruritus—new perspectives and insights from recent trials. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2002;17:1558–1563.
6. Gunal A, Ozalp G, Kurtulus Yoldas T, Yesil Gunal S. Gabapentin therapy for pruritus in haemodialysis patients: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* (2004) 19: 3137–3139

7. Rose MA, Kam PC. Gabapentin: pharmacology and its use in pain management. *Anaesthesia* 2002; 57: 451–462
8. Kimos P, Biggs C, Mah J, Heo G, Rashid S, Thie NM, et al. Analgesic action of gabapentin on chronic pain in the masticatory muscles: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2007;127:151-60.
9. Maciel A. Efficacy of gabapentin in the improvement of pruritus and quality of life of patients with notalgia paresthetica. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2014;89(4):570-5.
10. Rowbotham MC. Treatment of neuropathic pain: perspectives on current options. In: *Pain - an update review: refresher course syllabus*. Washington, D.C: IASP; 2005. 107-19.
11. Gidal BE. New and emerging treatment options for neuropathic pain. *Am J Manag Care*. 2006;12:S269-78.
12. Vila T, Gommer J, C Scates A. Role of Gabapentin in the Treatment of Uremic Pruritus. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 2008; 42:1-9.
13. Maneti L, et al. Gabapentin in the treatment of uremic itch: An index case and a pilot evaluation. *J Nephrol*. 2005; 18:86–91
14. Kimmel M, et al. The role of micro-inflammation in the pathogenesis of uremic pruritus in hemodialysis patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21:749–755.
15. Kam CA. Gabapentin: Pharmacology and its use in pain management. *Anaesthesia*. 2002;57:451–462.
16. Taylor CP, et al. A summary of mechanistic hypotheses of gabapentin pharmacology. *Epilepsy Research*. 1998;29:233–249.
17. Bassilios N, Launay-Vacher V, Khoury N, Rondeau E, Deray G, Sraer JD. Gabapentin neurotoxicity in a chronic hemodialysis patient. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2001;16:2112–2113.
18. Drzezga A, Darsow U, Treede RD, et al. Central activation by histamine-induced itch: analogies to pain processing: a correlational analysis of O - 15 H<sub>2</sub>O positron emission tomography studies. *Pain* 2001;92:295-305.
19. Vila A, Kosmadakis GC, Zerefos N. Uremic pruritus. *Int J Artif Organs* 2006;29:938- 43.
20. Kosmadakis GC, Zerefos N. Uremic pruritus. *Int J Artif Organs* 2006;29:938- 43.
21. Yosipovitch G, Greaves MW, Schmelz M. Itch. *Lancet* 2003;361:690- 4
22. Naini AE, Harandi AA, Khanbabapour S, Shahidi S, Seirafiyani S, Mohseni M. Gabapentin: a promising drug for the treatment of uremic pruritus. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl*. 2007;18(3):378–81.
23. Lau T. Gabapentin for uremic pruritus in hemodialysis patients: a qualitative systematic review. *Canadian Journal of Kidney Health and Disease* 2016 3:14
24. Freethi R. Study of serum levels of calcium, phosphorus and alkaline phosphatase in chronic kidney disease. *International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences*, 2016, 5, 3:49-56
25. Kahnooji M. Relationship between Calcium-Phosphorus Product and Severity of Valvular Heart Insufficiency in Patients Undergoing Chronic Hemodialysis. *J Teh Univ Heart Ctr* ; 2010; 78-82
26. Simonsen E. Treatment of Uremic Pruritus: A Systematic Review. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2017;70(5):638-655