

Evaluation of Endotracheal Tube Cuff Pressure in Intubated Patients in Emergency Department, Operating Rooms, and Icus of Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex in 2018; A Cross Sectional Study

Amirhossein Orandi*, Seyed Mohammad Mireskandari, Shahram Samadi, Negar Eftekhari, Mostafa Mohammadi, Mehdi Aminizadeh

Department of Anesthesiology, Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 05 January 2021

Revised 27 January 2021

Accepted 11 February 2021

Keywords:

Anesthesia;
Intubation;
Endotracheal tube;
Cuff pressure

ABSTRACT

Background: Establishing and maintaining a secure airway using a cuffed endotracheal tube (ETT) is an important step in management of intubated patients. Out-of-range ETT cuff pressure is associated with various complications which could lengthen the hospital stay. The aim of this cross-sectional study was to evaluate ETT cuff pressure in intubated patients in the emergency department (ED), operating rooms (ORs), and Intensive Care Units (ICUs) of Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex (IKHC), Tehran, Iran.

Methods: The ETT cuff pressure of 153 patients was measured using a standard manometer. Demographic data and duration of intubation were recorded. The data were analysed using the SPSS software version 16. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results: The ETT cuff pressure exceeded the recommended range in 125 out of 153 patients (81.7%). The mean cuff pressure (67.29 cmH₂O) was significantly higher than the recommended range ($p < 0.001$). The cuff pressure was higher in patients in the ORs compared to patients in the ED and ICU (OR=8.46, $p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: Intubation in the OR can be considered a risk factor for higher-than-normal ETT cuff pressure and subsequent complications. The ETT cuff pressure monitoring by means of a manometer is recommended.

A cuffed endotracheal tube (ETT) with a proper size filled in appropriate pressure (20-30 cmH₂O) is the mainstay of securing airway. The cuff is filled with air to provide a barrier against mucosal secretions of the trachea. This barrier facilitates positive pressure ventilation and decreases the chance of aspiration of gastric and pharyngeal contents. Filling a high-volume low-pressure cuff with a low volume of air prevents air leak during positive pressure ventilation and decreases the odds of mucosal ischemia due to long-term pressure on the tracheal wall. However, all stages of tracheal intubation may cause injury to the trachea and larynx; for example, destruction of the respiratory cilia

mostly occurs just below the cuff two hours after intubation and by a pressure on the tracheal wall of less than 25 mmHg. Other noteworthy complications related to ETT cuff pressure include tracheal stenosis, tracheal rupture, tracheoesophageal fistula, tracheoinnominate fistula, and tracheal mucosal injury resulting from ETT cuff hyperinflation. The benefits of a properly placed ETT outweigh the risks associated with intubation. However, if attention is not paid to its complications, hazardous and sometimes irreversible problems may occur [1-2].

An ETT cuff pressure more than 30 cmH₂O decreases the tracheal mucosal perfusion while blood flow

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: horandi@sina.tums.ac.ir

completely disrupts in pressures more than 50 cmH₂O. On the other hand, the minimum ETT cuff pressure required for prevention of microaspiration and ventilator-associated pneumonia is 20 cmH₂O. Cuff pressure control at the lower limit of the normal range during the operation decreases postoperative sore throat significantly while suboptimal cuff pressure is associated with microaspiration, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and ventilation insufficiency [3-7].

There is little knowledge about ETT cuff function and its related injuries [8-9], which can be associated with being extremely low or high pressures. Various factors such as change in patients' position may affect proper maintenance of cuff pressure. On the other hand, the cuff pressure decreases over time [10-12], and ETTs equipped with pressure control systems are expensive [13].

Although inflating the cuff pressure with normal saline produces a more stable pressure, it is not recommended because the cuffs are designed for inflation with air [14-15].

Studies have shown that cuff pressure control using conventional methods like listening to air leak noise and palpation of the ETT cuff lack the desired efficiency in producing optimal cuff pressures. The experience of the intubating person alone is not enough for controlling ETT cuff pressure (10, 16).

Several studies suggest that cuff pressure exceeds the normal range in a large number of patients admitted to the ICU, OR, ED, and even patients transferred to emergency centers via patient transfer services including air medical services. It is, therefore, logical to measure the ETT cuff pressure after intubation and maintain it in the range of 20-30 cmH₂O [5, 15, 17-25].

The complications of endotracheal intubation have become more apparent as a result of the increase in the number of endotracheal intubations; moreover, the complications associated with the use of cuff have not been eliminated despite the use of high-volume low-pressure cuffs.

Since tertiary centers usually admit critically ill patients requiring intubation, a cuff pressure more than the optimal range leads to sore throat, stridor, cough and shortness of breath that could lessen patient satisfaction. Tracheomalacia and tracheoesophageal fistula are complications associated with long-term intubation. Considering the results of previous studies indicating that the cuff pressure is not in the optimal range in most cases and since these studies have not introduced modifiable risk factors, it seems necessary to evaluate the ETT cuff pressure and its determinants in different medical centers [5, 9, 26-31].

ETT cuff pressure of the patients admitted to the Intensive Care Units (ICUs), Operating Rooms (ORs), and Emergency Department (ED) of Imam Khomeini Hospital Complex (IKHC), Tehran, Iran were evaluated and the possible risk factors were determined to lower the

risks associated with low and high ETT cuff pressures in order to ameliorate the patient safety and management and devise better plans to decrease complications and length of hospital stay attributed to high cuff pressures.

Methods

This descriptive-analytical study was conducted after obtaining the approval of the Ethics Committee of IKHC affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.IKHC.REC.1396.3275). The target population of this study was intubated patients admitted to ED, ICUs, and ORs of IKHC in 2018.

Convenience sampling was done to select the patients. Every intubated patient whose record contained demographic data, intubation time, and intubating person's data was included in the study.

The patients who were intubated for surgery were identified in the OR, the ETT cuff pressure were evaluated, and the data were recorded. The patients admitted to the head and neck surgery were not included in the study due to Interference with the surgical field. The sample size was 153 subjects.

Cuff pressure measurement was done using the VBM manometer (Germany) (Figure 1) by a trained person.

Figure 1- VBM cuff pressure manometer



In patients admitted to the OR, cuff pressure was measured after intubation and stability of the patient's status. The manometer was calibrated before and after each measurement. In the ICU, demographic characteristics (age, sex, weight, duration of intubation, and indication for intubation) were extracted from the patients' records.

In all subjects, cuff pressure measurement was done in the supine position with the head aligned with the trunk. Data normality was assessed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Independent t-test, ANOVA, Spearman correlation coefficient, Pearson correlation coefficient, and generalized linear model were applied to analyze the data. SPSS version 18 was used for data analysis. The results are presented as mean± standard deviation (SD) and frequency. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

One hundred and fifty-three patients admitted to the ED, OR, and ICU were evaluated. Eighty-seven patients

(56.9%) were female and 66 patients (43.1%) were male. (Table 1) shows the frequency distribution of the patients in different wards and (Table 2) presents the frequency distribution and mean values of the variables. In some cases, cuff pressure had been set by the experience of care giver.

Table 1- Distribution of patients in different wards

Hospital ward	Frequency (%)
ED	18(11.8%)
ICU	69(45.1)
OR	66(43.1)
Total	153

Table 2- Descriptive data

Variable	Cuff pressure (cmH2O)	Age (year)	Weight (kg)	Duration of intubation (day)
Mean± SD	67.2 ±33.6	64.9±18.6	67.2±10.7	9.98 ±7.5

ETT cuff pressure was divided to three groups:

Group 1: ETT cuff pressure less than the normal range (0-19 cmH2O) (n=11, 7.19%)

Group 2: ETT cuff pressure within the normal range (20-30 cmH2O) (n=17, 11.11%)

Group 3: ETT cuff pressure more the normal range (more than 30 cmH2O) (n=125, 81.7%).

ETT cuff pressure more than 30 cmH2O was further divided to two groups: 31-50 cmH2O and more than 50 cmH2O (Table 3).

Table 3- Frequency distribution of ETT cuff pressure

ETT cuff pressure	Frequency	(%)	
More than normal range	31-50 cmH2O	29	18.95%
	> 50 cmH2O	96	62.75%
Within or less than normal range		28	18.30%
Total		153	100%

The difference between the mean ETT cuff pressure of the patients (67.2 ±33.6) and the normal (recommended) values was 42.29 cmH2O, which was statistically significant (P<0.001, t-test).

ETT cuff pressure did not have a significant correlation with age and weight (p>0.05).

(Table 4) shows the mean cuff pressure in patients admitted to the ICU, OR, and ED

Table 4- Comparison of ETT cuff pressure between three wards. SD: Standard Deviation.

Hospital ward	Number of patients	Mean±SD	P value (ANOVA)
ED	18	61.67±31.25	<0.001
ICU	69	51.59±30.43	
OR	66	85.23±28.74	
Total	153	67.29±33.64	

Considering the significant difference in the mean ETT cuff pressure between patients admitted to different wards, pairwise comparison of the mean cuff pressure was done. The order of mean ETT cuff pressure in different wards was as follows: OR>ED>ICU.

There was a difference of 33.63 cmH2O in the mean ETT cuff pressure between patients admitted to the OR and ICU, which was significant (p<0.001). The difference in the mean ETT cuff pressure between patients admitted to OR and ED was 23.56 cmH2O, which was significant (p=0.003). The odds ratio of the cuff pressure of the patients admitted to these two wards was 6. The difference in the mean cuff pressure between patients admitted to the ICU and ED was 10.07 cmH2O (95% CI-6.08-26.17), indicating no significant difference (p>0.05).

There was a difference of 11.62 cmH2O in the ETT cuff pressure between men and women, which was statistically significant (p<0.05). The odds ratio of cuff pressure between men and women was 1.7, but the p value calculated for this value was 0.05; therefore, sex was not a significant risk factor (Table 5).

Table 5- Comparison of ETT cuff pressure between male and female patients

	Number	ETT cuff pressure(cmH2o) mean±SD	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P value
Men	66	60.68±35.14	1.7 (0.77 – 3.94)	0.03
Women	87	72.30±31.76	P value > 0.05	

Discussion

This study was conducted to determine the ETT cuff pressure in patients admitted to the OR, ED, and ICU and compare it with recommended pressure in a referral university hospital. The mean cuff pressure of the study population was 67.29±33.64 cmH₂O, which exceeded the recommended pressure of 20-30 cmH₂O. The ETT cuff pressure significantly exceeded the normal upper limit in 81.7% of the patients (p<0.001). This finding was consistent with previous studies [22-23].

Similar to previous researches, this study showed that the cuff pressure could not be maintained in the recommended range by palpation and it was necessary to use a manometer although cuff palpation is the most common method for ETT cuff pressure evaluation [16, 20-21, 32-34].

In this study, not only the cuff pressure exceeded the recommended range in the majority of the patients (81.7%), the cuff pressure was more than 50 cmH₂O in 76.8% of the patients. A possible reason for this finding could be inattention of the intubating person to cuff pressure and ignoring the importance of this pressure and its consequences. It seems that lack of training and measurement tools like cuff manometer also play a role in this regard [19, 35-36].

The cuff pressure was less than recommended range (less than 20 cmH₂O) in 7.19% of the patients. On the other hand, cuff pressure less than 20 cmH₂O is associated with aspiration of pharyngeal secretions and is a risk factor of ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) [37-38].

Regarding these ratios, a large number of patients would require endotracheal intubation in our referral center. Therefore, inattention to the recommended cuff pressure may impose a heavy burden on the health system in terms of complications and costs, which underlines the importance of the continuous cuff pressure monitoring.

While some studies found no apparent risk factor for cuff pressure exceeding the recommended range, some other studies found that the duration of intubation and lack of patient sedation were independently associated with a low ETT cuff pressure. The potential risk factors of high cuff pressure include agitation, coughs, patient-ventilator asynchrony, and head position change. However, the present study found no significant risk factor for the high ETT cuff pressure [19, 23].

The correlation of high cuff pressure with age, sex, weight, duration of intubation, and ward was assessed in the present study. None of them had a significant correlation with ETT cuff pressure.

Although the ETT cuff pressure was significantly higher in women than in men (p=0.03) with an odds ratio of 1.7 (95% CI 0.77-3.94), the calculated odds ratio was not significant considering its p value (>0.05); therefore, sex was not a risk factor for high ETT cuff pressure in this study. Further studies may be required to investigate the relationship between sex and ETT cuff pressure.

The order of mean ETT cuff pressure was as follows OR>ED>ICU with a significant difference. Intubation in the OR was a risk factor for cuff pressure more than the recommended range. A possible explanation for this finding is that the cuff pressure decreases over time and due to the longer duration of intubation in patients admitted to ICU and ED compared to OR, the rate of detecting high cuff pressure was higher in the OR, which was consistent with previous studies (19). Another explanation may be the wrong assumption that because the duration of being intubated is short in the OR, cuff pressures exceeding the recommended range are not a significant threat. It should be noted that the short duration of intubation might not prevent cuff pressure damage to the airway because injury to the airway mucosa starts 15 minutes after intubation [35-36].

Manometers are not available in some ORs and the ETT cuff is inflated based on experience, which may be another reason for this difference. The adverse effects of high cuff pressure reduce over time with a gradual decrease in the cuff pressure while in the OR, due to the short duration of exposure to high cuff pressure (compared to ED and ICU); the adverse outcomes may be significant in the long term. Therefore, manometers should be available in ORs and ICUs as a vital component of the anesthesia equipment.

Conclusion

Pilot balloon palpation or a fixed volume of air routinely used for cuff pressure modulation lacks the required precision and the best way to achieve an appropriate cuff pressure is continuous cuff pressure measurement with a manometer.

Intubation in the OR may be associated with a higher risk of high cuff pressure. Considering the adverse consequences of high ETT cuff pressure, more attention

should be paid to maintaining the cuff pressure in the recommended range.

References

- [1] Pardo M, Miller RD, Miller RD. Basics of anesthesia: Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2018.
- [2] Griffiee M, Merkel M. Irwin and Rippe's Intensive Care Medicine, 6th Edition. Anesthesiology. 2008; 108:968-9.
- [3] Seegobin RD, van Hasselt GL. Endotracheal cuff pressure and tracheal mucosal blood flow: endoscopic study of effects of four large volume cuffs. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1984; 288(6422):965-8.
- [4] Suzuki N, Kooguchi K, Mizobe T, Hirose M, Takano Y, Tanaka Y. [Postoperative hoarseness and sore throat after tracheal intubation: effect of a low intracuff pressure of endotracheal tube and the usefulness of cuff pressure indicator]. *Masui*. 1999; 48(10):1091-5.
- [5] Ansari L, Bohluhi B, Mahaseni H, Valaei N, Sadr-Eshkevari P, Rashad A. The effect of endotracheal tube cuff pressure control on postextubation throat pain in orthognathic surgeries: a randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2014;52(2):140-3.
- [6] Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S. Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. *Critical care medicine*. 2005; 33(10):2184-93.
- [7] Sole ML, Su X, Talbert S, Penoyer DA, Kalita S, Jimenez E, et al. Evaluation of an intervention to maintain endotracheal tube cuff pressure within therapeutic range. *Am J Crit Care*. 2011; 20(2):109-17; quiz 118.
- [8] Maboudi A, Abtahi H, Hosseini M, Tamadon A, Safavi E. Accuracy of endotracheal tube cuff pressure adjustment by fingertip palpation after training of intensive care unit nurses. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2013; 15(5):381-4.
- [9] Bhatta K, Greer R. Awareness and monitoring of tracheal tube cuff pressure in a multidisciplinary intensive care unit. *Anaesth Intensive Care*. 2007; 35(2):302-3.
- [10] Minonishi T, Kinoshita H, Hirayama M, Kawahito S, Azma T, Hatakeyama N, et al. The supine-to-prone position change induces modification of endotracheal tube cuff pressure accompanied by tube displacement. *J Clin Anesth*. 2013; 25(1):28-31.
- [11] Godoy AC, Vieira RJ, Capitani EM. Endotracheal tube cuff pressure alteration after changes in position in patients under mechanical ventilation. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2008; 34(5):294-7.
- [12] Sridermma S, Limtangturakool S, Wongsurakiat P, Thamlikitkul V. Development of appropriate procedures for inflation of endotracheal tube cuff in intubated patients. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2007; 90 Suppl 2:74-8.
- [13] Brandt L. Prevention of nitrous oxide-induced increases in endotracheal tube cuff pressure. *Anesthesia and analgesia*. 1991;72(2):262-3.
- [14] Combes X, Schauvliege F, Peyrouset O, Motamed C, Kirov K, Dhonneur G, et al. Intracuff pressure and tracheal morbidity: influence of filling with saline during nitrous oxide anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 2001; 95(5):1120-4.
- [15] Svenson JE, Lindsay MB, O'Connor JE. Endotracheal intracuff pressures in the ED and prehospital setting: is there a problem? *Am J Emerg Med*. 2007; 25(1):53-6.
- [16] Ozer A, Demirel I, Gunduz G, Erhan O. Effects of user experience and method in the inflation of endotracheal tube pilot balloon on cuff pressure. *Niger J Clin Pract*. 2013; 16(2):253-7.
- [17] mousavi saj, niakan lahihi m, akhovatian f, moradi moghadam o, valizade hassanlouei ma. An Investigation of endotracheal Tube cuff Pressure. *Daneshvar Medicine*. 2009;17(4):43-8.
- [18] Jaber S, El Kamel M, Chanques G, Sebbane M, Cazottes S, Perrigault PF, et al. Endotracheal tube cuff pressure in intensive care unit: the need for pressure monitoring. *Intensive Care Med*. 2007;33(5):917-8.
- [19] Nseir S, Brisson H, Marquette CH, Chaud P, Di Pompeo C, Diarra M, et al. Variations in endotracheal cuff pressure in intubated critically ill patients: prevalence and risk factors. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2009;26(3):229-34.
- [20] Emadi SA, Zamani A, Nasiri E, Khademlo M, Tatar M. Assessment of endotracheal tube cuff pressure after tracheal intubation during general anaesthesia. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci*. 2010; 20(76):9-13.
- [21] Hoffman RJ, Parwani V, Hahn I-H. Experienced emergency medicine physicians cannot safely inflate or estimate endotracheal tube cuff pressure using standard techniques. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2006;24(2):139-43.
- [22] Bassi M, Zuercher M, Erne J-J, Ummerhofer W. Endotracheal tube intracuff pressure during helicopter transport. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2010; 56(2):89-93. e1.
- [23] Chapman J, Pallin D, Ferrara L, Mortell S, Pliakas J, Shear M, et al. Endotracheal tube cuff pressures in patients intubated before transport. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2009; 27(8):980-2.
- [24] Galinski M, Treoux V, Garrigue B, Lapostolle F, Borron SW, Adnet F. Intracuff pressures of endotracheal tubes in the management of airway emergencies: the need for pressure monitoring. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2006; 47(6):545-7.
- [25] Schneider J, Mulale U, Yamout S, Pollard S, Silver P. Impact of monitoring endotracheal tube cuff leak pressure on postextubation stridor in children. *J Crit Care*. 2016; 36:173-7.
- [26] Danielis M, Benatti S, Celotti P, De Monte A, Trombini O. [Continuous monitoring of

- endotracheal tube cuff pressure: best practice in intensive care unit]. *Assist Infirm Ric.* 2015; 34(1):15-20.
- [27] Orandi A, Orandi A, Najafi A, Hajimohammadi F, Soleimani S, Zahabi S. Post-intubation sore throat and menstruation cycles. *Anesth Pain Med.* 2013;3(2):243-9.
- [28] Miller RD, Eriksson LI, Fleisher LA, Wiener-Kronish JP, Young WL. *Anesthesia E-Book: Elsevier Health Sciences*; 2009.
- [29] Ulrich-Pur H, Hrska F, Krafft P, Friehs H, Wulkersdorfer B, Kostler WJ, et al. Comparison of mucosal pressures induced by cuffs of different airway devices. *Anesthesiology.* 2006;104(5):933-8.
- [30] Vyas D, Inweregbu K, Pittard A. Measurement of tracheal tube cuff pressure in critical care. *Anaesthesia.* 2002; 57(3):275-7.
- [31] Duguet A, D'Amico L, Biondi G, Prodanovic H, Gonzalez-Bermejo J, Similowski T. Control of tracheal cuff pressure: a pilot study using a pneumatic device. *Intensive Care Med.* 2007;33(1):128-32.
- [32] Stewart SL, Seacrest J, Norwood BR, Zachary R. A comparison of endotracheal tube cuff pressures using estimation techniques and direct intracuff measurement. *AANA J.* 2003; 71(6):443-8.
- [33] Trivedi L, Jha P, Bajiya NR, Tripathi D. We should care more about intracuff pressure: The actual situation in government sector teaching hospital. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2010; 54(4):314.
- [34] White DM, Redondo JL, Mair AR, Martinez-Taboada F. The effect of user experience and inflation technique on endotracheal tube cuff pressure using a feline airway simulator. *Vet Anaesth Analg.* 2017; 44(5):1076-84.
- [35] Bernhard WN, Yost L, Joynes D, Cothalis S, Turndorf H. Intracuff pressures in endotracheal and tracheostomy tubes: related cuff physical characteristics. *Chest.* 1985;87(6):720-5.
- [36] Liu J, Zhang X, Gong W, Li S, Wang F, Fu S, et al. Correlations between controlled endotracheal tube cuff pressure and postprocedural complications: a multicenter study. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;111(5):1133-7.
- [37] Rello J, Sonora R, Jubert P, Artigas A, Rué M, Vallés J. Pneumonia in intubated patients: role of respiratory airway care. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1996;154(1):111-5.
- [38] Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2005;171(4):388-416.