



Luteolin-Treated 4T1 Cell-Derived Exosomes as Novel Antiproliferative Agents *In Vitro* and *In Vivo*

Pardis Heidari ¹, Razieh Hosseini ^{2*} and Nika Nasihatgar ¹

1. Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, SR.C., Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

2. Department of Veterinary Basic Sciences, SR.C., Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Background: Breast cancer is the most widespread malignancy among women worldwide. Luteolin, a flavonoid, has demonstrated anti-cancer effects by triggering apoptosis in tumor cells. Exosomes are gaining much attention for cancer therapeutic approaches due to multitude of beneficial effects. This study is aimed to investigate the possible potential of exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells to ameliorate tumor in comparison to luteolin treatment only.

Methods: In this study, 4T1 cell culture was exposed to luteolin. Following exosome extraction, they were characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy, dynamic light scattering and western blot analysis. MTT assay was performed in order to evaluate cell viability after exposure to different concentrations of luteolin and exosomes. An *in vivo* breast cancer model was induced *via* subcutaneous injection of 4T1 cells to the BALB/C mice. After 14 days, tumor volume was measured, and expression of RhoA and ERK mRNAs were quantified by Real Time PCR.

Results: The MTT assay demonstrated that exosomes from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells at a concentration of 320 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$ reduced cell viability by approximately 70% in a dose-dependent manner. Tumor volume in the exosome-treated group decreased by 57% relative to the tumor group, while the luteolin-treated group demonstrated a 39% reduction. Furthermore, *RhoA* gene expression was substantially downregulated in the exosome-treated group, and exosomes were more effective than luteolin in reducing *ERK* gene expression.

Conclusion: Exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells effectively suppress breast cancer cell growth by reducing 4T1 cell viability and by decreasing tumor volume and downregulating tumor-associated genes *RhoA* and *ERK*. These results propose a novel therapeutic strategy for breast cancer, highlighting the promising potential of exosomes as an efficient drug delivery system.

Keywords: Breast neoplasms, Cell survival, Exosomes, Luteolin, Tumor burden

To cite this article: Heidari P, Hosseini R, Nasihatgar N. Luteolin-Treated 4T1 Cell-Derived Exosomes as Novel Antiproliferative Agents *In Vitro* and *In Vivo*. Avicenna J Med Biotech 2026;18(2):96-103.

* Corresponding author:
Razieh Hosseini, Ph.D.,
Department of Veterinary Basic
Sciences, SR.C., Islamic Azad
University, Tehran, Iran
Tel: +98 9173059160
Fax: +98 21 44865166
E-mail: razieh.hosseini@iau.ac.ir,
Hosseini_945@yahoo.com
Received: 13 Nov 2025
Accepted: 7 Feb 2026

Introduction

Breast cancer is the leading cause of tumor-related morbidity and mortality among women globally ¹. Epidemiological evidence suggests that approximately 90–95% of cancer cases are associated with lifestyle and environmental factors, while only 5–10% are attributable to inherited genetic abnormalities ². Chemotherapy and radiotherapy remain the mainstay treatment modalities for breast cancer; however, they are frequently associated with significant adverse effects that negatively impact patients' quality of life ³. Despite substantial advances in technology and pharmaceutical

interventions over the past two decades, cancer remains a major global health challenge ⁴. Consequently, there is a growing effort to develop alternative therapeutic strategies for patients who experience both physical and psychological complications as a result of conventional treatments.

Natural products and isolated bioactive compounds derived from medicinal plants have been shown to possess both cancer-preventive and therapeutic properties ³. Among these, flavonoids—a diverse class of polyphenolic compounds—have attracted considerable in-

terest in cancer research, particularly in the context of breast malignancies. Flavonoids have been reported to induce apoptosis, inhibit cell viability and proliferation, and modulate critical signaling pathways involved in breast cancer progression^{5,6}. Luteolin (3', 4', 5, 7-tetrahydroxyflavone), a naturally occurring flavonoid abundant in various fruits and vegetables, is widely recognized for its anticancer properties⁷. Its therapeutic effects are primarily attributed to its ability to induce apoptosis in malignant cells and to inhibit tumor cell proliferation in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models⁸. Furthermore, luteolin has been shown to induce cell cycle arrest at different stages, thereby effectively limiting cancer cell proliferation and metastatic potential^{9,10}.

Exosomes are small extracellular vesicles, typically 30–150 nm in diameter, that play a crucial role in inter-cellular communication. They transport a wide range of bioactive molecules, including proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids¹¹. Moreover, exosomes exhibit several advantageous properties, such as high biocompatibility, low immunogenicity, structural stability, favorable pharmacokinetics, efficient biodistribution, and effective cellular uptake, which make them promising candidates for anticancer therapies¹². Indeed, exosomes can be engineered to deliver specific cargos that target oncogenic signaling pathways, thereby enhancing their therapeutic efficacy in cancer treatment^{13,14}. Relying on the aforementioned facts, this study explores the combined impact of luteolin and exosome on prevention of breast cancer progression. In this regard, anti-cancer effect of exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells was evaluated both *in vitro* using MTT assay and *in vivo* using tumor volume measurement and expression of *RhoA* and *ERK* genes.

Materials and Methods

Cell line and cell culture

The 4T1 mouse breast cancer cell line was obtained from the Pasteur Institute (Tehran, Iran). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/ml and streptomycin 100 µg/ml) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The culture medium was refreshed every two days, and cells were passaged upon reaching approximately 85% confluency. Following the third passage, cells were seeded into appropriate culture plates and enumerated for subsequent experiments.

Exosome extraction

Exosomes were isolated from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells. Cells were cultured in medium supplemented with 10% exosome-depleted FBS, obtained by overnight ultracentrifugation. After 48 hr of incubation, the conditioned medium was collected, and exosomes were isolated using sequential centrifugation steps at 300×g for 10 min, 2,000×g for 10 min, 10,000×g for 30 min, and 110,000×g for 2 hr. The resulting exosome pellet

was resuspended in Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS), and the protein concentration was determined using the Lowry assay (Bio-Rad, USA).

Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM) and Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS): Exosome morphology was characterized using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) and Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). Exosome size was determined by DLS using a laser wavelength of 532 nm. For FESEM analysis, exosome samples were resuspended in 1.3% paraformaldehyde, and a drop of the suspension was placed onto a clean coverslip. The samples were then washed three times with deionized water and air-dried overnight at room temperature. Subsequently, all samples were coated with a 2-5 nm layer of gold by sputtering and examined using a Mira 3-XMU scanning electron microscope (TESCAN, Czechia) operated at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV.

Western blot assessment: Exosomal proteins were extracted using RIPA lysis buffer (Cytomatin Gene, Iran) supplemented with 1% protease inhibitor cocktail (Kia Zist, Iran). Equal amounts of protein were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and subsequently transferred onto a Polyvinylidene Difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The membrane was incubated with primary antibodies against CD63 and β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA), followed by horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad, USA). Protein bands were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Parstous, Iran), and band intensities were quantified using ImageJ software.

Cell viability analysis

Cell viability was assessed using the MTT assay. Briefly, 1×10⁴ cells were seeded into 96-well plates and allowed to adhere for 24 hr. The cells were then treated with increasing concentrations of luteolin (5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 µM) or exosomes (20, 40, 80, 160, and 320 µg/ml) for 48 and 72 hr. Following treatment, 100 µl of 0.1% MTT solution was added to each well, and the plates were incubated for an additional 4 hr. The supernatant was subsequently removed, and 100 µl of Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to solubilize the formed formazan crystals. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm using a spectrophotometer. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

Animal model

All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with the ethical guidelines approved by the Ethics Committee of Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch. A total of 24 female BALB/c mice, weighing approximately 20±2 g, were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Center of the Pasteur Institute. The animals were housed individually in cages with separate food and water containers and were provided ad libitum access to standard laboratory chow and water. Mice were maintained under controlled environ-

mental conditions, including a 12-hr light/dark cycle, 50% relative humidity, and an ambient temperature of 23°C, for one week prior to experimentation to allow acclimatization and reduce stress.

A murine breast cancer model was established by subcutaneous injection of 5×10^5 4T1 cells suspended in 50 μ l of phosphate-buffered saline into the right flank of each mouse. Treatment was initiated once tumors became palpable. The animals were randomly allocated into four groups: a control group, an untreated tumor group, a luteolin-treated group receiving intraperitoneal injections of luteolin (50 mg/kg; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) four times over a two-week period, and an exosome-treated group administered exosomes (20 μ g in 100 μ l) intraperitoneally on the same schedule. Tumor volume was measured every three days using calipers on days 1 and 14. At the end of the two-week treatment period, mice were euthanized, and tumors were excised for further analyses.

Gene expression analysis by real-time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from tumor samples stored at -80°C using TRIzol reagent (Kiazist, Iran). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized by reverse transcription in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions using a cDNA Synthesis Kit (Parstous, Iran). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed to evaluate the expression levels of the *RhoA* and *ERK* genes using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Addbio, South Korea). Primer sequences were designed using the NCBI Primer-BLAST tool and are presented in table 1. *GAPDH* served as the internal

Table 1. PCR primers for the assessed genes

Primer	Sequence
<i>GAPDH</i>	Forward 5'-CAGAACATCATCCCAGCCTCC-3'
<i>GAPDH</i>	Reverse 5'-TTGGCAGGTTTCTCAAGACGG-3'
<i>RhoA</i>	Forward 5'-CTTCAGCAAGGACCAGTTCCCA-3'
<i>RhoA</i>	Reverse 5'-GGCGGTCATAATCTTCTCTGTCC-3'
<i>ERK1</i>	Forward 5'-CGACAGATGAGCCAGTG-3'
<i>ERK1</i>	Reverse 5'-AGAGAAGGAGCAGGTAGG-3'

reference gene. Relative gene expression levels were normalized to *GAPDH* and calculated using the comparative $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method. All qRT-PCR reactions were carried out in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Data obtained from three independent experiments are expressed as mean \pm Standard Deviation (SD). Differences among groups were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with GraphPad Prism software version 9.1.1. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characterization of exosomes

DLS analysis indicated that the average diameter of 4T1-derived exosomes was approximately 120 nm (Figure 1A). As shown in figure 1B, the isolated vesicles exhibited a spherical morphology consistent with the characteristic features of exosomes. In addition, the particle population was relatively monodispersed, with




Figure 1. Characterization of exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells. A) Size distribution of exosomes determined by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). B) Representative Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) image showing the morphology of 4T1-derived exosomes.

sizes predominantly below 100 nm.

Expression of CD63 marker in 4T1-derived exosomes

Western blot analysis was performed to confirm the presence of exosomes in the isolated supernatant and to assess CD63 expression. The analysis demonstrated positive staining for CD63, a well-established exosomal marker. β -Actin was employed as a loading control to normalize protein levels, ensuring uniform sample loading across the gel (Figure 2).

Exosomes substantially reduce the survival of 4T1 cancer cells relative to luteolin

MTT assay results indicated that luteolin at 80 μ M and exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells at 320 μ g/ μ l reduced cancer cell viability by 60 and 70%, respectively. Notably, the exosomes induced a greater decrease in cell survival than luteolin alone, producing the most pronounced reduction in 4T1 cell viability among the tested conditions. Both luteolin and the exosomes exhibited a concentration-dependent effect, with cancer cell survival progressively declining as the dose increased (Figures 3 and 4).

Exosomes reduce tumor volume more effectively than luteolin

On day 1, there were no substantial differences in




Figure 2. Western blot analysis of exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells. CD63 expression was detected, with β -actin serving as the loading control.




Figure 3. Effects of luteolin and exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells on cell viability. 4T1 cells were treated with increasing concentrations of luteolin and exosomes for 48 hr. Luteolin (80 μ M) and exosomes (320 μ g/ μ l) reduced cell viability by approximately 60% and 70%, respectively, in a dose-dependent manner. Exosome treatment showed greater cytotoxic efficacy compared to luteolin alone. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 4. Effects of luteolin and exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells on cell viability after 72 hr of exposure. Treatment with luteolin (80 μ M) and exosomes (80 μ g/ μ l) resulted in a marked, concentration-dependent reduction in 4T1 cell viability. Exosome treatment demonstrated greater cytotoxic efficacy than luteolin alone. Different letters above the bars indicate statistically significant.

tumor volume between the treated and untreated groups (Figure 5A). After 14 days, tumor size increased markedly in the tumor group, whereas it decreased in the exosome-treated group. Tumor volume in the exosome group was reduced by approximately 57% compared with the tumor group on day 14, and by about 21% relative to its size on day 1. In contrast, the luteolin-treated group exhibited a 39% reduction in tumor volume relative to the tumor group. These findings indicate that exosome treatment was more effective in reducing tumor volume than luteolin treatment (Figure 5B).

Gene expression

Exosomes down-regulate RhoA gene expression: As illustrated in figure 6, *RhoA* gene expression was significantly elevated in the tumor group compared to the control group, highlighting its role in tumorigenesis. Treatment with luteolin led to a marked decrease in *RhoA* expression relative to the untreated tumor group, indicating luteolin’s capacity to suppress *RhoA*. Similarly, exosome treatment resulted in a substantial reduction in *RhoA* expression compared to the untreated group. Notably, 4T1-derived exosomes combined with luteolin exhibited an enhanced effect in downregulating *RhoA* gene expression (Figure 6).

Exosomes down-regulate ERK expression to a greater extent relative to luteolin: *ERK* gene expression was markedly elevated in the tumor group compared to the control group, indicating a significant association with tumorigenesis. Treatment with luteolin resulted in a notable reduction in *ERK* expression relative to the tumor group (Figure 7). However, exosome treatment induced a more pronounced and statistically significant decrease in *ERK* expression than luteolin alone, demonstrating greater efficacy in downregulating *ERK* gene expression (Figure 7).

Anticancer Effect of Luteolin-Treated 4T1 Cell-Derived Exosomes




Figure 5. Effects of luteolin and exosome treatment on tumor volume. A) No significant differences in mean tumor volume were observed among groups on day 1. B) By day 14, tumor volume was reduced by 39% in the luteolin-treated group compared with the model group, whereas exosome treatment produced the greatest inhibition, resulting in a 57% reduction relative to the model group and a 21% decrease compared with baseline (day 1). Different letters above the bars indicate statistically significant differences between groups ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 6. *RhoA* gene expression in control, tumor, luteolin-treated, and exosome-treated groups. Treatment with luteolin and exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells significantly downregulated *RhoA* expression compared with the tumor group. Different letters above the bars indicate statistically significant differences among groups ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 7. *ERK* gene expression in control, tumor, luteolin-treated, and exosome-treated groups. Luteolin treatment reduced *ERK* expression, whereas exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells elicited the most pronounced downregulation. Different letters above the bars denote statistically significant differences among groups ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Exosomes are cell-derived vesicles involved in various stages of cancer progression. They have gained recognition due to their remarkable stability in the bloodstream, non-toxicity, excellent biocompatibility,

minimal immunogenic response, and capacity for specifically targeting cancer cells^{15,16}. In general, exosomes, contain a bunch of components including small interfering RNAs, microRNAs, membrane-associated proteins, and chemotherapeutic compounds, which have been shown to induce ameliorative effects for cancer treatment¹². Moreover, exosomes provide an optimal microenvironment that supports the effective activity of immunomodulatory factors. Indeed, altered-exosomes carrying bioactive molecules have been developed as novel tools capable of activating multiple stages of the cancer immunity cycle eliciting robust cancer-specific immune responses¹².

The emergence of novel bioactive compounds of natural origin, particularly those derived from plant sources, represents a promising and reliable therapeutic strategy for the treatment of various human cancers, owing to their selective action on specific molecular targets^{17,18}. Among these compounds, flavonoids such as luteolin are notable natural antioxidants that exhibit potent anticancer activity¹⁹. Luteolin displays a broad spectrum of biological activities, including anti-inflammatory, anti-allergic, and anticancer effects, and function biochemically as either an antioxidant or a pro-oxidant. Moreover, these biological activities appear to be functionally interconnected; for instance, its anti-inflammatory effects may contribute to and reinforce its anticancer properties²⁰.

Luteolin has been shown to inhibit the proliferation of various tumor cell types *in vitro* and to effectively suppress tumor growth *in vivo*²¹. During carcinogenesis, luteolin interferes with multiple stages of tumor progression—including cell transformation, metastasis, invasion, and angiogenesis—through diverse mechanisms such as kinase inhibition, cell cycle regulation, induction of apoptosis, and downregulation of transcription factors²². Its anticancer effects are further supported by its ability to suppress the expression of oncogenic proteins, reduce tumor size and cell viability, decrease progesterin-dependent VGF secretion, and

enhance the expression of pro-apoptotic Bax. As a potent anticancer agent, luteolin demonstrates significant potential to inhibit proliferation and suppress the activation of key signaling molecules, including p-STAT3, p-EGFR, p-Akt, and p-Erk1/2, in EGF-stimulated MCF-7 breast cancer cells²³.

This study demonstrates that exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells can potentiate the anticancer effects of luteolin in breast cancer. Key outcomes, including reduced tumor size and modulation of cancer-associated markers, are likely attributable to specific alterations in the exosomal cargo. Exposure to luteolin may modify the gene expression profile of 4T1 cells, leading to the production of novel proteins, mRNAs, miRNAs, and other bioactive molecules, which are subsequently incorporated into secreted exosomes. These modified exosomes appear to suppress tumor growth more effectively than luteolin alone. In essence, the intrinsic therapeutic properties of exosomes, combined with their luteolin-induced cargo enrichment, may act synergistically or in combination with each other to enhance tumor inhibition.

Numerous studies have emphasized the synergistic potential of combining anticancer drugs with exosomes to enhance therapeutic efficacy. For instance, exosomes derived from Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) cells were shown to improve the effectiveness of sorafenib in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. However, these exosomes also contributed to sorafenib resistance by activating the HGF/c-Met/Akt signaling pathway and inhibiting sorafenib-induced apoptosis²⁴. Similarly, exosomes derived from Natural Killer (NK) cells demonstrated cytotoxic effects against melanoma cells²⁵. With growing recognition of the diverse roles of exosomes, recent research has increasingly focused on their potential as a versatile drug delivery platform, capable of overcoming many limitations associated with synthetic nanoparticles¹⁵.

Preclinical studies in animal tumor models have demonstrated that exosome-mediated delivery of chemotherapeutic agents can achieve superior antitumor effects compared with the administration of free drugs. For example, in a mouse model of colon adenocarcinoma, doxorubicin-loaded exosomes reduced tumor size more effectively than doxorubicin alone without detectable side effects²⁶. Similarly, Taxol-loaded Mesenchymal Stem Cell (MSC)-derived exosomes were used to treat metastatic breast cancer, resulting in a greater than 60% reduction in the weight of primary subcutaneous tumors following intravenous administration²⁷. Consistently, in the present study, exosome treatment led to approximately a 57% decrease in tumor volume, representing a more pronounced effect than luteolin treatment alone.

In the present study, exosomes induced a dose-dependent reduction in cell viability, achieving approximately a 70% decrease at a concentration of 320 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$. Comparable findings have been reported in other stud-

ies. For instance, exosomes derived from placental stem cells selectively reduced the viability of prostate cancer cell lines without affecting non-cancerous human prostate cells²⁸. Likewise, MSC-derived exosomes were shown to inhibit the proliferation of Y-79 cells, as demonstrated by MTT assays, and to induce apoptosis in these cells within 72 hr²⁹.

Also, it was shown that exosomes from luteolin-treated cells exert these therapeutic effects by downregulation of the *RhoA* and *ERK* genes whereas the expression of both genes was elevated in 4T1 cells. *RhoA* is a crucial member of the Rho family of small GTPases, which regulate various cellular activities³⁰. Mutations and overexpression of Rho GTPases, including *RhoA*, have been observed in cancer cells. Dysregulated *RhoA* activity contributes substantially to carcinogenesis, making Rho GTPase signaling a potential target for cancer therapy³¹. Studies have demonstrated that selectively inhibiting *RhoA* or its downstream effectors, such as ROCK, reduces proliferation and disrupts the G1-S transition in gastric cancer cell lines³². The ERK pathway regulates cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, and motility. Disruption or aberrant activation of this pathway is commonly observed in multiple cancer types. When dysregulated, this pathway activates multiple transcription factors, promoting oncogenesis^{33,34}. Recently, ERK inhibitors have been developed³⁵. In one study, inhibiting the ERK/MAPK signaling pathway by employing an MEK inhibitor substantially reduced cell migration distance³⁶.

This study, like any others, suffers from certain limitations. The findings of this study should be confirmed in future *in vivo* studies with larger study groups and different concentrations of exosomes and luteolin. Also, possible existence of luteolin within the exosomes can be checked by certain methods like HPLC and LC-Mass. Exosome characterization would be better to perform using a panel of different markers. Also, it is better to assess the progression of tumor by more cancer markers and diverse methods.

Conclusion

The research demonstrated that exosomes derived from luteolin-treated 4T1 cells effectively suppress the growth of breast cancer 4T1 cells, both *in vitro* by reducing cell viability and *in vivo* by decreasing tumor volume and downregulating the expression of tumor-associated genes, *RhoA* and *ERK*. The findings reveal potential of exosomes for augmenting anticancer effects of herbal-derived substances such as luteolin, which is advantageous for declining growing trend of breast cancer incidence throughout the globe.

Ethical approval

This study was approved by the regional ethics committee at Islamic Azad University under the specific ID number of IR.IAU.SRB.REC.1403.241.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding: Not applicable.

References

- Xu Y, Gong M, Wang Y, Yang Y, Liu S, Zeng Q. Global trends and forecasts of breast cancer incidence and deaths. *Sci Data* 2023;10(1):334.
- Rauf A, Imran M, Butt MS, Nadeem M, Peters DG, Mubarak MSJCrifs, et al. Resveratrol as an anti-cancer agent: A review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2018;58(9):1428-47.
- Zhang QY, Wang FX, Jia KK, Kong LD. Natural product interventions for chemotherapy and radiotherapy-induced side effects. *Front Pharmacol* 2018;9:1253.
- Seyed MA, Jantan I, Bukhari SNA, Vijayaraghavan KJJoA. A comprehensive review on the chemotherapeutic potential of piceatannol for cancer treatment, with mechanistic insights. *J Agric Food Chem* 2016;64(4):725-37.
- Zhang HW, Hu JJ, Fu RQ, Liu X, Zhang YH, Li J, et al. Flavonoids inhibit cell proliferation and induce apoptosis and autophagy through downregulation of PI3K γ mediated PI3K/AKT/mTOR/p70S6K/ULK signaling pathway in human breast cancer cells. *Sci Rep* 2018;8(1):11255.
- Yan W, Ma X, Zhao X, Zhang S. Baicalein induces apoptosis and autophagy of breast cancer cells via inhibiting PI3K/AKT pathway in vivo and vitro. *Drug design, development and therapy*. *Drug Des Devel Ther* 2018;12:3961-72.
- Chen Z, Kong S, Song F, Li L, Jiang H. Pharmacokinetic study of luteolin, apigenin, chrysoeriol and diosmetin after oral administration of Flos Chrysanthemi extract in rats. *Fitoterapia* 2012;83(8):1616-22.
- Krifa M, Leloup L, Ghedira K, Mousli M, Chekir-Ghedira L. Luteolin induces apoptosis in BE colorectal cancer cells by downregulating calpain, UHRF1, and DNMT1 expressions. *Nut Cancer* 2014;66(7):1220-7.
- Chen Z, Zhang B, Gao F, Shi R. Modulation of G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis by luteolin in human colon cancer cells and xenografts. *Oncol Lett* 2018;15(2):1559-65.
- Lin HW, Shen TJ, Yang NC, Wang M, Hsieh WC, Chuang CJ, et al. Luteolin reduces aqueous extract PM2.5-induced metastatic activity in H460 lung cancer cells. *Int J Med Sci* 2022;19(10):1502-9.
- Johnsen KB, Gudbergsson JM, Skov MN, Pilgaard L, Moos T, Duroux M. A comprehensive overview of exosomes as drug delivery vehicles—endogenous nanocarriers for targeted cancer therapy. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2014;1846(1):75-87.
- Nam GH, Choi Y, Kim GB, Kim S, Kim SA, Kim ISJAM. Emerging prospects of exosomes for cancer treatment: from conventional therapy to immunotherapy. *Adv Mater* 2020;32(51):e2002440.
- Kim MS, Haney MJ, Zhao Y, Mahajan V, Deygen I, Klyachko NL, et al. Development of exosome-encapsulated paclitaxel to overcome MDR in cancer cells. *Nanomedicine* 2016;12(3):655-64.
- Ji R, Zhang X, Gu H, Ma J, Wen X, Zhou J, et al. miR-374a-5p: a new target for diagnosis and drug resistance therapy in gastric cancer. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids* 2019;18:320-31.
- Kim H, Kim EH, Kwak G, Chi SG, Kim SH, Yang Y. Exosomes: cell-derived nanoplateforms for the delivery of cancer therapeutics. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;22(1):14.
- Wang J, Zheng Y, Zhao M. Exosome-based cancer therapy: implication for targeting cancer stem cells. *Front Pharmacol* 2017;7:533.
- Rengarajan T, Nandakumar N, Rajendran P, Haribabu L, Nishigaki I, Balasubramanian MPJAPJoCP. D-pinitol promotes apoptosis in MCF-7 cells via induction of p53 and Bax and inhibition of Bcl-2 and NF- κ B. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2014;15(4):1757-62.
- Montaser R, Luesch HJFmc. Marine natural products: a new wave of drugs? *Future Med Chem* 2011;3(12):1475-89.
- Devi KP, Rajavel T, Nabavi SF, Setzer WN, Ahmadi A, Mansouri K, et al. Hesperidin: A promising anticancer agent from nature. *Industrial Crops and Products* 2015 Dec 15;76:582-9.
- Lin Y, Shi R, Wang X, Shen H-MJCcdt. Luteolin, a flavonoid with potential for cancer prevention and therapy. *Curr Cancer Drug Targets* 2008;8(7):634-46.
- Wruck C, Claussen M, Fuhrmann G, Römer L, Schulz A, Pufe T, et al. Luteolin protects rat PC 12 and C6 cells against MPP+ induced toxicity via an ERK dependent Keap1-Nrf2-ARE pathway. *Neuropsychiatric disorders an integrative approach: Springer; 2007. p. 57-67.*
- Birt DF, Hendrich S, Wang WJP. Dietary agents in cancer prevention: flavonoids and isoflavonoids. *Pharmacol Ther* 2001;90(2-3):157-77.
- Imran M, Rauf A, Abu-Izneid T, Nadeem M, Shariati MA, Khan IA, et al. Luteolin, a flavonoid, as an anti-cancer agent: A review. *Biomed Pharmacother* 2019;112:108612.
- Qu Z, Wu J, Wu J, Luo D, Jiang C, Ding Y. Exosomes derived from HCC cells induce sorafenib resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma both in vivo and in vitro. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2016;35(1):159.
- Zhu L, Kalimuthu S, Gangadaran P, Oh JM, Lee HW, Baek SH, et al. Exosomes derived from natural killer cells exert therapeutic effect in melanoma. *Theranostics* 2017;7(10):2732-45.
- Jang SC, Kim OY, Yoon CM, Choi DS, Roh TY, Park J, et al. Bioinspired exosome-mimetic nanovesicles for targeted delivery of chemotherapeutics to malignant tumors. *ACS Nano* 2013;7(9):7698-710.
- Melzer C, Rehn V, Yang Y, Bähre H, von der Ohe J, Hass R. Taxol-loaded MSC-derived exosomes provide a therapeutic vehicle to target metastatic breast cancer and other carcinoma cells. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019;11(6):798.
- Peak TC, Praharaj PP, Panigrahi GK, Doyle M, Su Y, Schlaepfer IR, et al. Exosomes secreted by placental stem cells selectively inhibit growth of aggressive prostate cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2018;499(4):1004-10.

29. Kheradjoo H, Nouralishahi A, Hoseinzade Firozabdi MS, Mohammadzadehsaliani S. Mesenchymal stem/stromal (MSCs)-derived exosome inhibits retinoblastoma Y-79 cell line proliferation and induces their apoptosis. *Nanomedicine Research Journal* 2022;7(3):264-9.
30. Haga RB, Ridley AJ. Rho GTPases: Regulation and roles in cancer cell biology. *Small GTPases* 2016;7(4):207-21.
31. Kim JG, Islam R, Cho JY, Jeong H, Cap KC, Park Y, et al. Regulation of RhoA GTPase and various transcription factors in the RhoA pathway. *J Cell Physiol* 2018;233(9): 6381-92.
32. Zhang S, Tang Q, Xu F, Xue Y, Zhen Z, Deng Y, et al. RhoA regulates G1-S progression of gastric cancer cells by modulation of multiple INK4 family tumor suppressors. *Mol Cancer Res* 2009;7(4):570-80.
33. Kohno M, Pouyssegur J. Targeting the ERK signaling pathway in cancer therapy. *Annals of medicine*. 2006;38(3):200-11.
34. Sugiura R, Satoh R, Takasaki T. ERK: a double-edged sword in cancer. ERK-dependent apoptosis as a potential therapeutic strategy for cancer. *Cells* 2021;10(10):2509.
35. Maik-Rachline G, Hacohen-Lev-Ran A, Seger R. Nuclear ERK: mechanism of translocation, substrates, and role in cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20(5):1194.
36. Zhou G, Yang J, Song P. Correlation of ERK/MAPK signaling pathway with proliferation and apoptosis of colon cancer cells. *Oncol Lett* 2019;17(2):2266-70.